Cone Beam Tomography (CBCT) as a Diagnostic Tool to Assess the Relationship between the Inferior Alveolar Nerve and Roots of Mandibular Wisdom Teeth
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ABSTRACT
This study was undertaken to evaluate the relationship between the mandibular third molar and the mandibular canal by cone-beam computed tomography with coronal and sagittal reconstruction before mandibular third molar surgery.

Materials & Methods: Forty seven mandibular third molars in 30 patients were found in close association with the mandibular canal during a panoramic radiographic assessment. The 3-dimensional relationship between the mandibular third molar and the mandibular canal was evaluated in each case by using cone-beam computed tomography.

Results: Of the Forty seven wisdom teeth in close relationship with the mandubibular canal, eight mandibular canals were buccal to the third molars, eleven were lingual, 21 were inferior, and seven were between the roots. CBCT was more accurate than panoramic radiographs in demonstrating the presence or absence of cortical bone separating the root and the nerve.

Conclusion: Cone Beam Computed Tomography provides useful information regarding the 3-dimensional relationship between the mandibular third molar and the mandibular canal. Thus it can be used for risk assessment and planning of the surgical procedure.
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INTRODUCTION
The removal of mandibular third molars may cause dysesthesia or loss of sensation due to damage to the inferior alveolar nerve during the operation.3 Several factors are considered to be associated with nerve damage.1-3 It is known that the risk dramatically increases when there is direct contact between the nerve and the roots of the third molar,3,4 i.e. no cortical bone lamella separating the two. Thus, it is important to evaluate the anatomic relationship between the mandibular canal and the roots of third molars when surgery to be considered.

Panoramic radiographs are most commonly used for this purpose,5 and many researchers have reported imaging features suggestive of an intimate relationship between the 2 structures.5 Sedaghatfar et al.5 recently reported a retrospective study that showed the following 4 panoramic features were significantly associated with inferior alveolar nerve exposure following third molar removal:
1. Darkening of the root
2. Interruption of the white line of the mandibular canal wall
3. Diversion of the mandibular canal
4. Narrowing of the root

Due to the fact that panoramic radiographs only produce a two-dimensional image of a three-dimensional relationship between the structures it has been recommended that when the panoramic image is suggestive of an intimate relationship between the impacted tooth and the mandibular canal, conventional CT-scan should be used as an additional investigation to demonstrate the three-dimensional relationship between the two structures.4,6-8

However, one of the disadvantage of conventional CT is the much higher radiation dose that the patient receives compared with panoramic radiography4 (Table 1).4,6-9
and the mandibular canal on the panoramic radiograph was classified into 3 categories according to the position of the tip of the root, A) the root apex at the upper half of the mandibular canal, B) the root apex at the lower half of the mandibular canal, or C) the root apex under the inferior wall of the mandibular canal (Fig. 1).

RESULTS

Panoramic radiographs suggested 47 teeth 21 women and 9 men, 18-35 years of age with close relationship with IDN

Vertical relationship between the lower third molar root and the mandibular canal on the panoramic radiograph was classified into 3 categories according to the position of the tip of the root, A) the root apex at the upper half of the mandibular canal, B) the root apex at the lower half of the mandibular canal, or C) the root apex under the inferior wall of the mandibular canal (Fig. 1).

The panoramic radiographs were also evaluated with respect to presence or absence of cortical bone separating the nerve and the root.

The positional relationship between the mandibular canal and the impacted third molar revealed through the computed tomograph was classified in terms of the position into 1 of the 4 following categories: Buccal, inferior, lingual, or between roots. The root of the lower third molar was considered to be in contact with the neurovascular bundle in the mandibular canal when loss of the cortical lining of the mandibular canal was observed on the axial and coronal computed tomographs (Fig. 2).

MATERIALS & METHODS

Thirty patients (21 women and 9 men, 18-35 years of age) (47 mandibular wisdom teeth) with preoperative panoramic radiographs suggesting close relationship with the Inferior Alveolar Nerve (IAN). The Panoramic radiographs were taken with Kodak 8000C and the cone-beam computed tomographs (CBCT) were taken using a Kodak 9500C 3D cone beam system. The exposure factors were set at 120kV, and the slice thickness of contiguous sections was 1mm.

