BONE MINERAL DENSITY IN PATIENTS WITH CHRONIC LOW BACK PAIN

Saira Jilani, Tariq Aziz*, Atif Ahmed Khan**, Mahmood Ahmad***, Sara Iqbal****, Hina Kanwal Shafaat

Combined Military Hospital Bahawalpur/National University of Medical Sciences (NUMS) Pakistan, *Armed Forces Institute of Rehabilitation on Medicine/National University of Medical Sciences (NUMS) Rawalpindi Pakistan, **Combined Military Hospital Kohat/National University of Medical Sciences (NUMS) Pakistan, ***Combined Military Hospital Multan/National University of Medical Sciences (NUMS) Pakistan, ****Pak Emirates Military Hospital/ National University of Medical Sciences (NUMS) Rawalpindi Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine mean bone mineral density in patients with chronic low back pain presenting at Armed Forces Institute of Rehabilitation Medicine Rawalpindi based on dual energy x-ray absorptiometry studies. *Study Design*: Cross sectional study.

Place and Duration of Study: Armed Forces Institute of Rehabilitation Medicine (AFIRM), Rawalpindi from Apr 2015 to Mar 2016.

Patients and Methods: Two hundred and forty patients having low back pain of more than 6 months duration fulfilling the inclusion criteria were included both from indoor and outdoor departments through non-probability consecutive sampling. Bone mineral density was measured at lumbar spine by dual energy x-ray absorptiometry studies by the same technical staff using the same equipment. A written informed consent was taken from each patient. Data were collected and recorded on specialized proforma by the principal investigator.

Results: Spine BMD on DXA scan ranged from 0.90 to 0.98 g/cm² with a mean of 0.95 ± 0.02 as shown in. When stratified, the mean BMD decreased significantly with increasing age and severity of LBP; 20-30 years vs. 31-40 years (0.95 ± 0.01 vs. 0.92 ± 0.02; p=0.001). However, there was no significant difference in mean BMD across genders; male vs. female (0.94 ± 0.01 vs. 0.94 ± 0.02; p=0.680). Similarly there was no significant difference in mean BMD across various durations of low back pain; 7-10 vs. 11-14 months (0.94 ± 0.03 vs. 0.93 ± 0.01; p=0.617).

Conclusion: The mean bone mineral density at spine was found to be lower in patients with chronic low back pain. It was significantly lower in older patients and those with severe low back pain. However, it didn't change significantly with various durations of low back pain or gender.

Keywords: Bone Mineral Density, Chronic Low Back Pain, Dual Energy X-Ray Absorptiometry, Low Back Pain.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Low back pain (LBP) is one of the commonest musculoskeletal disorders disabling people worldwide. The mean overall prevalence of LBP is 31.0% globally¹. Chronic low back pain (CLBP), defined as LBP of more than six months duration, has a significant impact on the ability to perform activities of daily living (ADLs)². CLBP is associated with multiple psychological, biomechanical and occupational factors³. Factors affecting quality of life (QOL) in persons with CLBP include pain, stiffness, sleep, socializing and housework^{4,5}. Bone health is an important factor to be considered in management of

Email: tariqawan80@gmail.com

patients with CLBP⁶. Individuals suffering from CLBP show evidence of decreased bone mineral density (BMD) at the lumbar spine, reason being disuse associated with the fear of provoking back pain with physical activity7. Individuals with severe back pain tend to stiffen their trunks and adopt alternative movement strategies while limiting normal movements at the intervertebral joints⁸. This alters the biomechanics of spine and decreases the normal physiologic stress to the spine that is necessary for maintenance of skeletal integrity^{9,10}. A survey of large population in United States concluded that the normal value of BMD at lumbar spine in persons aged between 20 and 40 years ranges from 1.05 to 1.08 g/cm^{2 11}. It was also confirmed in another study that individuals with CLBP have lower mean spine BMD (0.94 ± 0.13), because CLBP restricts some

Correspondence: Dr Tariq Aziz, House No. 04, Street No. 32, Sector-C DHA-1 Islamabad Pakistan

Received: 15 Jun 2017; revised received: 29 Nov 2017; accepted: 08 Dec 2017

ADLs which exert negative influence on BMD¹². The imaging modalities used for the assessment of BMD include conventional x-ray radiographs, dual energy x-ray absorptiometry (DXA) scan, ultrasonography, computed tomography (CT), and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) scans¹³. The current standard for predicting bone mass loss is DXA scan. The hip and lumbar spine are conventionally used as the measurement sites for BMD¹⁴, and the results are depicted via two measures, T-scores and Z-scores. The Z-score is used for measuring BMD in premenopausal woman, children and men less than 50 years of age. Low BMD is defined as Z-score less than -2.0 on DXA scan. According to a study mean BMD at spine was 0.94 ± 0.13 in patients <40 years of age with chronic low back pain. The T-score is the value of BMD as compared to those healthy controls having their peak BMD and is used for postmenopausal woman and older men¹³. Although there is evidence of a relationship between CLBP and reduced BMD, it has not been studied extensively in Pakistani population. Aim of this study is to explore the relationship between CLBP and low BMD in Pakistani population presenting at a large tertiary care rehabilitation institute. This will help physicians and patients with CLBP to develop preventive strategies in their daily life so as to reduce the consequences of low BMD.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

