EMERGENCE AGITATION IN CHILDREN

- A Review -

VIVIANE G. NASR^{*} AND RAAFAT S. HANNALLAH^{**}

Introduction

Eckenhoff et al first described emergence agitation (EA) in the early 1960's. Children anesthetized with ether, cyclopropane, or ketamine undergoing tonsillectomy, thyroidectomy and circumcision experienced crying, thrashing and disorientation during emergence from anesthesia¹.

Today, approximately 4 million children undergo anesthesia each year and EA has been identified as a significant problem in children recovering from anesthesia with a reported incidence ranging between 10-80%²⁻⁵. EA manifests with restlessness and disorientation and may cause injury to the child, disruption of the surgical site and dressing, drains, or even removal of intravenous catheters. Extra nursing care especially in the PACU is needed. Medication given to treat EA may delay discharge from the PACU and sometimes from the hospital.

Since 1960, this topic has been studied and investigated with multiple comparative studies of inhalational and intravenous anesthetics, effects of adjuvants to general anesthesia; and different assessment tools have been described. In this review, we will discuss the most recent updates on the topic and point out the controversies present despite ongoing clinical research.

Definition

The term "emergence agitation" has been interchangeably used with "emergence delirium (ED)" and "excitement" in order to describe an irritable, uncooperative, and inconsolable child upon emergence^{6,7}. However there are differences in definitions and clinical presentations of agitation and delirium.

"Agitation", which is simply excessive motor activity, is quite common in the postoperative period in children as well as in adults. It is a nonspecific symptom resulting from any type of internal discomfort including pain and anxiety. Agitation resulting from pain or anxiety is relatively easily treated with reassurance and the appropriate use of analgesics and benzodiazepines.

"Delirium", however, is more difficult to diagnose, prevent, or treat, and the clinical outcome of patients with delirium is much different. Delirium, like anxiety, is characterized by an unpleasant alteration of mood. Unlike anxiety, delirium is an acute state of confusion accompanied by cognitive impairment (perceptual

^{*} Resident, Department of Anesthesiology, Tufts-Medical center, Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, MA, USA.

^{**} Professor of Anesthesiology and Pediatrics, The George Washington University Medical Center, Division of Anesthesiology, Children's National Medical Center, Washington, DC, USA.

Corresponding author: Raafat S. Hannallah, MD, Division of Anesthesiology, Children's National Medical Center, 111 Michigan Avenue, N.W, Washington, DC 20010, USA. Tel: (202) 476-2025, E-mail: rhannall@cnmc.org

disturbances and hallucinations).

Unfortunately, the distinction of cognitive impairment, which is critical to making the proper diagnosis and prescribing appropriate therapy for EA, is difficult to differentiate in children. Therefore the terms emergence agitation and emergence delirium are used interchangeably in the literature.

When present, EA occurs within the first 30-minutes of recovery from anesthesia, is usually self-limited but can last up to 2 days. The incidence ranges between 10-80%. Many studies have been done in order to determine the etiology of EA. These point to surgical and patient related factors, as well as anesthesia related factors such as rapid emergence and type of anesthetic.

Assessment tools of emergence agitation

EA have been widely described, several factors have been implicated and studied and controversies continue to exist. Comparing studies have been difficult due to the lack of a uniform definition of emergence agitation and the lack of a universal assessment scale.⁸ Therefore, many tools have been described and studied in order to define, grade the "agitation" and treat accordingly. As many as 16 different scales and two visual analog scales have been used. None of these tools can differentiate between pain and emergence agitation. Przybylo et al devised tool that assesses behaviors separate from pain-induced agitation⁹. Also, Sikich et al developed the Pediatric Anesthesia Emergence Delirium (PAED) scale incorporating cognitive and agitation assessments and proved its reliability and validity (Fig. 1)¹⁰. However, they did not define the threshold for ED making it difficult to calculate its incidence. Aouad et al by assessing ED using two different scales found that the threshold score of 10 on the PAED scale was the best discriminator between presence and absence of agitation correlating with the agitation and nonagitation scores on the four-point scale¹¹. In contrast to all previous studies, Pieters et al did not find a difference in ED following propofol versus sevoflurane anesthesia when measured by the PAED scale attributing this to a low cutoff >10 on the PAED scale¹². Bajwa et al have compared three different emergence delirium scales and have found a reasonable correlation between all three scales despite the individual limitations. More importantly the subjective assessment by an experienced anesthesiologist is highly likely to score positive on all three scales¹³.

