Pak Armed Forces Med J 2015; 65(5): 620-24

COMPARISON BETWEEN METFORMIN AND INSULIN IN TREATMENT OF GESTATIONAL DIABETES MELLITUS AND EFFECT ON NEONATAL HYPOGLYCAEMIA

Samra Ayub, Syed Raza Jaffar*, Ayesha Basharat, Waqas Ayub**, Naseer Ahmed Khan***

Benazir Bhutto Hospital Rawalpindi Pakistan, *Armed Forces Institute of Pathology Rawalpindi Pakistan, **Holy Family Hospital Rawalpindi Pakistan, ***Combined Military Hospital Rawalpindi Pakistan

ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare the efficacy of metformin in the treatment of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) with insulin and to compare the frequency of hypoglycaemia in neonates of the mothers treated with metformin and insulin.

Study Design: Randomized control trial to compare the efficacy of metformin with insulin in the treatment of GDM.

Place and Duration of Study: Outpatient department and labour ward of Obstetric and Gynaecology department of Benazir Bhutto Hospital Rawalpindi from August 2012 to January 2013.

Patients and Method: A total of 110 pregnant ladies with GDM diagnosed after 20 weeks of gestation were included and divided into group A and group B with 55 patients in each group. Group A patients were treated with insulin and group B with metformin. Plasma fasting glucose and two hours postprandial glucose levels were determined on weekly basis for four weeks after starting the treatment to determine the efficacy of insulin and metformin. At birth plasma glucose levels of all the neonates were carried out two hourly, and more frequently depending upon the requirement, during first 24 hours in both the groups to determine neonatal hypoglycaemia.

Results: Fasting plasma glucose in group A and B were calculated as 5.96 ± 0.58 and 5.76 ± 0.46 mmol/L respectively (p=0.280), while two hours post-prandial plasma glucose levels were 7.34 ± 0.48 and 7.28 ± 0.58 mmol/L respectively (p=0.650). Efficacy in group A was 78.18% and in group B was 70.91% (p=0.381) while frequency of neonatal hypoglycaemia was calculated as 61.54% in group A and 41% in group B (p=0.113).

Conclusion: The efficacy of metformin in treatment of gestational diabetes mellitus is similar as with insulin and the frequency of hypoglycemia in neonates of the mother treated with metformin and insulin is also similar.

Keywords: Gestational diabetes, Insulin, Metformin, Neonatal hypoglycaemia.

INTRODUCTION

Gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) is defined as "carbohydrate intolerance of varying degrees of severity with onset or first recognition during pregnancy¹. Prevalence of GDM is increasing, incidence being approximately 3% to 7% of all pregnancies²⁻⁴. The incidence of GDM increases in older and more obese pregnant women.

Gestational diabetes is a major cause of maternal morbidity, pregnancy complications and perinatal morbidity and mortality⁵⁻⁷. As the

Correspondence: Dr Syed Raza Jaffar, Classified Pathologist, Armed Forces Institute of Pathology Rawalpindi, Pakistan *Email: drrazjaf61@gmail.com*

Received: 23 Aug 2013; received revised: 04 Mar 2014; accepted: 28 Mar 2014

pregnancy advances, insulin sensitivity decreases in pregnant women². Majority of pregnant women may also have β cell dysfunction due to chronic insulin resistance⁸. Such women develop GDM if there is inadequate insulin secretion to compensate for increased insulin resistance².

Lowering of plasma glucose level with lifestyle modification, special obstetrics care and if not controlled with addition of insulin improves perinatal outcome⁹. The percentage of GDM patients needing pharmacological treatment varies from 20% to 60% quoted by various studies¹⁰. Subcutaneous insulin therapy has been the mainstay of treatment of women with GDM not controlled by dietary modification. However treatment with insulin needs patient education and regular monitoring to ensure safe and effective administration.

Moreover this treatment is inconvenient and expensive because it requires refrigerated storage and skilled handling, which may not be always available in low resource countries¹¹. Also there are risks of complications with insulin therapy like hypoglycemia and weight gain^{10,12}, faulty technique of subcuticular injections, noncompliance of patients to injections and neonatal effects like recurrent early neonatal hypoglycaemia.

Theoretically metformin is an alternative to insulin in the treatment of hyperglycaemia during pregnancy. It decreases hepatic gluconeogenesis and improves peripheral glucose uptake13,14. It does not induce hypoglycaemia and is not associated with increased weight gain. Oral metformin improves insulin sensitivity by activating adenosine monophosphate (AMP) Kinase⁹, hypoglycaemia lowers hyperinsulinaemia¹⁵ and decreases the requirement of insulin for insulin treatment. Evidence supporting the use of metformin in pregnancy is available from studies in patients with polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS), metformin has been used in the treatment of infertility secondary to PCOS¹⁶. There is no evidence of adverse fetal effects or increased risk of major malformations when metformin is used in pregnant women. In general the number of studies reporting on the use of metformin in women with GDM is still small.

