Does knowing about the long-term health effects of alcohol matter?
Evidence from a university sample in Lebanon
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Abstract

Background: Research linking awareness of health effects of alcohol and harmful alcohol drinking status is limited.

Aims: To investigate the association between awareness of long-term alcohol effects and alcohol use disorders.

Methods: University students aged 18–25 years (n = 1155) completed a self-filled survey. Participants were asked if they knew that alcohol causes: (1) problems in the liver; (2) cancers of the mouth, throat, oesophagus and breast; (3) damage to the heart; and (4) weakening of the immune system. Multinomial regression analyses were conducted to assess the association between awareness of long-term alcohol effects and alcohol drinking status, including Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, 5th Edition (DSM-5) alcohol use disorders.

Results: One third (32.8%) were past-year drinkers and 18% screened positive for DSM-5 alcohol use disorders. Compared to past-year drinkers with no alcohol use disorders, non-past-year ever drinkers were twice as likely to know about the link between alcohol and cancers of the mouth, throat, oesophagus and breast. Past-year drinkers with an alcohol use disorders were less likely to know about this association. Non-past-year ever drinkers (vs past-year drinkers with no alcohol use disorders) were also 2.6 times more likely to know the alcohol liver risks.

Conclusions: There is a strong inverse relationship between awareness of the effects of alcohol and harmful consumption among young people, providing preliminary support for the protective nature of knowledge on alcohol drinking status. Efforts to increase public awareness of the long-term health effects of alcohol may be useful in reducing alcohol-related harm.
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Introduction

Globally, alcohol consumption is one of the leading risk factors for death and disability (1). Drinking habits that are formed in young adulthood – a period marked by increased susceptibility to development of addiction and alcohol dependence (2) – are likely to be maintained in adulthood (3). Research data from the United States of America show that alcohol use disorders (AUDs) are most prevalent in young adults aged 18–29 years (4). A meta-analysis has shown that, compared to the general population, people with a diagnosed AUD are 10 times more likely to die from liver cirrhosis as well as mental disorders, which encompass both alcoholism and other mental comorbidity (5).

Despite the overwhelming evidence of the detrimental effects of alcohol on health, public knowledge and awareness of the harmful consequences of alcohol consumption remain low, with around half of adults only aware of some link between alcohol and negative health consequences (6–8). This is especially true for long-term health effects like cancer (9). A recent study found that most Australian adults associated alcohol use with liver cirrhosis and cancer, but only a small proportion associated it with other long-term health effects like heart disease, stroke and cancers of the mouth, throat and breast (10). Studies linking awareness of risk with corresponding alcohol consumption have been limited, with mixed results. One cross-sectional study from England in adults aged ≥ 18 years showed that level of awareness of cancer risk of alcohol was not associated with their risk of AUD, as screened by the Alcohol Use Disorders Test short form (AUDIT-C) (7). Specifically, identifying as no- or low-risk (score < 5) versus high-risk (score ≥ 5) drinkers was not related to cancer awareness, as measured by responses to unprompted questions about health effects of alcohol, as well as identification of cancer types from a list of alcohol-related cancers. However, a study from Australia investigated participant knowledge of various risk factors for cancer, including alcohol use, and found that identification of alcohol use as a moderate/large risk factor for cancer was associated...
with lower-risk drinking, as measured by the AUDIT-C (11). One longitudinal study found that the development of risk perceptions, measured by asking participants how dangerous the consumption of a substance is to the general population, seemed to influence future use of alcohol, tobacco and cannabis in young people (12). This reinforces an earlier study showing that alcohol knowledge, including perceived prevalence and health information related to alcohol consumption, had a negative effect on later alcohol consumption in adolescents (13). Thus, while some studies point to a significant association between knowledge of the health consequences of alcohol and drinking patterns (11–13), others have found no such effect (7). These differences are not because the studies on public awareness of the harmful effects of alcohol used different forms of measurement, with most of the studies using only one or two questions to measure this knowledge (6,14).

Besides the mixed results, and the measurement issue, the overwhelming evidence on this topic remains predominantly drawn from western countries, focusing mainly on adults (6–9,21). Research data from other parts of the world, particularly Arab countries, remain limited (15). Studies from Lebanon have highlighted the common and increasing practice of alcohol consumption among adolescents (16). One study reported a 40% increase in the percentage of past-30-day alcohol drinkers among middle-school students between 2005 and 2011, with > 80% of the lifetime drinkers having tried their first alcoholic drink before the age of 14 years (16). In Lebanon, alcohol is widely accessible, particularly around schools and universities (17), and alcohol policies remain absent or poorly enforced (15). Studies investigating risk and protective factors of alcohol consumption in young people in Lebanon date back to 1999 (18). More recent discussions with young people have highlighted that alcohol availability and accessibility, social learning from peers and family, and social control have a critical impact on alcohol consumption (19).

