INTRODUCTION

Large for gestational age (LGA) is defined as the fetal weight above the 90th percentile of weight for any specific gestational age or an estimated fetal weight greater than or equal to 4000 gm\(^1\). Factors predisposing to LGA pregnancy are diabetes (gestational, chemical or insulin dependent), obesity, post datism, multiparity, advance maternal age, previous LGA infant and large stature. Fetal factors include genetic or congenital disorders. Maternal obesity is associated with three to four fold increased likelihood of fetal macrosomia. Prolonged pregnancy results in macrosomic fetus presumably due to continued delivery of nutrients and oxygen to the fetus. Some congenital syndrome in fetus may also be associated with LGA infants. Male fetuses are more likely to be considered LGA because male fetuses are an average of 150 gm heavier than matched female fetuses at each gestational age.\(^1,2\) The purpose of this study was to focus on the intrapartum complications of macrosomic fetus and their prevention by detecting risk factors and planning for safe delivery.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This study was conducted from April 2003 to December 2004 at Jinnah Medical College & Hospital Karachi. A total number of 100 patients was selected for the study. Inclusion criteria were single fetus, gestational diabetes, post datism, obesity and constitutionally large fetus. All cases with history of previous Caesarean section, short maternal stature, maternal age above 45 years and other medical disorders than diabetes complicating pregnancy were excluded from the study. In all patients, detailed history including age, parity and past obstetric performance were noted. A thorough general physical and abdominal examination was carried out. Routine investigations including complete blood picture, urine analysis, blood sugar and ultrasound for gestational age and estimated fetal weight were also carried out. A check was also kept on maternal weight gain particularly among obese patients. All findings were noted on proforma sheet. Those who exceeded 40 weeks of gestation were thoroughly investigated for correct gestational age by menstrual history, ultrasound in early pregnancy and per abdominal examination. Details of labour and complications were documented and analysed. Any normal singleton baby delivered at term that weighed 4000 grams or more was classed as macrosomic. Labour lasting more than 12 hours was defined as prolonged labour and obstetrics intervention was defined as elective or emergency caesarean section, induced labour, forceps delivery or vacuum extraction. PPH was defined as estimated blood loss over 500 mls as determined by the attending doctor. Cases of shoulder dystocia were included in the study.
dystocia were identified and treated by senior Obstetrician by Mc Roberts maneuver. All patients with fetuses greater than 3.5 kg were counselled against the risk of caesarean section. The analysis was performed by using SPSS version 10.0. Frequency and percentages were computed for presentation of all categorical variables.

RESULTS

Out of 100 patients, there were 30 primigravid patients with age ranging between 20-30 years and 70 multigravid patients with age between 30-44 years. Gestational diabetes was found in 30% of cases, postdatism in 20%, constitutionally large and fetuses of obese mothers were 25% each. The average birth weight of infants in majority of the cases (70%) was 3500-3900 gms as shown in Table I. While infants weighing between 4000-5000 gms were 30% who fulfilled the criteria of macrosomia. Maternal weight gain during pregnancy ranged between 15-20 kgs and 75% of the patients delivered at term while 15% and 10% of patients delivered at 41 and 42 weeks of gestation respectively as shown in Table II. Spontaneous vaginal delivery resulted in 50% of cases, instrumental vaginal delivery in 20% while caesarean section due to relative cephalopelvic disproportion, fetal distress and non progress of labour was done in 30% of the cases as shown in Table III. Complications like shoulder dystocia was seen in 3 (10%) cases, perineal trauma and postpartum haemorrhage in 60% and caesarean section due to non progress of labour and fetal distress in 30% of the cases Table IV.

TABLE I:
AVERAGE BIRTH WEIGHT OF INFANTS (n = 100)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WEIGHT</th>
<th>NO. OF INFANTS</th>
<th>PERCENTAGE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3500 – 3900 gm</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4000 – 4500 gm</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4500 – 5000 gm</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II:
GESTATIONAL AGE AT DELIVERY (n = 100)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>Gestation age at delivery</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>37 - 40 weeks</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>41 weeks</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>&gt; 42 weeks</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE III:
MODE OF DELIVERY (n = 100)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneous vaginal delivery</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instrumental vaginal delivery</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal delivery</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE IV:
INTRAPARTUM COMPLICATIONS (n = 30)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>No. of Patients</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Perineal trauma and postpartum haemorrhage</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caesarean section</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shoulder dystocia</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