This cross-sectional study was conducted at Armed Forces Institute of Rehabilitation Medicine (AFIRM) Rawalpindi from April 2015 to Mar 2016. After obtaining permission from the institutional ethical committee, 240 patients having LBP of more than six months duration, aged 20 to 40 years, of both genders both from indoor and outdoor departments of AFIRM during the study period were included, through non-probability consecutive sampling, who had willingly accepted to participate in the study. The sample size was calculated using WHO sample size calculator (with confidence Level being 95%, anticipated population mean being 0.94, standard deviation being 0.13, and absolute precision being 0.065)¹². All participants underwent interview for detailed clinical history and relevant physical examination including measurement of pain using visual analogue scale (VAS) in various age groups. The patients who had either the confounding factors or effect modifiers which could have resulted in bias were excluded, such as: Patients who were immobile due to any reason for ≥ 6 weeks within the last 12 months, Current smokers or those having history of smoking in the last 10 years, those having other comorbid conditions that affect BMD e.g. Rheumatoid Arthritis, Osteomalacia, Paget's disease, Cushing's syndrome, Seronegative spondyloarthropathies, Chronic renal failure, those having Body mass index (BMI) <18 or >30, and those who were taking medications which effect BMD, for more than 6 months, e.g. estrogen, progesterone, bisphosphonates and other osteoporosis therapies.

DXA scans were then performed via one pass single-sweep scanning technique by the same examiner using "Hologic Discovery DXA system" machine. All DXA scans were performed by the same technician and reports were verified by a single consultant to eliminate bias. Data thus collected were recorded on specialized proforma by the principal investigator. The outcome variable was BMD. Patients' confidentiality and anonymity were kept preserved. Data were analyzed with the help of statistical analysis program SPSS ver 17.0. For qualitative variables, like gender, frequency and percentages were used. For quantitative variables, like age and BMD, mean and standard deviation (SD) were used. Data were stratified for age, gender, and duration of LBP to address effect modifiers. Post-stratification independent sample student's t-test was applied taking *p*-value ≤ 0.05 as significant.

RESULTS

Out of 240 enrolled participants 48 (20%) were males and 192 (80%) were females. Mean age was 30.80 ± 5.69 years. The duration of low back pain ranged from 7 to 14 months with a mean of 10.05 ± 2.32 months. Spine BMD on DXA scan ranged from 0.90 to 0.98 g/cm² with a mean

of 0.95 ± 0.02 as shown in table-I. When stratified, the mean BMD decreased significantly with increasing age and severity of LBP; 20-30 years vs. 31-40 years (0.95 ± 0.01 vs. 0.92 ± 0.02 ; p=0.001) as shown in table-II. However, there was no significant difference in mean BMD across genders; male vs. female (0.94 ± 0.01 vs. 0.94 ± 0.02 ; p=0.680). Similarly there was no significant difference in mean BMD across of low back pain; 7-10 vs. 11-14 months (0.94 ± 0.03 vs. 0.93 ± 0.01 ; p=0.617) as shown in table-III.

DISCUSSION

11-14

Amongst the musculoskeletal disorders LBP and osteoporosis are two major conditions which

spine in those aged between 20 to 40 years ranges from 1.05 to 1.08 g/cm² ¹¹. Yet another study concludes that patients with CLBP have lower mean spine BMD (0.94 ± 0.13), as a result of limitations in performance of ADLs which influence BMD positively¹². In our study the age of the patients ranged from 20 years to 40 years with a mean of 30.80 ± 5.69 years. Makhdoom et al, similarly reported mean age at presentation to be 36.2625 ± 9.41 years¹³. There were 20% male and 80% female patients in our study giving a male to female ratio of 1:4. A similar female predisposition was reported by Al-Saeed *et al.* in Kuwait in which 19% were males and 81% were females¹². The duration of LBP ranged from 7 months to 14

Table-I: Summary of age, duration of low back pain, bone mineral density (n=240).

Characteristic		Minimum	Maximum		Mean	SD	
Age (Years)		20	40		30.80	5.70	
Duration of LBP (Months)		7	14		10.05	2.32	
BMD (g/cm^2)		0.90	0.98		0.95	0.02	
Fable-II: Compa	rison of bon	e mineral density	across vario	us age	groups/pain scor	res.	
Age Groups	Ν	Pain Scores (Mean ± SD)		B	SMD (Mean ± SD)	<i>p</i> -value	
20-30 Years	69	5.58 ± 1.24			0.95 ± 0.01	0.001	
31-40 Years	171	7.20 ± 0.92			0.92 ± 0.02		
Student T-test has bee	en applied taking	value ≤0.05 as significar	nt.				
Fable-III: Comp	arison of bo	ne mineral density	v across vario	ous du	rations of low ba	ck pain.	