The present study extends current research on alcohol consumption in college students in general, and Lebanon in particular. By using a robust measure of health awareness, we investigated the association between awareness of long-term alcohol effects and alcohol drinking status, as defined by the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of mental disorders, 5th edition (DSM-5) (20). Consistent with research on knowledge of alcohol effects and related outcomes (13), we hypothesized that we would observe a negative association between awareness of long-term alcohol effects and AUDs.

Methods

Study design and participants

A sample of 1155 university students aged 18–25 years was conveniently recruited from 6 public and private universities in Lebanon between April and May 2016. The study followed a cross-sectional survey design. Universities were selected to ensure inclusion of large academic institutions, located in various areas of the country, with varying educational approaches based on 3 languages of instruction (Arabic, French or English), and distinct socio-economic compositions based on yearly tuition fees. Students were approached by trained field workers on and off campus, including surrounding outlets frequented by students. The study had a good response rate (83.1%) and ethical approval was obtained from the American University of Beirut Institutional Review Board. The data are part of a larger research initiative aimed at gathering evidence to inform a national alcohol policy for Lebanon.

Survey

Students completed a self-filled survey that was initially developed in English, translated into Arabic, and then back translated into English. Students chose to complete the survey in their language of preference. The survey consisted of several questions that measured participants’ alcohol consumption, awareness of long-term alcohol effects, as well policy-relevant covariates on perceived alcohol availability, affordability and advertising. Participants who gave oral informed consent completed the survey via pencil and paper.

Measures

Awareness of long-term alcohol effects (explanatory variable)

Participating students were asked if they, based on what they know or believe, thought that drinking too much (whether on a single occasion or over time) causes: 1- problems in the liver (fatty liver, alcoholic hepatitis, and cirrhosis); 2- cancers of the mouth, throat, oesophagus and breast; 3- damage to the heart causing irregular heartbeats, stroke or hypertension; and 4- weakening of the immune system. Responses were dichotomous (yes: correct, and no: incorrect); a “don’t know” response was treated as an incorrect answer given that study findings were intended to inform awareness-raising approaches.

Alcohol drinking status (outcome variable)

Past-year drinkers (students who had consumed at least 1 drink in the preceding year) were asked a set of questions to screen for DSM-5 defined AUD (20). By answering these questions, they were given an AUD score ranging from 0 to 11, and presence of at least 2 of these symptoms indicated an AUD. Our outcome variable was alcohol drinking status, a categorical measure including: 1- abstainers (never tried alcohol); 2- non-past-year drinkers (tried alcohol but did not drink in the past year); 3- past-year drinkers with no AUD (drank in the past year but did not meet DSM-5 criteria for an AUD); and 4- past-year drinkers with an AUD (drank in the past year and met DSM-5 criteria for an AUD).

Demographic characteristics and other covariates (potential confounders)

Demographics assessed included sex, age (older or younger than 21 years), and level of education (bachelor’s degree or higher). Demographics and other covariates were controlled for in our adjusted models. Given the well-established literature on smoking and its associa-
tion with alcohol consumption (21,22) and AUDs among young people (23), tobacco use was considered. Two variables were combined to measure tobacco use: cigarette use, defined as having smoked ≥ 1 cigarettes per day in the last 12 months (yes, no); and waterpipe use, defined as having smoked 1 waterpipe per week in the last 12 months (yes, no). Waterpipe use is a popular alternative tobacco product among young people in the region (24–26). Interpersonal factors, like peer/friend approval of drinking, can also influence substance use in adolescents and young adults (27,28). We included the variable perceived friend approval, which was measured by asking to what extent respondents’ close friends approved or disapproved of them drinking alcohol. Additionally, exposure to alcohol advertising within the 12 months preceding the survey was recorded, specifically “don’t drink and drive” messages on television, radio, social media, billboards, or text messages. Given that the sample consisted of students attending 7 campuses and representing 6 private and public universities in Lebanon, university affiliation was adjusted for in statistical analyses.

**Statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was conducted using Stata version 13.0. First, descriptive statistics of the sample were generated for all variables, followed by bivariate analyses of the awareness measures with alcohol drinking status and relevant covariates using Pearson’s c² statistics and their associated P value. Aligned with the directionality of associations from previous research (13), we considered the outcome of this study to be alcohol drinking status and the main explanatory variable to be awareness of effects of alcohol. Multinomial logit analysis was conducted to examine the direction and magnitude of the crude unadjusted associations of awareness of alcohol drinking status (Model 1), and adjusted associations controlling for potential confounders (Models 2 and 3). Model 2 adjusted for age, sex and university affiliation only. Model 3 adjusted for age, sex, university affiliation, level of education, past-year tobacco use, perceived friend approval, and exposure to alcohol advertising. Past-year drinkers without an AUD were assigned as the reference category in all models. All models accounted for clustering at the level of the university to generate more robust standard error estimates. Adjusted and unadjusted odds ratios with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) of awareness of long-term alcohol effects on the outcome of alcohol drinking status are presented in Table 2, but only adjusted estimates were interpreted.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Abstainers</th>
<th>Non-PY drinkers</th>
<th>PY no AUD</th>
<th>PY AUD</th>
<th>χ² P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt; 21</td>
<td>45.28 (523)</td>
<td>58.94 (234)</td>
<td>45.45 (80)</td>
<td>32.45 (123)</td>
<td>42.36 (86)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥ 21</td>
<td>54.72 (632)</td>
<td>41.06 (163)</td>
<td>54.55 (96)</td>
<td>67.55 (256)</td>
<td>57.64 (117)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>49.61 (573)</td>
<td>61.21 (243)</td>
<td>48.86 (86)</td>
<td>44.33 (168)</td>
<td>37.44 (78)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>50.39 (582)</td>
<td>38.79 (154)</td>
<td>51.14 (90)</td>
<td>55.67 (211)</td>
<td>62.56 (127)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education level</strong></td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor’s degree</td>
<td>79.05 (913)</td>
<td>84.13 (334)</td>
<td>73.86 (130)</td>
<td>73.88 (280)</td>
<td>83.25 (169)</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Higher degree</td>
<td>20.95 (242)</td>
<td>15.87 (63)</td>
<td>26.14 (48)</td>
<td>26.12 (99)</td>
<td>16.75 (34)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Past-year tobacco use</strong></td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>36.10 (417)</td>
<td>57.79 (241)</td>
<td>16.79 (70)</td>
<td>18.71 (78)</td>
<td>6.71 (28)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>63.90 (738)</td>
<td>21.14 (90)</td>
<td>14.36 (106)</td>
<td>40.79 (301)</td>
<td>23.71 (175)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Perceived friend approval</strong></td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disapprove</td>
<td>28.40 (328)</td>
<td>52.90 (210)</td>
<td>31.82 (56)</td>
<td>6.33 (24)</td>
<td>18.72 (38)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat approve</td>
<td>48.48 (560)</td>
<td>43.8 (251)</td>
<td>39.29 (156)</td>
<td>53.98 (95)</td>
<td>28.57 (58)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strongly approve</td>
<td>14.03 (162)</td>
<td>3.39 (13)</td>
<td>5.99 (23)</td>
<td>64.01 (246)</td>
<td>50.25 (102)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t know</td>
<td>2.68 (31)</td>
<td>4.53 (18)</td>
<td>1.14 (2)</td>
<td>1.58 (6)</td>
<td>2.46 (5)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exposure to drunk driving messages</strong></td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td>1155</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>24.33 (281)</td>
<td>15.37 (61)</td>
<td>23.30 (41)</td>
<td>32.98 (125)</td>
<td>26.60 (54)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>64.59 (746)</td>
<td>70.03 (278)</td>
<td>62.50 (110)</td>
<td>58.05 (220)</td>
<td>67.98 (138)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t remember</td>
<td>11.08 (128)</td>
<td>45.31 (58)</td>
<td>19.53 (25)</td>
<td>26.56 (34)</td>
<td>8.59 (11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Percentages have been rounded to 1 decimal place and may not total 100.
AUD = alcohol use disorder; PY = past-year.
Results

Sample description

Out of the total 1155 students, 397 (34.4%) were abstainers (never tried alcohol), 176 (15.2%) were non-past-year drinkers (tried alcohol but did not drink in the past year), 379 (32.8%) were past-year drinkers with no AUD (drank in the past year but did not meet DSM-5 criteria for AUD), and 203 (17.6%) were past-year drinkers with a DSM-5-defined AUD (Table 1). Among past-year drinkers with AUDs, data further revealed that they were distributed as follows: 118 (58.1%) with mild AUD, 42 (20.7%) with moderate AUD and 43 (21.2%) with severe AUD.

