ASYMPTOMATIC BACTERIURIA IN WOMEN PRESENTING TO ANTENATAL CLINIC AT A TERTIARY CARE HOSPITAL IN KARACHI, PAKISTAN

Saba Pario, Aeman Muneeb*, Samia Shuja

Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Centre (JPMC) Karachi Pakistan, *Aga Khan University Karachi Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Objective: To determine the frequency, risk factors, and common causative agents of asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnant women attending antenatal clinic at JPMC Karachi.

Study Design: Cross sectional study.

Place and Duration of Study: Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Center Karachi, from Jul 2014 to Sep 2015.

Material and Methods: One hundred seventy seven women participated according to pre-determined inclusion criteria. All of them underwent urine examination on midstream urine and urine was cultured in case of a positive test (>10 leukocytes per microliter of urine).

Results: Incidence of asymptomatic bacteriuria was 12.4% of the 177 women. Low socioeconomic status was found to be the only statistically significant risk factor associated. The most common causative agent was *E. coli*.

Conclusion: Pregnant women belonging to lower socioeconomic status are more likely to have asymptomatic bacteriuria. The recommendations stemming out of the study include complete examination of urine of all pregnant women to be carried out at first antenatal visit and culture of urine to follow if indicated.

Keywords: Asymptomatic infections, Bacteriuria, Pregnancy, Urinary tract infections.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

INTRODUCTION

Campbell-Walsh Urology defines UTI as" an inflammatory response of the urothelium to bacterial invasion that is usually associated with bacteriuria and pyuria¹."

All age groups are equally susceptible to this infection but women are at increased risk due to shorter length of urethra, absence of prostatic secretions and hence easy contamination of the urinary tract with fecal flora. Pregnancy is an additional risk factor².

A sample of normal urine is sterile. If bacteria are found in urine this is called bacteriuria¹. Incidence of bacteriuria in pregnancy is same as in non-pregnant women but that of acute pyelonephritis, a complication of UTI, increases³.

Bacteriuria can be both symptomatic and asymptomatic¹. If bacteria are detected in urine

Correspondence: Dr Samia Shuja, Shaikh Saeed Campus, Indus Hospital Korangi Karachi Pakistan *Email: drsamiashuja@yahoo.com*

Received: 28 Aug 2016; revised received: 08 Nov 2017; accepted: 27 Nov 2017

in a concentration that signifies infection but clinical signs and symptoms are absent, the condition is called asymptomatic bacteriuria⁴. Amongst infectious complications of pregnancy, asymptomatic bacteriuria is one of the most common¹.

UTIs have significant morbidity and mortality associated with them¹. Complications of pregnancy attributed to UTI include low birth weight, preterm labor and intra-uterine growth restriction; anemia, hypertension and maternal renal problems are known to have a less certain association⁵. Greater likelihood of pyelonephritis following UTI in pregnancy is believed to arise from anatomic and physiological changes during pregnancy³. Diagnosis and treatment of asymptomatic bacteriuria plays a key role in reducing the risk of pyelonephritis⁶.

A number of factors have been known to increase the likelihood of UTI during pregnancy. They include advancing age, increasing parity and more frequent sexual activity and sickle cell trait. In addition low socioeconomic status, history of recurrent UTI, diabetes mellitus and urinary tract abnormalities have also been shown to have a positive association^{4,5}.

Causative organisms and the resistance to antibiotic therapy varies a lot; with different areas of the same country harboring different pathogens. Even resistant strains can cause asymptomatic infections among pregnant women. Monitoring of common pathogens is thus essential⁷.

This study was performed to ascertain the risk factors associated with the development of asymptomatic bacteriuria during pregnancy in the population attending antenatal clinic at JPMC Karachi so that high risk groups can be screened in time for a possible urinary tract infection and treated accordingly. Another objective was to discover the common causative agents of asymptomatic bacteriuria in our population so that appropriate empirical therapy may be initiated even when test results are unavailable.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A cross-sectional study was carried out at the antenatal clinic of the Jinnah Postgraduate Medical Centre Karachi for a period of 15 months. Total 177 women were selected to ascertain the frequency and risk factors of UTI including age, gestational age, parity, educational level, anemia, socioeconomic status, sexual activity and past history of UTI. Eight women were lost to follow up.