The surgical procedures involve where to remove bone, how to section the crowns and the root sockets, direction of removal of roots as well as identification of areas involving high risk of damaging the IAN were determined and made the basis for planning the surgical procedure, in this way aiming at minimizing the risk of iatrogenic nerve lesions.

Evaluation of the panoramic radiographs and computed tomographs

Vertical relationship between the lower third molar root and the mandibular canal on the panoramic radiograph was classified into 3 categories according to the position of the tip of the root, A) the root apex at the upper half of the mandibular canal, B) the root apex at the lower half of the mandibular canal, or C) the root apex under the inferior wall of the mandibular canal (Fig. 1).

The panoramic radiographs were also evaluated with respect to presence or absence of cortical bone separating the nerve and the root.

The positional relationship between the mandibular canal and the impacted third molar revealed through the computed tomograph was classified in terms of the position into 1 of the 4 following categories: Buccal, inferior, lingual, or between roots. The root of the lower third molar was considered to be in contact with the neurovascular bundle in the mandibular canal when loss of the cortical lining of the mandibular canal was observed on the axial and coronal computed tomographs (Fig. 2).

RESULTS

Panoramic radiographs suggested 47 teeth 21 women and 9 men, 18-35 years of age with close relationship with IDN
third molar root and the mandibular canal in these teeth was 20(42.55%) with type A, 18(38.29%) with type B and 9(19.14%) were type A, B, and C (Fig. 1), respectively.

Locations of the mandibular canal in relation to the mandibular third molars as demonstrated by CBCT in the included cases were:

8(17.02%) mandibular canals were in the buccal position, 21(44.68%) were in the inferior position, 11(23.4%) were lingual, and 7(14.89%) were between the roots (Fig. 2). The mandibular canal was in contact with the root surface of 12 teeth (i.e., there was no cortical bone separating the mandibular canal and the root (Table 2). There was an obvious difference between the two radiographic methods ability to detect presence of cortical bone between the root and the IAN, CBCT giving more accurate information.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2</th>
<th>Comparison of panoramic radiographs and CBCT with respect to ability to identify presence or absence of cortical bone separating the root and the IAN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N= 47</td>
<td>+ Cortical separation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPG</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CBCT</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Difference between OPG &amp; CBCT</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Chi square testing demonstrates significant differences (p = .000) in detection of cortical bone separating the nerve and the root between CBCT and OPG.

CASE REPORTS

CASE 1 (Between Roots)

A left lower third molar root was located under the inferior wall of the mandibular canal.

The white line of the cortical bone of the mandibular canal and the black line of the periodontal membrane space were not clearly seen on a panoramic radiograph. The cortical bone surrounding the mandibular canal was not present on the computed tomograph. The inferior alveolar nerve was exposed in the surgical field during the procedure, however, the patient had normal sensory function in the IAN and mental nerve following the procedure. (Fig. 3)

CASE 2 (Buccal Position)

In this patient, a left lower third molar root was located in the upper half of the mandibular canal, and the white line of the cortical bone of the mandibular canal and the black line of the periodontal membrane space were clearly seen on a panoramic radiograph (Fig. 4A). CBCT revealed that the mandibular canal was located at the buccal aspect of the root, and the white lines of the mandibular canal were clearly seen; moreover, there was a space between the root and the mandibular canal. However, the lingual cortical bone was very thin (Fig. 4B,C). No nerve injuries were present after the procedure.

CASE 3 (Inferior Position)

A right lower third molar root was located above the inferior wall of the mandibular canal.
The white line of the cortical bone of the mandibular canal and the black line of the periodontal membrane space were not clearly seen on a panoramic radiograph. The cortical bone surrounding the mandibular canal was not present on the computed tomograph. The inferior alveolar nerve was not exposed in the surgical field during the procedure, however, the patient had normal sensory function in the IAN and mental nerve following the procedure. (Fig. 5)

CASE 4 (Lingual Position)
A right lower third molar root was located in the upper half under of the mandibular canal.