Sample demographic characteristics are described in Table 1. Of the 1155 students, 582 (50.4%) were male and 632 (54.7%) were aged ≥ 21 years (range 18–25, standard deviation = 1.84). Most participants were pursuing a Bachelor’s degree (I = 913; 79.1%) and most smoked tobacco in the past year (cigarettes or waterpipe) (I = 738; 63.9%). Most students were aware that drinking too much causes problems in the liver (fatty liver, alcoholic hepatitis, or cirrhosis) (n = 947; 82.0%; data not shown). Fewer students were aware that alcohol consumption can cause damage to the heart, resulting in irregular heartbeat, stroke or hypertension (n = 888; 76.8%; data not shown), or weaken the immune system (n = 802; 69.4%; data not shown), or cause cancers of the mouth, throat, oesophagus and breast (n = 715; 61.9%; data not shown).

Table 1 also summarizes the bivariate association between alcohol consumption (i.e., drinker types) and demographic data described in the above paragraph, and all the associations were significant. Past-year drinkers with DSM-5 AUDs were more likely to be aged ≥ 21 years, male, completing a BA (vs higher degree), past-year tobacco users, have peers who strongly approved of their drinking, and reported exposure to drink-driving messages.

Association between awareness of long-term alcohol effects and alcohol consumption: multinomial logit regression models

Table 2 presents the unadjusted results (Model 1), adjusted results controlling for age, sex and university affiliation (Model 2), and adjusted results controlling for all the sociodemographic characteristics presented in Table 1 in addition to university affiliation. Abstainers, non-past-year drinkers, and past-year drinkers with an AUD were compared with the reference group of past-year drinkers without an AUD. Only results of...
Model 3 are presented and interpreted since the 3 models generated similar findings.

Awareness of each of the 4 long-term alcohol effects varied in their association with the outcome of AUD (Table 2). Non-past-year ever drinkers were 2.6 times more likely to know that alcohol consumption was a risk factor for liver problems. Compared with past-year drinkers with no AUD, non-past-year ever drinkers were twice as likely to know about the link between alcohol and cancer of the mouth, throat, oesophagus and breast, while past-year drinkers with an AUD were about 53% less likely to know about this link. Additionally, abstainers and non-past-year ever drinkers were about twice more likely to know that alcohol can cause damage to the heart, as compared with past-year drinkers with no AUD. Awareness of the links between alcohol consumption and weakening of the immune system was not significantly associated with the outcome of alcohol consumption.

Discussion

In the present study, more than a quarter of the sample were unaware of some link between alcohol consumption and long-term health effects. Our results show that increased awareness of long-term alcohol effects was associated with a decreased likelihood of having AUDs, and that this is dependent on the type of long-term health consequence of which one is aware.

Contrary to a previous study that reported no association between knowledge of the effects of alcohol and actual consumption, we found an association between knowledge of alcohol-attributable cancer and drinker type—in some cases linked to diagnosable AUD. We found that non-past-year ever drinkers were more likely to know that alcohol could cause cancer of the mouth, throat, oesophagus and breast. Yet, past-year drinkers with a diagnosable AUD, compared with those without an AUD, were less likely to know about the association of alcohol with these cancers. In terms of the link between alcohol and damage to the heart, students who were abstainers or non-past-year ever drinkers were more likely to know about this long-term health effect than were past-year drinkers without an AUD. No associations were observed for drinker type and awareness of alcohol as causing weakening of the immune system. These results were significant even after controlling for a number of potential confounders including age, sex, level of education, past-year tobacco use, perceived friend approval, and exposure to alcohol advertising. Altogether, our results were in the predicted direction, confirming that increasing awareness of specific types of long-term alcohol effects may decrease harmful alcohol use in young adults.

Our approach adds several aspects to previous studies. First, we used multiple measures to capture awareness of long-term effects associated with alcohol consumption, which was not considered in previous studies. Second, we examined the effects of this measure on a clinically useful variable of alcohol abuse, past-year DSM-5-defined AUDs. Previous studies have either examined a link between knowledge and frequency of alcohol consumption or knowledge and high- or low-risk drinking. Additionally, this research was conducted in a middle-income country, where literature on this topic is particularly lacking, and where relative morbidity and mortality risks associated with alcohol consumption are particularly high. The current study provides initial support for the potential effectiveness of awareness campaigns that focus on the links between alcohol and specific long-term health effects. For instance, our findings support the use of health warning labels on retail beverages in an effort to control alcohol consumption. For such interventions to have a large impact on society they should be included as components of a comprehensive programme, including evidence-based alcohol control policies.