Sample size was calculated using a population of 1000,000, an estimated prevalence of 2.5-8.7%⁸ and a confidence level of 95%. Required sample size came out to be 123. One hundred and eighty five participants were enrolled to cater for loss to follow up and 177 participants completed the study requirements. A systematic sampling method was used. Every tenth pregnant women registering for the antenatal clinic at JPMC was evaluated using the inclusion criteria and included in the study if she met the criteria.

All pregnant women irrespective of age, gestational age and parity were included while women with urinary symptoms, chronic renal disease, past history of immunosuppressive therapy, history of antibiotic intake in the last 7 days, renal transplant and diabetes were excluded.

Informed consent was taken and data collected using a self-designed proforma. All women underwent complete examination of urine on midstream urine. The test was



Figure: Percentage of urinary tract infections in the study population.

considered positive if more than 10 leukocytes were detected per micro liter of urine. In all positive cases, urine was cultured.

Data was analyzed using SPSS version 22. Age and trimester wise association with UTI was calculated using the chi-square test. The rest of the variables were 2x2 contingency tables and were tabulated using chi-square test. Odds ratios were also calculated. A *p*-value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

Of the 177 women in the study population, 22 (12.4%) had asymptomatic bacteriuria. 155 (87.6%) did not have asymptomatic bacteriuria (figure).

Eighteen (10.17%) women were less than 20 years of age, 144 (81.36%) were between 20-30 years of age, fourteen (7.91%) were between 30 to 40 years of age and only one (0.56%) woman was above 40 years. The data was analyzed using the

Chi-square test (*p*-value 0.57) and no statistical significance was found between age and the incidence of urinary tract infection (table-I).

Five women (2.8%) presented in the first trimester of pregnancy. None (0.00%) of them had UTI. Sixty-five women (36.72%) presented in the second trimester with nine (13.85%) of them being diagnosed with UTI (table-II). One hundred seven women (60.45%) visited the antenatal clinic in the 3rd trimester with 13 (12.15%) of them being eventually diagnosed with urinary tract infection. The data was analyzed using chi-square test (p-value=0.66) and no association was seen between the gestational age and the incidence of urinary tract

Imade *et al*¹¹ found high incidences of 30% and 45% respectively.

Low socioeconomic status was found to be the only statistically significant risk factor in our study. Most of the patients in the study belonged to the low socioeconomic group. This finding, of low socioeconomic status being a significant risk factor is in agreement with Haider *et al*⁸. Dimetry¹² but in contrast to Perera *et al*¹³. Other factors were probably insignificant in our study because of the small number of women who tested positive for asymptomatic bacteriuria. Consequently, further studies are needed to investigate this link drawing participants from selective groups which are at a greater risk for

	With UTI (n=22)	Without UTI (n=155)	Total (100%)	Chi-Square	<i>p</i> -value
Age	· _ ·	<u> </u>	· · ·		
<20	4 (22.2%)	14 (77.8%)	18 (100%)		0.572
20-30	16 (11.1%)	128 (88.9%)	144 (100%)		
31-40	2 (14.3%)	12 (85.7%)	14 (100%)	2.002	
>40	0 (0.00%)	1 (100.0%)	1 (100%)		
	22 (12.4%)	155 (87.6%)	177 (100%)		
Trimester	i i i	<u> </u>	· · · ·		
1st	0 (0.00%)	5 (100.0%)	5 (100%)		0.658
2nd	9 (13.8%)	56 (86.2%)	65 (100%)	0.837	
3rd	13 (12.1%)	94 (87.9%)	107 (100%)	0.657	
	22 (12.4%)	155 (87.6%)	177 (100%)		

Table-I: Demographics and cl	haracteristics of	patients enrolled.
Tuble 1. Demographics and e	indiacteribrieb of	putiento cinonea.

infection.

The commonest causative agent of bacteriuria in our study was *Escherichia Coli*, with an incidence of 45.45% followed by Klebseilla pneumonia at 22.73% and staphylococcus aureus at 18.18% (table-III).