Hypoglycaemia is the most common metabolic problem in neonates. A plasma glucose level of less than 30 mg/dl (1.65 mmol/L) in the first twenty four hours of birth and less than 45 mg/dl (2.5 mmol/L) thereafter constitutes hypoglycaemia in the newborn¹⁷. Neonates with hypoglycaemia may present with severe central nervous system and cardiopulmonary disturbances. The use of oral hypoglycaemic agents in pregnancy has been controversial because case reports and small sample studies have reported adverse effects like potential risks of neonatal hypoglycaemia and teratogenicity associated with placental transfer to the fetus^{18,19}. Infact several studies with glyburide and metfomin showed similar or even better neonatal outcomes as compared to treatment with insulin²⁰⁻²⁴.

The significance of this study is to explore the more effective control of glucose in GDM and decreased risk of early neonatal hypoglycaemia by using metformin as compared to insulin, so that any injectable drug can be replaced by an oral alternative.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

This randomized control trial was carried out in the outpatient department (OPD) and labour ward of department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology of Benazir Bhutto hospital Rawalpindi from August 2012 to January 2013. Pregnant ladies between 15 to 45 years of age, diagnosed GDM after 20 weeks of gestation and with singleton foetus were included in the study. Cases with diabetes mellitus diagnosed before 20 weeks of gestation, contra indication to the use of metformin, foetal anomaly, gestational hypertension, foetal arowth restriction and twin pregnancy were excluded.

By using consecutive non probability sampling, a total of 110 patients were included. All the selected patients were informed about the risk and benefits of the study and written informed consent was taken. They were included in the study with the permission of ethical committee. The selected cases were randomly divided into two groups, group A and group B, having 55 patients in each group using random number table. Group A was treated with insulin and group B with metformin. After an overnight fast, 2 ml of venous blood samples for each patient in both the groups were taken in fluoride bottles for fasting plasma glucose and two hours postprandial glucose levels (two hours after breakfast, lunch and dinner). These lab tests were repeated and results recorded weekly for four weeks after starting the treatment to determine the efficacy of insulin and metformin to control hyperglycaemia. Efficacy in this study means ability of insulin and metformin to control hyperglycaemia measured as fasting plasma glucose <5.5 mmol/L and two hour postprandial glucose level <7.2 mmol/L, samples taken one week apart having these values on all four occasions over a period of one month were considered as effective.

Plasma glucose samples were analyzed using Beckman's Coulter fully automated chemistry analyzer CX-9, using Beckman's Coulter reagent kits on hexokinase principle and controls.

Patients showing no efficacy with metformin were put on insulin treatment.

Mean fasting plasma glucose level in group A was 5.96 mmol/L (SD=0.58) and in group B was 5.76 mmol/L (SD=0.46), (p=0.28).

Mean two hours postprandial plasma glucose in group A was 7.34 mmol/L (SD=0.48) and in group B it was 7.28 mmol/L (SD=0.52), (p=0.65).

Efficacy was 78.18% (n=43) in group A and it was 70.91% (n=39) in group B (p=0.381),

Table-1: Efficacy	of Insulin and metformin in group A and group B (n=110).

Efficacy	Group A	Group A (n=55)		Group B (n=55)	
	No. of patients	%	No. of patients	%	
Yes	43	78.18	39	70.91	
No	12	21.82	16	29.09	
p=0.381					

•			
Table-2: Frequenc	y of neonatal hypoglyca	emia in group A and	group B (n=78).

Neonatal	Group A (n=39)		Group B (n=39)	
hypoglycemia	No. of patients	%	No. of patients	%
Yes	24	61.54	16	41
No	15	38.46	23	59
n 0 112				

p=0.113

Patients showing efficacy with insulin and metformin (n=39 in each group) were followed till delivery. At birth, plasma glucose of neonates was recorded two hourly and more frequently depending upon the requirement during first twenty four hours. Data had been analyzed by using SPSS version 19. Mean and standard deviation (SD) were calculated for fasting plasma glucose and two hour postprandial plasma glucose level for both the groups and compared through independent sample's t-test. Frequency and percentage were calculated for efficacy of drug and neonatal hypoglycaemia and Chi square test was used to compare the efficacy of drugs and neonatal hypoglycaemia in the two groups, using *p* value <0.05 as significant.