> **Fig. 1** PAED scale

- 1. The child makes eye contact with the caregiver.
- The child's actions are purposeful.
- 3. The child is aware of his/her surroundings.
- 4. The child is restless.
- 5. The child is inconsolable.

Items 1, 2, and 3 are reversed scored as follows: 4 = not at all, 3 = just a little, 2 = quite a bit, 1 = very much, 0 = extremely. Items 4 and 5 are scored as follows: 0 = not at all, 1 = just a little, 2 = quite a bit, 3 = very much, 4 = extremely. The scores of each item were summed to obtain a total Pediatric Anesthesia Emergence Delirium (PAED) scale score. The degree of emergence delirium increased directly with the total score.

Factors contributing to emergence agitation

Several factors have been implicated in the etiology of emergence agitation and their effect has been extensively studied in the literature through randomized clinical trials.

1-Patient and parent related:

Age:

Aono et al have shown in 1997 that preschool boys aged 2-6 years have higher rate of EA compared to schoolboys¹⁴. This finding was embraced by most studies focusing on EA. The authors attributed this to rapid awakening and psychological immaturity. In fact, Martini's commentary addresses the role of brain maturation and suggests a role of physiologic development to the susceptibility of the young age group to delirium¹⁵.

Preoperative anxiety; patient and parent:

Preoperative anxiety has been associated with increased postoperative agitation^{16,17}. Kain et al in a retrospective database search of 791 children have shown that high levels of preoperative anxiety in the child are predictive of the development of adverse postoperative behavior including emergence delirium¹⁸. The odds of ED increased by approximately 10% with each increment of 10 points in children's state anxiety score. No clear relationship was demonstrated between parental anxiety and ED. However, in a recent study, Fortier et al have shown that parental anxiety is a risk factor for high levels of child anxiety across the perioperative setting, from the preoperative holding area, up to two weeks postoperatively and therefore could be a contributing factor to ED¹⁹.

Kain et al have shown recently in a prospective controlled study recruiting 241 children that preoperative anxiety is related to increased postoperative pain and behavioral changes²⁰. However; it remains to be determined if this relationship is an association or a cause-effect.

Temperament of the child:

Premedication or preparation programs as discussed later in this review can modify preoperative anxiety of the child and the parent. However, temperament of the child including emotionality, activity, sociability and impulsivity cannot be modified. Voepel-Lewis et al found that low adaptability in children is associated with increased incidence of EA³. Kain et al have also shown that ED was higher among children who are more emotional, more impulsive and less sociable¹⁸. Therefore this innate temperament of the child undergoing anesthesia might cause him to react differently to the outside environment and therefore could cause EA²¹.

Parental presence during recovery:

Most of the studies focus on the preoperative anxiety of the parent and the presence of the parent prior to the anesthetic and the effect of these two factors on the child's EA. However, only few studies mention the effect of the parent as the child awakens in the postanesthesia care unit (PACU). Weldon et al have shown that the incidence of EA decreased as the parent joined the child in the PACU²². Demirbilek et al observed that the parental presence decreased the agitation despite the presence of surgical pain²³. Aouad et al have also related the low incidence of EA in children undergoing inguinal hernia repair under caudal block to the parental presence in the PACU in addition to the lack of pain²⁴. The positive effect of parental presence in all above mentioned studies was only a noticeable observation and was not the studied outcome. Many hospitals today welcome early parental presence in the PACU as the child is recovering from anesthesia. And therefore, a prospective study rating EA prior to arrival of the parent and after their arrival to the PACU would be of interest.

2-Surgical procedure:

Type of procedure:

Surgical procedures involving the ears, eyes, tonsils, thyroid and urological surgeries have been associated with higher rates of EA. When Eckenhoff at al first described the EA in 1961, he attributed the increased incidence among otolaryngologic procedures to the "sense of suffocation"¹. Later, in 2003, Voepel-Lewis in a prospective study has shown that the otolaryngologic procedures are an independent risk factor for EA³. The increased incidence during ophthalmologic procedures could be related to the distortion or lack of ability to see the outside environment.