DISCUSSION

In the study under discussion, the incidence for asymptomatic bacteriuria was 12.4%. Different studies carried out in the past have shown variable incidences of asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnant women. For instance, Haider *et al*⁸, found a low incidence of 4.3%, Fatima *et al*⁹ found 4.8%, while Haddad *et al*¹⁰ and developing urinary tract infection during pregnancy. A greater number of positive women would then help to correlate the factors which are contributing to their infection with the risk factors associated with them.

Low socioeconomic status is probably the most significant risk factor in this study because economic status defines a lot of other variables including (but not limited to) hygiene, sexual practices, diet (leading to anemia), educational level and parity. These other variables can lead to infection which was not evident in our study but was reported in other studies. The difference in the rates of infection between low and high income countries may therefore be attributed to the socioeconomic status of the majority of the population.

Maternal age was found to have no association with development of asymptomatic bacteriuria during pregnancy. This is in agreement with Haider *et al*⁸ but in disagreement with

was found in our study. This is in line with Perera *et al*¹³ and Masinde *et al*¹⁴.

History of sexual activity was not a risk factor in our study contrary to the findings of Haider *et al*⁸ and Amiri *et al*¹⁵, both of whom found a strong association.

Table-II: Cross tabs of urinary tract infections status with possible risk factors among patients.							
With UTI n=22 (12.4%)	Without UTI n=155 (87.6%)	Total		1	Statistical		
			ratio	<i>p</i> -value	Sign.		
-							
13 (14.4%)	77 (85.6%)	90 (100%)	1.46	0.409	No		
9 (10.3%)	78 (89.7%)	87 (100%)					
evel							
16 (13.3%)	104 (86.7%)	120 (100%)	1.31	0.597	No		
6 (10.5%)	51 (89.5%)	57 (100%)					
17 (14.9%)	97 (85.1%)	114 (100%)	2.03	0.178	No		
5 (7.9%)	58 (92.1%)	63 (100%)					
Low income group							
12 (9.2%)	119 (90.8%)	131 (100%)	0.36	0.026	Yes		
10 (21.7%)	36 (78.3%)	46 (100%)					
Sexual Activity							
12 (13.2%)	79 (86.8%)	91 (100%)	1.15	0.753	No		
10 (11.6%)	79 (88.4%)	89 (100%)					
Past history of UTI							
10 (17.5%)	47 (82.5%)	57 (100%)	1.91	0.155	No		
12 (10.0%)	108 (90.0%)	120 (100%)					
ses of urinary tra	act infections asc	ertained by u	rine cultu	ire.			
Organism		No.		Percentage (%)			
E. coli		10		45.45			
Klebsiella pneumonia		5		22.73			
Staphylococcus aureus		4		18.18			
Streptococcus aglactiae		3		13.64			
Total		22		100			
	n=22 (12.4%) 13 (14.4%) 9 (10.3%) 9 (10.3%) 9 (10.3%) evel 16 (13.3%) 6 (10.5%) 17 (14.9%) 5 (7.9%) 5 (7.9%) roup 12 (9.2%) 10 (21.7%) 9 Y 12 (13.2%) 10 (17.5%) 12 (10.0%) sess of urinary transmission 12 (10.0%) s aureus 10	With UTI $n=22 (12.4\%)$ Without UTI $n=155 (87.6\%)$ 13 (14.4%)77 (85.6%)9 (10.3%)78 (89.7%)evel16 (13.3%)16 (13.3%)104 (86.7%)6 (10.5%)51 (89.5%)17 (14.9%)97 (85.1%)5 (7.9%)58 (92.1%)roup12 (9.2%)119 (90.8%)10 (21.7%)36 (78.3%)79 (86.8%)10 (11.6%)79 (86.8%)10 (17.5%)47 (82.5%)12 (10.0%)108 (90.0%)ses of urinary tract infections ascentumonias aureus	With UTI $n=22 (12.4\%)$ Without UTI $n=155 (87.6\%)$ Total13 (14.4\%)77 (85.6\%)90 (100%)9 (10.3%)78 (89.7%)87 (100%)9 (10.3%)78 (89.7%)87 (100%)evel120 (100%)6 (10.5%)51 (89.5%)57 (100%)6 (10.5%)51 (89.5%)57 (100%)17 (14.9%)97 (85.1%)114 (100%)5 (7.9%)58 (92.1%)63 (100%)roup12 (9.2%)119 (90.8%)131 (100%)10 (21.7%)36 (78.3%)46 (100%)Y12 (13.2%)79 (86.8%)91 (100%)10 (17.5%)47 (82.5%)57 (100%)12 (10.0%)108 (90.0%)120 (100%)ses of urinary tract infections ascertained by unomia10101010umonia5s aureus4aglactiae3	With UTI n=22 (12.4%)Without UTI n=155 (87.6%)TotalOdds ratio13 (14.4%)77 (85.6%)90 (100%)1.469 (10.3%)78 (89.7%)87 (100%)1.469 (10.3%)78 (89.7%)87 (100%)1.31evel $16 (13.3\%)$ 104 (86.7%)120 (100%)1.316 (10.5%)51 (89.5%)57 (100%)1.316 (10.5%)51 (89.5%)57 (100%)2.035 (7.9%)58 (92.1%)63 (100%)2.03roup $12 (9.2\%)$ 119 (90.8%)131 (100%)10 (21.7%)36 (78.3%)46 (100%)y $12 (13.2\%)$ 79 (86.8%)91 (100%)10 (11.6%)79 (88.4%)89 (100%)UTI $10 (17.5\%)$ 47 (82.5%)57 (100%)12 (10.0%)108 (90.0%)120 (100%)No.1010umonia510s aureus43	With UTI n=22 (12.4%)Without UTI n=155 (87.6%)TotalOdds ratioChi-sq. test p-value13 (14.4%)77 (85.6%)90 (100%)1.460.4099 (10.3%)78 (89.7%)87 (100%)1.460.409evel16 (13.3%)104 (86.7%)120 (100%)1.310.5976 (10.5%)51 (89.5%)57 (100%)1.310.5976 (10.5%)51 (89.5%)57 (100%)1.310.59770 (14.9%)97 (85.1%)114 (100%)2.030.1785 (7.9%)58 (92.1%)63 (100%)0.360.02610 (21.7%)36 (78.3%)46 (100%)0.360.02610 (11.6%)79 (86.8%)91 (100%)1.150.75310 (11.6%)79 (88.4%)89 (100%)1.910.15512 (10.0%)108 (90.0%)120 (100%)1.910.15512 (10.0%)108 (90.0%)120 (100%)1.910.155ses of urinary tract infections ascertained by urine culture.Percentage1045.4522.73s aureus418.18aglactiae313.64		