The white line of the cortical bone of the mandibular canal and the black line of the periodontal membrane space were clearly seen on a panoramic radiograph. The cortical bone surrounding the mandibular canal was not present on the computed tomograph. The inferior alveolar nerve was not exposed in the surgical field during the procedure, however, the patient had normal sensory function in the IAN and mental nerve following the procedure. (Fig. 6)

DISCUSSION
Dysthesia and paraesthesia caused by inferior alveolar nerve injury during the surgical removal of mandibular third molars often disappears within a few months; however, it can be very distressing, if it is permanent.3 The risk of development of postoperative dysthesia increase if the mandibular canal and the tooth root are in proximity. The incidence of inferior alveolar nerve injury after surgical removal of mandibular third molars has been reported to be between 0.4% and 5.2%.1,3,6,21,23-25

In general, a panoramic radiograph can help to determine the location of the mandibular canal; however, this technique provides limited information because it only provide a 2-dimensional image so sufficient diagnostic information related to the actual 3-dimensional anatomy is lacking with this method (eg, the presence or absence of cortical bone around the mandibular canal, the buccolingual relationship between the mandibular canal and the lower third molar, and the detailed shape of the root might not be clearly evident on a panoramic radiograph).

Although panoramic images cannot provide three dimensional information, numerous clinical studies4-6,16-19 have been performed to determine signs predictive of intraoperative neurovascular bundle exposure during surgery or postoperative dysthesia/paraesthesia.

Rood and Shehab17 found that diversion of the canal, darkening of the root, and interruption of the white line corresponding to the cortical bone of the mandibular canal on periapical and panoramic radiographs were significant signs related to risk of inferior alveolar nerve injury. Rud9 and Kipp et al.3 reported similar findings.

Sedaghatfar et al.5, in a recently conducted retrospective study, reported the following four features: 1) darkening of the root, 2) interruption of the white line of the mandibular canal wall, 3) diversion of the mandibular canal, and 4) narrowing of the root were significantly associated with inferior alveolar nerve exposure at surgery. The sensitivity and specificity of
these features ranged from 42% to 75% and 66% to 91%, respectively. However, they did not determine the optimal diagnostic criteria for panoramic images in predicting exposure. Bell et al. reported that the sensitivity and specificity of panoramic images in predicting exposure were 66% and 74% on average, respectively. They also reported that these values varied widely among observers and emphasized the need for further standardization of diagnostic criteria. To our knowledge, there have been few studies that clearly defining diagnostic criteria for panoramic images in predicting neurovascular bundle exposure and evaluated the accuracy of such criteria.

Computed Tomography provides surgeons with useful and relevant anatomical information, due to the high resolution of CT. The usefulness of conventional CT in evaluating the topographic relationship between the mandibular canal and the third molars has been reported. However, there are few studies that correlated CT findings with surgical outcome with respect to nerve injuries. Thus, accurate preoperative determination of neurovascular bundle position and its relationship to the roots of wisdom teeth in all 3 dimensions is very useful for predicting potential risk of injuring the inferior alveolar nerve during surgery. Also, this information is very useful when informing the patient about surgical risks and obtaining informed consent experimental studies have confirmed the geometric accuracy of cone-beam CT.

Due to the recent development and spread of Cone Beam CT, three-dimensional images are becoming more easily available in dentistry.

CBCT has recently been described for use in various clinical fields such as planning and simulation of dental implant treatment, endodontics, periodontics, and oral surgical procedures. However, further investigations will be necessary to validate the clinical usefulness of the technology.

Though CBCT involves relatively small doses of radiation compared to conventional CT, the technology cause relatively high radiation exposure to the salivary gland and skin, which together with the relatively high cost of CBCT, is not always indicated, and it is therefore necessary to establish criteria for when to choose CBCT as the imaging modality. The comparison between cone-beam CT and medical CT is another important concern.

Hashimoto et al. reported that cone-beam CT was significantly superior to conventional multidetector CT in visualizing teeth and their surrounding structures. Holberg et al. reported the opposite results in their clinical study (74.46% in CBCT, 42.55% for OPG in this study). Although direct comparison of these 2 modalities could determine which is superior in predicting neurovascular bundle exposure at extraction, such a clinical study might not be performed because patients would receive a significant radiation exposure.

CONCLUSION

This study confirmed the clinical usefulness of cone-beam CT for our preoperative evaluation and our planning of the way of surgical procedure of impacted mandibular third molars with close relationship to the mandibular canal. It also showed that CBCT is more accurate in demonstrating presence or absence of cortical bone separating the nerve and the root. CBCT should be performed when roots of mandibular wisdom teeth are superimposed onto or below the upper cortical lamella of the mandibular canal.
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