Tuble-III. Comparison of bole initial density deloss various dufations of low back pain.Duration of LBP (Months)nBMD (Mean \pm SD)p-value7-10109 0.94 ± 0.03 0.647

131

*Student T-test has been applied taking *p*-value ≤ 0.05 as significant.

collectively lead to significant health problem. Bone health as measured by bone mineral density (BMD) is one of the important factors that affect management of patients with LBP/CLBP6. Patients suffering from LBP especially CLBP show evidence of decreased BMD at the lumbar spine, usually as a consequence of disuse associated with the fear of aggravation of back pain associated with strenuous physical activity7. Severe back pain results in stiffeness of trunk musculature making those affected to adopt alternative postures/ movement strategies⁸. The consequent altered spine biomechanics lead to decreased physiologic stress to skeletal elements of spine9,10. According to a United States based large population survey, normal BMD at lumbar

months with a mean of 10.05 ± 2.32 months in our study. BMD on DXA scan ranged from 0.90 to 0.98 g/cm² with a mean of 0.94 ± 0.02 in our study. Comparable results have been reported previously by Makhdoom *et al.* (0.93 ± 0.32) in Pakistani population at Karachi¹³ and Al-Saeed *et al* (0.94 ± 0.13) in Kuwait¹².

 0.93 ± 0.01

0.617

When we stratified, the mean BMD decreased significantly with increasing age and severity of low back pain of the patient. A similar significant difference was previously observed by Al-Saeed *et al*¹². There was no significant diffe-rence in mean BMD across the two genders and various durations of low back pain. Our results are in accordance with those of Makhdoom *et al*¹³. who also didn't observe any significant difference of mean BMD across genders. The strengths of our study were strict exclusion criteria to minimize bias and stratification of data to address effect modifiers but inclusion of patients from a limited age group comprising 20 to 40 years was the main limitation.

CONCLUSION

The mean BMD at spine was found to be low in patients with CLBP. It was significantly lower in older patients and those with severe low back pain. However, it didn't change significantly with various durations of low back pain or gender.

RECOMMENDATIONS

The present study adds limited but important information to the existing evidence on mean BMD in local Pakistani population with CLBP emphasizing the need to repeat this study over a larger sample size with wide range of patient ages followed by data stratification for age groups to confirm this association of low BMD with CLBP.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

This study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

REFERENCES

- Hoy D, Bain C, Williams G, March L, Brooks P, Blyth F, et al. A systematic review of the global prevalence of low back pain. Arthritis Rheum 2012; 64(6): 2028-37.
- 2. Kim S, Hwang B. Relationship between bone mineral density

and the frequent administration of epidural steroid injections in postmenopausal women with low back pain. Pain Res Manag 2014; 19(1): 30-4.

- 3. Wright NC, Looker AC, Saag KG, Curtis JR, Delzell ES. The recent prevalence of osteoporosis and low bone mass in the United States based on bone mineral density at the femoral neck or lumbar spine. J Bone Miner Res 2014; 29(11): 2520-6.
- Rasul NH, Malik NA, Siddiqi FA. Cross sectional survey of prevalence of low back pain in forward bend sitting posture. Rawal Med J 2013; 38: 253-5.
- Asim HM, Ismail MS. The prevalence of chronic low back pain in office workers of Lahore Medical and Dental College and Ghurki Trust Teaching Hospital, Lahore. Int J Rehab Sci 2012; 01: 25-9.
- Snider KT, Johnson JC, Degenhardt BF, Snider EJ, Burton DC. Association of low back pain, somatic dysfunction, and lumbar bone mineral density: reproducibility of findings. J Am Osteopath Assoc 2014; 114: 356-67.
- 7. Briggs AM, Straker LM. Chronic low back pain is associated with reduced vertebral bone mineral measures in communitydwelling adults. BMC Musculoskelet Disord 2012; 13: 49.
- Lee S, Nam CM, Yoon DH, Kim KN, Yi S, Shin DA, Ha Y. Association between low-back pain and lumbar spine bone density: A population-based cross-sectional study. J Neurosurg Spine 2013; 19(3): 307-13.
- 9. Huan HC, Chang HJ, Lin KC, Chiu HY, Chung JH, Tsai HC. A closer examination of the interaction among risk factors for low back pain. Am J Health Promot 2014; 28(6): 372-9.
- Hirano K, Imagama S, Hasegawa Y, Ito Z, Muramoto A, Ishiguro N. Impact of low back pain, knee pain, and timed upand-go test on quality of life in community-living people. J Orthop Sci 2014; 19: 164-71.
- 11. Looker AC, Borrud LG, Hughes JP, Fan B, Shepherd JA, Sherman M. Total body bone area, bone mineral content, and bone mineral density for individuals aged 8 years and over: United States, 1999-2006. Vital Health Stat 2013; (253): 1-78.
- Al-Saeed O, Mohammed A, Azizieh F, Gupta R. Evaluation of bone mineral density in patients with chronic low back pain. Asian Spine J 2013; 7: 104-10.
- Makhdoom A, Tunio ZH, Saeed M, Memon MA, Tahir SM, Awan S, et al. Bone mineral density in patients with chronic backache. J Pak Med Assoc 2014; 64: S119-22.

.....