Table-II: Cross tabs of urinary tract infections status with possible risk factors among patients.

Dimetry¹² who found an association. In literature, only a significant increasing risk of 1-2% is reported per decade of age⁸ which was not apparent in our study, probably due to the small sample size.

Other factors reported in the literature which were found to be associated with asymptomatic bacteriuria include gestational age, parity and level of education¹². However no such association Past history of UTI was found to be an important predisposing factor to asymptomatic bacteriuria during pregnancy by Haider *et al*⁸ and Fatima *et al*⁹.

Finally, anemia was not a strong enough risk factor in our study in agreement with the findings of Fatima *et al*¹⁰.

The dominant causative agent in this study was *E. coli* which had an incidence of 45.45%

in the women who were found positive for asymptomatic bacteriuria. These findings are consistent with other studies conducted pre-viously^{11,14,16,17-19}. It can therefore be said that *E. coli* is probably the major causative agent of urinary tract infection in pregnant women and is the first thing that should come to mind when asymptomatic bacteriuria is suspected.

CONCLUSION

This study revealed 12.4% incidence of asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant women. Pregnant women belonging to lower socioeconomic status are more likely to have asymptomatic bacteriuria and it was found to be the only statistically significant risk factor in our study. Age, trimester, parity, educational level, anemia, sexual activity, and past history of UTI were not found to have effect on the prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria. The recommendations stemming out of the study include complete examination of urine to be carried out at first antenatal visit and culture of urine to follow if indicated. Organisms recovered in order of frequency were E. coli, klebsiella pneumonia, staphylococcus aureus and streptococcus aglactiae.