DISCUSSION

Macrosomia is defined as either fetal weight greater than the 90th percentile for gestational age, or as weight greater than 4000 gms. At 40 weeks gestation, the 50th percentile for growth is 3,619 grams and 90th percentile is 4234 gm. The risk characteristics that increase the probability of the delivery of a macrosomic infant include maternal obesity, multiparity, previous macrosomia infant, maternal diabetes mellitus, postdatism, pre pregnancy weight / height, body mass index, advanced maternal age etc. Macrosomic infants are at elevated risk of shoulder dystocia, brachial plexus injury, skeletal injuries, meconium aspiration, perinatal asphyxia, hypoglycemia and fetal death. Maternal complications are related to cephalopelvic disproportion and include prolonged labour, labour augmentation, caesarean section, postpartum hemorrhage, infection, thromboembolic events and anaesthesia events. Due to maternal and infant complications, there are different opinions regarding management, and decisions are often made intrapartum due to difficulty in predicting macrosomia. Ultrasound is helpful with a margin of error of 10 – 15%. This would be of value if performed after 38 weeks of gestation. This is because normal fetal growth is linear where as macrosomic fetus has accelerated growth toward term. We believe that caesarean delivery is justified in all cases of fetal weight estimation greater than 4500gm. The rate of caesarean section significantly increased among the patients who delivered after labour induction as compared to those whom labour was not induced. More fetuses of diabetic mothers face increased injury at the time of vaginal birth. Cae-
Cesarean section offer the promise of avoiding trauma to the fetus but can result in increased morbidity in the mother. The safest mode of delivery is controversial with some evidence pointing to elective caesarean section. A fetus was found to be at significantly increased risk for birth weight greater than 4000 gms, when the estimated fetal weight based on abdominal circumference rather than based on head circumference or femur length. Measurement of soft tissue is also not superior to clinical or sonographic prediction in identifying fetus with weight of at least 4000 gm. Common indication for caesarean section in this study was non progress of labour and cephalopelvic disproportion. Parity was also found to be associated strongly with macrosomia. Elective labour induction at or near term has been proposed to present the maternal and perinatal complications of macrosomia. A study conducted by Molaud in Iran states that total cesarean rate in macrosomia was 22.5% where as it is 30% in our study. Another study by an American family physician comparing the outcome of patients in whom macrosomia was suspected before delivery to whom it was not ,the author found that the risk of cesarean section was substantially higher (52 versus 30) in pregnancies in which macrosomia was suspected. One of the purpose of this reseach is making decisions about the method of macrosomia infant child birth. The reason for selecting caesarean is to prevent resulted complications. Spellacy and Berard have mentioned the amount of caesarean section 33.8% and instrumental delivery 36%. The findings of this study are in general agreement with the numerous other that have formed macrosomia associated with maternal and neonatal morbidity. Physicians caring for pregnant women are confronted with a management dilemma when faced with the women at term carrying what is thought to be an unusually large fetus. Difficulties are encountered when one consider the inaccuracy in our antenatal estimation of fetal weight but there are limitations of this technology, 2/3 of the time ultrasound estimates at best are with in 10% of actual fetal weight. This means that in order to be 80% sure that the actual fetal weight is over 4500 grams the ultrasound fetal weight must be 5000 gms. It should be accepted that an experienced examiner is about as accurate as ultrasound at estimating fetal weight. Many different estimates of risk of brachial plexus injuries in the face of shoulder dystocia are reported in literature with an average of approximately 15%. The majority of these injuries recover completely but about 20% have some permanent sequelae. Therefore, 3% of all cases of shoulder dystocia suffer some permanent brachial plexus injury. Many clinicians choose to offer a cesarean section with infants of diabetic mothers weighing 4200 gms and non diabetics at 4500 gms. In summary, macrosomia remains a significant clinical problem and no single management plan will be correct for all patients and physicians must individualize their clinical judgement. One must be prepared for complications such as shoulder dystocia as they can occur at any delivery. In some clinical situations awaiting spontaneous labour, induction of labour or caesarean section all may be reasonable management plans for the mother with a suspected macroscopic fetus. The incidence of cesarean section was three times more common in the study by OA Adesina, the prevalence of caesarean section in the study group was 40.5% which is because of the liberal use of caesarean delivery as a mode of delivery. Other workers, however, failed to find a substantial decrease in fetal morbidity and mortality in macroscopic babies delivered by caesarean section to justify the high prevalence of cesarean section, and therefore advocate earlier induction at term in mothers of macroscopic babies. The three major strategies used to detect macrosomia are clinical risk factors, clinicians estimation and ultrasonography with substantial limitation in each. The high risk group triad included obesity, diabetes, and post datism as seen in our study and so in the study group by WN Spellacy. Women at risk should be screened for macroscopic infants, and if found they should be delivered electively by cesarean section. Elective labour induction, at or near term, has been proposed to prevent the maternal and perinatal complications of macrosomia. This intervention has been justified based on anticipated ongoing fetal growth. The amount of perineal trauma and postpartum haemorrhage is 60% which is much higher as compared to 5% by Kimberly and 4.2% by Meshari. Inspite of limitations in this study like ultrasound estimation of fetal weight, pre pregnancy weight of patients and estimation of blood loss at the time of delivery, it is concluded that caesarean section is the best mode of delivery in order to prevent intrapartum complications of macroscopic fetus.

CONCLUSION

Macrosomia remains a common cause of intrapartum complication of pregnancy. Its prediction is imperfect. Clinical risk factors, clinical weight estimation and ultrasonography all have limitation in accuracy. There is increased incidence of shoulder dystocia and postpartum haemorrhage. Prevention require early detection of risk factors and planning for safe delivery.
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