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Abstract
The prevalence of high blood pressure (BP) is high among Nigerians with diabetes mellitus. This study of Nigerian patients with diabetes examines the adequacy of BP control and antihypertensive therapy as a baseline for establishing conformity with current guidelines. A total of 256 patients with diabetes, aged between 21 and 83 years (mean 59.1 ± 12.8 years) attending the Diabetes/Endocrine Clinic of the University College Hospital Ibadan, Nigeria were involved in the study. Fifty seven per cent had co-existing hypertension and 15.5% of these patients were not receiving any antihypertensive agent. There was a significantly higher systolic BP among females compared to males (p < 0.05). Diabetic patients with hypertension were significantly older than those with diabetes alone (p < 0.001). The body mass index (BMI) was higher than 25 in 66% of patients with both diabetes and hypertension compared to 48% in those with diabetes alone (p <0.005). A satisfactory mean systolic (<130 mmHg) and diastolic BP (<80 mmHg) BP was obtained in only 38.5% and 42.2% of all patients respectively. The association between BMI and blood pressure was found to be significant only for the diastolic pressure (p <0.05). Only 52% of the patients with hypertension were receiving angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors as part of their treatment. The high prevalence and poor control of high BP among Nigerians with diabetes pose an increased risk of future development of nephropathy. There is need for a more intense awareness programme for doctors in developing countries regarding current blood pressure management guidelines and the need for adhering to them.
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Introduction
Hypertension is commonly associated with diabetes mellitus (DM). Its presence may antedate the onset of DM by many years or it may develop several years after the onset. Its pathogenesis also differs in type 1 and type 2 DM. A rising blood pressure is usually accompanied by the onset and progression of renal disease in type 1 DM, whereas in type 2 disease, elevated blood pressure is often present as at the time of, or shortly after, the diagnosis.

Several risk factors for the development and progression of renal disease in diabetes have been identified. Notable among these factors are hypertension, poor glycaemic control and albuminuria. These three conditions tend to have an anomalous relationship, poor glycaemic control being a predictor of microalbuminuria or incipient nephropathy, while the co-existence of nephropathy with hypertension presents a faster rate of renal function decline.1 An aggressive treatment and control of hypertension in patients with diabetes is therefore highly desirable in preventing or slowing down the progression of renal disease.

Recently, new guidelines for the treatment of hypertension in patients with diabetes have recommended the use of angiotensin converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) either singly or in combination with other drugs depending on the blood pressure.2-5 Several studies in particular have documented the benefit of the use of ACE inhibitors in preventing or treating microalbuminuria (and thus preventing the progression of renal disease), as well as in overt renal disease.6-8

However, it has been observed that antihypertensive drug compliance as well as optimal BP control are often unsatisfactory in developing countries, often due to financial constraints. The high cost of these newer and beneficial drugs in developing countries like Nigeria makes compliance poor so that their reno-protective benefits are missed.

This preliminary study evaluates the magnitude of the problem of inadequate blood pressure control as a contributory risk factor for progressive renal disease in Nigerian patients with diabetes.

Materials and methods
Two hundred and fifty six (256) patients with diabetes...
Attending the Endocrine Clinic of the University College Hospital, Ibadan were evaluated as part of an ongoing study of chronic kidney disease in Nigerian patients with diabetes. Only patients who had been diagnosed and were receiving treatment for diabetes for at least 3 months were included in the study.

Informed consent was obtained from all patients following which a structured questionnaire covering demographic data, duration of disease, co-morbid conditions like hypertension, asthma and liver disease, the mode of treatment of diabetes and the compliance both with clinic attendance and therapy, was administered to each patient.

Each patient was physically examined, recording the anthropometric parameters of height and weight and calculating the body mass index (BMI) as weight (kg)/height (m$^2$). A BMI value of 25 was taken as the cut-off point for the normal range while values above 25 and up to 30 were regarded as overweight and values above 30 classified as obese.