RESULTS

A total of 110 selected patients were between 18-45 years of age. Mean age in group A was 32.54 years (SD=3.64) and in group B, it was 33.21 years (SD=4.25), (p= 0.181).

Mean gestational age in group A was 29.21 weeks (SD=2.57) and in group B was 30.45 weeks (SD=2.58), (p=0.73).

(Table-1).

A total of 61.54% (n=24) neonates in group A and 41% (n=16) nonates in group B had hypoglycaemia while 38.46% (n=15) in group A and 59% (n=23) in group B neonates had no hypoglycaemia (p=0.113), (Table-2).

DISCUSSION

Keistina Tertti and co-workers² compared maternal and neonatal outcomes in patients with gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) treated with metformin with those treated with insulin, or diet alone and recorded that there were no differences between the metformin treated group and the other two groups in terms of maternal outcomes. In the diagnostic 2hour oral glucose tolerance test, glucose values were slightly, but significantly, higher in the insulin group than in the metformin group (p = < 0.003). Eighteen percent of mothers treated with metformin needed supplementary insulin The incidence therapy. of neonatal hypoglycemia was higher in the insulin group than in the metformin group (p = < 0.03) and concluded that these retrospective data suggest that metformin is as effective as insulin in controlling gestational diabetes². Our study also supported the findings of this study that metformin is as effective as insulin in treating GDM, but the incidence of neonatal hypoglycaemia was similar in both the insulin and metformin group in our study (p=0.113) which is contrary to the result of the study by Keistina and colleagues (p=<0.03).Twenty nine percent of the patients in the metformin group (group B) of our study needed and given supplementary insulin therapy.

In the study by Rowan and colleagues²⁵ the rate of neonatal hypoglycemia was similar but the rate of severe hypoglycemia was lower in the metformin group compared to the insulin group. Since the incidence of neonatal hypoglycemia was similar in insulin and metformin groups in our study, therefore, it supports the result of the study by Rowan and colleagues²⁵.

Prospective randomized studies have recently demonstrated that effective treatment of hyperglycemia in women with GDM can reduce adverse perinatal outcomes²⁶. The main purpose of treatment is to prevent fetal hyperinsulinaemia and fetal macrosomia by reducing maternal glucose levels²⁷. This is initially attempted by dietary and exercise counseling, but women often require additional treatment, which has traditionally been insulin²⁸. The percentage of GDM patients needing pharmacological treatment varies from 20% to 60% by various studies^{10,29}. However, the disadvantages of insulin for pregnant women, like other patients needing insulin, include the need to give injections, risk of hypoglycemia and risk of excessive weight gain^{29,30}.

Advantages of metformin are its ease of administration and its low cost. This is beneficial in resource-poor populations like ours while disadvantages of insulin are problems of storage, the inconvenience of daily injections besides increase in appetite and weight. Dosing of metformin is standardized, unlike insulin, and can be managed by obstetric specialists without the help of general physician. Metformin do not cause hypoglycemia.

CONCLUSION

We concluded that the efficacy of metformin in treatment of gestational diabetes mellitus is similar as with insulin and the frequency of hypoglycemia in neonates of the mother treated with metformin and insulin is also similar.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

This study has no conflict of interest to declare by any author.