Author's Contributions

SP was involved in designing the project, data collection and drafting the manuscript. AM did literature search, data entry, analysis and drafted the manuscript. SS helped design the project, supervised the study and helped write the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

This study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

REFERENCES

1. Campbell M, Walsh P, Wein A. Campbell-Walsh Urology. 1st ed. Philadelphia, Pa: Saunders Elsevier; 2011.

- 2. Awaness AM, Al-Saadi MG, Aadoas SA. Antibiotics resistance in recurrent urinary tract infection. Kufa Med J 2000; 3: 159.
- 3. Schnarr J, Smaill F. Asymptomatic bacteriuria and symptomatic urinary tract infections in pregnancy. Eur J Clin Invest 2008; 38 (Suppl-2): 50-7.
- 4. Foxman B. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections: incidence, morbidity, and economic costs. Am J Med 2002; 113(1): 5-13.
- 5. Patterson T, Andriole V. Detection, significance, and therapy of bacteriuria in pregnancy. Clin Infect Dis 1997; 11(3): 593-608.
- Wing D, Rumney P, Preslicka C, Chung J. Daily Cranberry Juice for the Prevention of Asymptomatic Bacteriuria in Pregnancy: A Randomized, Controlled Pilot Study. Int J Urol 2008; 180(4): 1367-72.
- Enayat K, Fariba F, Bahram N. Asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant women referred to outpatient clinics in Sanandaj, Iran. Intl Braz J Urol 2008; 34(6): 699-707.
- 8. Haider G, Zehra N, Munir AA, Haider A. Risk factors of urinary tract infection in pregnancy. J Pak Med Assoc 2010; 60(3): 213.
- Fatima N, Ishrat S. Frequency and risk factors of asymptomatic bacteriuria during pregnancy. J Coll Physicians Surg 2006; 16(4): 273-5.
- Al Haddad AM. Urinary tract infection among pregnant women in Al-Mukalla district, Yemen. East Mediterr Health J 2005; 11(3): 505-10.
- Imade PE, Izekor PE, Eghafona NO, Enabulele OI, Ophori E. Asymptomatic bacteriuria among pregnant women. North Am J Med Sci 2010; 2(6): 263-6.
- Dimetry SR, El-Tokhy HM, Abdo NM, Ebrahim MA, Eissa M. Urinary tract infection and adverse outcome of pregnancy. J Egypt Public Health Assoc 2007; 82(3-4): 203-18.
- Perera J, Randeniya C, Perera P, Gamhewage N, Jayalatharchchi R. Asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnancy: Prevalence, risk factors and causative organisms. Sri Lankan J Infect Dis 2012; 2(1): 42-6.
- Masinde A, Gumodoka B, Kilonzo A, Mshana SE. Prevalence of urinary tract infection among pregnant women at Bugando Medical Centre, Mwanza, Tanzania. Tanzan J Health Res 2009; 11(3): 154-9.
- 15. Amiri FN, Rooshan MH, Ahmady MH. Hygiene practices and sexual activity associated with urinary tract infection in pregnant women. East Mediterr Health J 2009; 15(1): 104-10.
- Shirazi MH, Sadeghifard N, Ranjbar R, Daneshyar E, Ghasemi A. Incidence of asymptomatic bacteriuria during pregnancy. Pak J Biol Sci 2006; 9(1): 151-4.
- 17. Hamdan HZ, Ziad AH, Ali SK, Adam I. Epidemiology of urinary tract infections and antibiotics sensitivity among pregnant women at Khartoum North Hospital. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob 2011; 10(1): 1.
- Oli AN, Okafor CI, Ibezim EC, Akujiobi CN, Onwunzo MC. The prevalence and bacteriology of a symptomatic bacteriuria among antenatal patients in Nnamdi Azikiwe University Teaching Hospital Nnewi; South Eastern Nigeria. Niger J Clin Pract 2010; 13(4): 904-12.
- Khattak AM, Khattak S, Khan H, Ashiq B, Mohammad D, Rafiq M. Prevalence of asymptomatic bacteriuria in pregnant women. Pak J Med Sci 2006; 22(2): 162.