Blood pressure (BP) was measured in each patient using an Accoueon Mercury Sphygmanometer in the sitting position on two occasions at least 15 minutes apart and the average recorded.

Assessment of BP control was based on the American Diabetes Association and KDOQI guidelines for optimal blood pressure treatment goals in patients with diabetes with our patients being classed as follows:

i. Satisfactory systolic BP: systolic <130mmHg and satisfactory diastolic blood pressure <80mmHg
ii. Unsatisfactory systolic BP: systolic 130 - 139mmHg and unsatisfactory diastolic blood pressure 80 - 89mmHg
iii. Poor systolic BP systolic ≥140mmHg and poor diastolic blood pressure ≥90mmHg

A record was taken of the antihypertensive drug prescriptions of the patients, allocating these into their respective drug classes.

Patient compliance with medication was obtained from each patient and regarded as poor if patient failed to use at least one of the prescribed antihypertensives for a minimum of five consecutive days within the previous three months. Compliance with clinic attendance was poor if the patient failed to keep clinic appointment at least once in the previous 12 months.

### Table 1: Characteristics of patients studied

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All patients</th>
<th>Diabetes alone</th>
<th>Diabetes and hypertension</th>
<th>p value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number (%)</td>
<td>256</td>
<td>148 (57.8%)</td>
<td>108 (42.2%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male/Female</td>
<td>110/146</td>
<td>59/89</td>
<td>51/57</td>
<td>0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Age (yrs)</td>
<td>55.4 ± 11.9</td>
<td>51.9 ± 13.5</td>
<td>57.8 ± 10.0</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Duration (yrs)</td>
<td>9.1 ± 7.5</td>
<td>8.1 ± 6.3</td>
<td>9.68 ± 8.1</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BMI (kg/m$^2$)</td>
<td>26.5 ± 5.9</td>
<td>24.9 ± 5.1</td>
<td>27.5 ± 6.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Systolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>138.8 ± 24.0</td>
<td>125.9 ± 18.6</td>
<td>147.6 ± 23.4</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Diastolic BP (mmHg)</td>
<td>83.93 ± 13.8</td>
<td>79.5 ± 14.4</td>
<td>87.0 ± 12.5</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Statistical analysis

Data were reported as mean ± SD. Mean values from different groups were compared using the students t-test of significance for unpaired groups or the ANOVA test of variance for comparison of more than two groups. Association between categorical variables was tested for using the Chi square test of significance. A value of p <0.05 was taken as significant.

### Ethical Clearance

Ethical clearance for this study was obtained from the University of Ibadan/UCH Ethical Committee of the Institute of Advanced Medical Research and Training Certificate Number UI/IRC/03/0055 of 2004.

### Results

Two hundred and fifty six (256) patients were recruited and studied. Table 1 shows the characteristics of the study population. The age of patients ranged from 21 to 83 years while duration of diabetes mellitus ranged from 4 months to 34 years.

The mean systolic and diastolic BP of the entire study population was unsatisfactory. Female patients had a significantly higher mean systolic pressure than males (142.2 ± 24.0 mmHg and 135.0 ± 22.6 mmHg respectively, P<0.05). The difference in mean diastolic pressure of female (84.3 ± 13.2 mmHg) and male (83.1 ± 12.5 mmHg) patients was not statistically significant.

Satisfactory systolic and diastolic blood pressure control was obtained in only 38.5% and 42.2% of the study population, respectively. Figure 1 shows the distribution of patients into blood pressure control groups. For the non-hypertensive diabetics, the mean systolic and diastolic blood pressure of the control groups was 116.1 ± 12.2, 138.0 ± 0.0, 149.1 ± 8.3 mmHg, and 75.0 ± 9.4, 85.0 ± 21.2, 90.1 ± 18.8 mmHg for satisfactory, unsatisfactory and poor BP, respectively. For the hypertensive patients the mean for the groups

### Table 2: Frequency of drug combination therapy in treatment of hypertension

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of different drug classes</th>
<th>N (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>23 (15.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>59 (39.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>58 (39.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8 (5.4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1: Blood pressure control groups showing satisfactory BP, systolic BP < 130 mmHg/diastolic BP < 80 mmHg (empty); unsatisfactory BP, systolic BP ≥ 130 – 139 mmHg/diastolic BP ≥ 80 – 89 mmHg (grey); and poor, systolic BP > 140 mmHg/diastolic BP > 90 mmHg (black).