REFERENCES

- Horvath K, Koch K, Jeitier K, Matyas E, Bender R, Bstian H. Effects of treatment in women with gestational diabetes mellitus. BMJ 2010; 340:1395:1-18.
- Tertti k, Ekblad U, Vahlberg T, Ronnemaa T. Comparison of Metfomin and Insulin in the Treatment of Gestational Diabetes. RDS 2008; 5: 95-101.
- Buchanan TA, Xiang AH. Gestational diabetes mellitus. The J Clin Investigation 2005; 115: 485-91.
- Bardenheier BH, Elixhauser A, Imperatore G. Variation in Prevalence of Gestational Diabetes Among Hospital Discharges for Obstetric Delivery Across 23 States in the United States. Diabetes Care 2013; 36:1209-14.
- Abolfazl M, Hamidreza TS, Narges M, Maryam Y. Gestational diabetes and its association with unpleasant outcomes of pregnancy. Pak J Med Sci 2008;24(4):566-70.
- Haider G, Zehra N, Anjum F, Munir AA. Perinatal outcome in diabetic mothers at ISRA university hospital. Isra Medical Journal 2009;1(1):8-12.
- Aslam M, Baloach GR. Clinical spectrum of infants of diabetic mothers in hospitalized deliveries at Lahore. Pak Paediatr J 2001;25(1):5-8.
- 8. Kaaja R, Ronnemaa T. Gestational Diabetes: Pathogenesis and consequences to mother and offspring. RDS 2008;5:194-202.
- Rowan J A, William, Hague M, Gao W, Battin M R, Moore M P. Metformin versus Insulin for the Treatment of Gestational Diabetes. N Engl J Med 2008; 358:2003-15.
- 10. LangerO. From educated guess to accepted practice:the use of oral antidiabetic agents in pregnancy.Clin Obst Gyn2007; 4: 959-71.
- Pettitt DJ, Ospina P, Kolaczynski J, Jovanovic L. Comparison of an Insulin Analog, Insulin Aspart, and Regular Human Insulin with no insulin in gestational diabetes mellitus. Diabetes Care 2003;26:183-6.
- 12. Kirpichinikov D, McFarlene S, Sowers J. Metformin: an update. Ann Intern Med 2002; 137:25-33.
- United Kingdom Prospective Diabetes Study Group (UKPDS) 13. Relative efficacy of randomly allocated diet, sulphonylurea, insulin, or metformin in patients with newly diagnosed non-insulin dependent diabetes followed for three years. BMJ 1995; 310(6972): 83-88.
- Rai L, Meenakshi D, Kamath A. Metformin A Convinient Alternative To Insulin for Indian woman with Diabetes In Pregnancy. Indian J Med Sci 2009; 653: 491-7.
- Nawaz FH, Khalid R, Naru T, Rizvi J. Does continuous use of metformin throughout pregnancy improve pregnancy outcomes in women with polycystic ovarian syndrome? J Obstet Gynaecol 2008; 34: 832-7.
- Committee of Fetus and Newborn, Adamkin DH. Postnatal glucose homeostasis in late-preterm and term infants. Pediatrics 2011; 127:575.
- 17. Hay WW, Raju TN, Higgins RD. Knowledge gaps and research needs for understanding and treating neonatal hypoglycemia: workshop report form Eunice Kennedy Shriver National Institute of Child Health and Human Development. J Pediatr 2009; 155:612.
- Hellmuth E, Damm P, Molsted-Pedersen L. Oral hypoglycaemic agents in 118 diabetic pregnancies. Diabet Med 2000; 17(7): 507-11.
- Sutherland H, Bewsher P, Cormack J, Hughes C, Russell G, stowers J. Effect of moderate dosage of chlorpropamide in pregnancy on fetal outcome. Arch Dis Child 1974; 49(4): 283-91.

- Langer O, Conway DL, Berkus MD, Xenakis EM, Gonzales OR. A Comparison of glyburide and insulin in women with gestational diabetes mellitus. New Engl J Med 2000;343(16):1134-8.
- Silva J, Bertini A, Taborda W, Becker F, Babber F, Aquim G. Glyburide compared to insulin for the treatment of gestational diabetes mellitus. Arq Bras Endocrinol Metab 2007; 51(0004-2730): 541-6.
- Moore LE, Briery CM, Clokey D, Martin RW, Williford NJ, Bofill JA. Metformin and insulin in the management of gestational diabetes mellitus: preliminary results of comparison. J Reprod Med 2007; 52(11): 1011-5.
- Rowan JA, Hague WM, Gao W, Battin MR, Moor MP. Metformin versus insulin for the treatment of gestational diabetes. N Engl J Med 2008; 358 (19): 2003-15.
- Balani J, Hyer SL, Rodin DA, Shehata H. Pregnancy outcomes in women with gestational diabetes treated with metformin or insulin: a case-control study. Diabet Med 2009; 26:798-802.

- Rowan J, Hague W, Gao W, Battin M, Moore M, MIG Trial Investigators. Metformin versus insulin for the treatment of gestational diabetes. N Engl J Med 2008; 358: 2003-15.
- Crowther C, Hiller J, Moss J, Mcphee A, Jeffries W, Robinson J. Effects of treatment of gestational diabetes mellitus on pregnancy outcomes. N Engl J Med 2005; 352: 2477-86.
- Langer O. Is Normoglycemia the correct threshold to prevent complications in the pregnant diabetic patient?Diabet Rev1996;4:2-10
- Kjos S, Buchanan T. Gestational diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med 1993; 341(23): 1749-1754.
- Langer O. From educated guess to a accepted practice: the use of oral antidiabetic agents in pregnancy. Clin Obst Gyn 2007; 4: 959-971.
- 30 United Kingdom Prospective diabetes Study Group (UKPDS) 13. Relative efficacy of randomly allocated diet, sulphonylurea, insulin, or metfomin in patients with newly diagnosed non-insulin dependent diabetes followed for three years. BMJ 1995; 310(6972): 83-8.