Our findings must be interpreted in light of a few study limitations. First, due to the cross-sectional nature of the data, we cannot assume temporal causality between awareness of long-term alcohol effects and alcohol drinking status. However, previous cross-sectional and longitudinal research has demonstrated a link between knowledge of alcohol effects on drinking patterns. Second, we relied on self-reported questionnaires for alcohol consumption outcomes, which may have biased the responses, but this method has generally been found to be valid in measuring alcohol consumption. Third, although the current study included multiple potential confounders in the analysis, there may be others that were not addressed and that could have affected the results, such as religiosity. Fourth, we measured the association of health awareness with alcohol consumption and acknowledge the theoretical and research literature that notes the limited evidence of a direct association between knowledge and behaviour. However, given the understanding of the necessity of awareness, ahead of change in attitudes, as well as the contradictory results found in linking awareness of long-term alcohol effects to alcohol consumption in previous research, we sought to contribute to the literature in this area. Fifth, a limitation of the main exposure variable is that all items assessing awareness of long-term alcohol effects were in the negative, where the correct answer was that alcohol is a risk factor for all suggested health effects. To measure true awareness of long-term effects of alcohol, a more thorough design will be needed where questions about long-term health outcomes that have not been linked to alcohol consumption (e.g., skin disease) are included. Lastly, despite the heterogeneity of the sample consisting of various public and private universities, convenience sampling impedes the ability to generalize the results (mainly the proportions) to all Lebanese college students. Future studies should examine the potentially moderating role of sex, and the mediating role of risk perceptions when investigating the association between awareness of distinct types of long-term effects of alcohol and direct measures of alcohol consumption behaviour.
Conclusion
Young adulthood is a significant opportunity to address harmful alcohol consumption, which is a largely preventable cause of cancer and other long-term health conditions. Our study conclusions have direct implications for harm-reduction strategies aimed at controlling alcohol consumption in this population. By increasing awareness of long-term effects of alcohol such as cancer of the mouth, throat, oesophagus and breast among young adults, interventions could mitigate alcohol-related harm in the short term and may prevent adverse health consequences in adulthood and later stages of life.
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La connaissance des effets à long terme de l'alcool sur la santé est-elle importante ?
Données d'un échantillon d'université au Liban

Résumé
Contexte : Les recherches établissant un lien entre la sensibilisation aux effets de l'alcool sur la santé et l'usage nocif de l'alcool sont limitées.

Objectifs : Étudier le lien entre la sensibilisation aux effets à long terme de l'alcool et les troubles liés à l'usage nocif de l'alcool.

Méthodes : Des étudiants universitaires âgés de 18 à 25 ans (n = 1155) ont répondu à une auto-enquête. Il a été demandé aux participants s'ils savaient que l'alcool pouvait provoquer les affections suivantes : 1) problèmes au niveau du foie ; 2) cancers de la bouche, de la gorge, de l'oesophage et du sein ; 3) lésions cardiaques ; et 4) affaiblissement du système immunitaire. Des analyses de régression multinomiale ont été menées pour évaluer l'association entre la connaissance des effets à long terme de l'alcool et le statut de consommation d'alcool, tels qu’ils sont définis dans la section sur les troubles liés à l’usage nocif de l'alcool du Manuel diagnostique et statistique des troubles mentaux, cinquième édition (DSM-5).

Résultats : Un tiers des répondants (32,8 %) étaient des consommateurs d'alcool au cours de l'année écoulée et 18 % ont été dépistés positifs pour des troubles liés à l'usage nocif de l'alcool selon le Manuel susmentionné. Par rapport aux consommateurs de l'année précédente n'ayant pas de troubles liés à l'usage nocif de l'alcool, les non-consommateurs de l'année précédente étaient deux fois plus susceptibles de connaître le lien entre l'alcool et les cancers de la bouche, de la gorge, de l'oesophage et du sein. Les consommateurs de l'année précédente souffrant de troubles liés à l'usage nocif de l'alcool étaient moins susceptibles de connaître cette association. Les non-consommateurs susmentionnés (par rapport aux consommateurs de l'année précédente n'ayant pas de troubles liés à l'usage nocif de l'alcool) étaient également 2,6 fois plus susceptibles de connaître les risques hépatiques liés à l'alcool.

Conclusions : Il existe une forte relation inverse entre la connaissance des effets de l'alcool et l'usage nocif chez les jeunes, ce qui apporte un soutien préliminaire à la nature protectrice des connaissances sur le statut de la consommation d'alcool. Il pourrait être utile de sensibiliser davantage le public aux effets à long terme de l'alcool sur la santé pour éduquer les méfaits de ce produit.
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