Figure 2: Shows the pattern of antihypertensive drugs prescription in percentages. ACEI: Angiotensin Converting Enzyme Inhibitors, ARB: Angiotensin Receptor Blockers, CCB: Calcium Channel Blockers, DIU: Diuretics, CENTRAL: Central Acting Drugs, BB: Beta Blocker.
were 122.4 ± 9.3, 135.0 ± 1.0, 159.4 ± 18.4 mmHg and 79.2 ± 10.0, 86.7 ± 15.3, 90.4 ± 11.9 mmHg. Among the hypertensive diabetic patients, the systolic BP was higher than 130 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure was higher than 80 mmHg in 28.8% and 28.9%, respectively. Inadequate systolic blood pressure was associated with age over 50 years (p=0.00), BMI > 30 (p=0.02) but not with duration of diabetes. Inadequate diastolic pressure was only associated with age over 50 years (p=0.04).

There was significant association between inadequate systolic blood pressure control (BP>130 mmHg) and diastolic blood pressure was higher than 80 mmHg in 28.8% and 28.9%, respectively. Inadequate systolic blood pressure control (BP>130 mmHg) with age greater than 50 years (p=0.00), BMI > 30 (p=0.04) but not with duration of diabetes. Inadequate diastolic pressure was associated with age over 50 years (p=0.04).

Figure 2 shows the antihypertensive drug classes prescribed for patients with hypertension while Table 2 shows the frequency of drug combination therapy. The ACE inhibitor class of antihypertensives was the most frequently prescribed. Eighteen (17.3%) of the non-hypertensive diabetics were on ACE inhibition therapy. The majority of patients claimed good compliance with medication as well as clinic attendance (87.9% and 89.1%, respectively).

Discussion
Hypertension is a common accompaniment of diabetes mellitus (DM). Studies have shown hypertension to be a definite risk factor towards the development as well as progression of nephropathy and cardiovascular disease in diabetics. Recent guidelines have recommended a target blood pressure (BP) level below 130/80mmHg to reduce these risks in patients with diabetes. Desirable as this goal may be, certain obstacles to its attainment are envisaged in developing countries. These include financial incapacity to purchase or the unavailability of the recommended drugs (especially the renoprotective ACEIs and ARBs, which are regarded as ‘preferred drugs’ in this condition), poor compliance with treatment and clinic check-ups, and ignorance of new guidelines on the part of many primary care physicians.

Inadequate BP control in the majority of a group of patients with DM was reported in a recent study carried out in Nigeria in which only 11% of diabetic patients with hypertension had their BP controlled to levels below 140/90mmHg. In a study, a similar observation was made with approximately 12% of our patients achieving BP control below the currently recommended target level of 130/80 mmHg.

Another important observation from this study is that many of the patients classified as hypertensive were not on any antihypertensive treatment (15.5%, Table 2). Similarly, a number of the patients classified as being diabetic alone actually have blood pressures well above the recommended optimal level for patients with diabetes (Figure 2). Our concern is that these patients represent an important group of patients at increased risk of renal function deterioration should they develop nephropathy.

In summary, this study shows that there exists both a high prevalence and poor control of elevated BP in Nigerians with diabetes, with many patients remaining untreated even in a tertiary health care setting. The use of ACE inhibitors
and angiotensin receptor blockers remain inadequate, despite the strong recommendation for their increased use in most current guidelines. These observations necessitate the need for more emphasis on intensive BP control through educational programmes for the medical personnel and primary care physicians involved in the care of patients with diabetes in order to reduce or prevent the trend towards end stage renal failure.
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