Pain:

Most of the above mentioned procedures are painful and pain has been acknowledged as a major risk factor for EA. Several studies have been done in order to study the causal effect of pain and EA and to decrease the incidence of EA by treating pain with different modalities including NSAID, ketorolac,²⁵ α 2-agonist such as clonidine,²⁶⁻²⁸ dexmedetomidine,²⁹⁻³¹ regional anesthesia including caudal blocks^{22, 24} and narcotics. The incidence of EA in these studies has decreased after adequate pain control compared to controls but was not abolished. This suggests the presence of EA despite adequate pain control. In fact, Cravero et al have found that the incidence of EA was higher in sevoflurane anesthetized patients compared to halothane in children undergoing nonpainful interventions such as MRI⁴. Therefore, pain cannot be pointed out as the sole contributing factor to EA.

3-Inhalation and intravenous anesthetics:

Sevoflurane's high incidence of emergence agitation has led to numerous studies evaluating the incidence of emergence agitation following inhalation and intravenous anesthetics. It was found that sevoflurane is not the only anesthetic implicated in agitation. Desflurane as well as isoflurane have been shown to have a comparable incidence ranging between 50% and 80%^{3,9,31}. In fact, the electroencephalogram changes occurring in patients under sevoflurane are similar in patients under isoflurane and desflurane³². However, most studies have shown that sevoflurane causes more agitation than halothane with different electroencephalogram changes^{4,13,33}. Kuratani et al have shown in a meta-analysis identifying 23 studies comparing sevoflurane and halothane that emergence agitation occurs more frequently with sevoflurane³⁴.

The rapid emergence following sevoflurane has been speculated to be the cause of EA. Awakening in an unfamiliar environment could cause EA. Patients who have decreased ability to cope with environmental stresses tend to become more agitated. Studies have compared sevoflurane and propofol on the quality of recovery³⁵⁻³⁷. Cohen et al compared emergence from sevoflurane and propofol, which allows a fast recovery³⁸. They found that rapid emergence from propofol was smooth and pleasant compared to sevoflurane and concluded that EA was not related to the speed of recovery. In fact, delaying emergence by stepwise decrease of sevoflurane did not reduce the incidence of EA as shown by Oh et al³⁹.

Similarly, Grundmann et al have shown that in children, TIVA with remiferitanil and propofol is a well-tolerated anesthetic method, with a lower perioperative heart rate and less postoperative agitation compared with a desflurane- N_2O based anesthesia⁴⁰.

Therefore, EA is not related to the rapid recovery but could be related to the intrinsic property of inhalation anesthetics.

4-Premedication:

The possible association of midazolam, a commonly used premedicant in children with ED has been a controversial topic. Few studies have shown that midazolam decreases agitation postoperatively following sevoflurane;² while others have shown no effect or even noted an increase in agitation^{34,41}. We can suggest that midazolan may decrease agitation by its residual sedative effect at the end of surgery for short procedures as noted by Lapin et al or by decreasing anxiety score preoperatively².

Other premedicant drugs has been used and compared to midazolam. Oral clonidine 4 mcg/kg given thirty minutes prior to sevoflurane anesthesia induction in preschool children is associated with a significant reduction in EA compared to midazolam $0.5 \text{ mg/kg} (25\% \text{ vs. } 60\%)^{27}$.

Premedication with melatonin have been proven to be superior to midazolam in reducing excitement following emergence from sevoflurane and similar in decreasing preoperative anxiety⁴². In contrast to the above mentioned drugs, oxycodone, a long acting opioid did not decrease agitation in children who received sevoflurane anesthesia but decrease the frequency in children who received halothane⁴³.

5-Adjuvants to GA including pharmacological and nonpharmacologic tools:

- Pharmacological adjuvants:

Several drugs have been used as adjuvants to general anesthesia, aiming to decrease the incidence of emergence agitation^{44,45}.

Propofol delays or modifies emergence and decreases emergence agitation depending on the time of administration. Being a short acting medication, propofol given at induction could not prevent emergence agitation⁴⁶. Aouad et al as well as other studies have shown a decrease in EA following propofol administration (1 mg/kg) at the end of surgery, as plasma concentration of propofol can be effective¹¹.

Fentanyl, α_2 -agonists including clonidine and dexmedetomidine, ketamine have been shown to be effective measures in decreasing the incidence of EA.

Fentanyl is a potent opioid, which can decrease EA following sevoflurane and desflurane anesthesia by its high efficacy on preoperative analgesia as well as its sedative effect⁴⁷⁻⁵⁰. Cravero et al have shown that fentanyl 1 mcg/kg IV given 10minutes before the discontinuation of the anesthetic in patients undergoing nonpainful procedure such as magnetic resonance imaging decreased the incidence of EA from 56% to 12%⁴⁸. Inomata et al studied the effect of fentanyl infusion on the intubating conditions as well as emergence agitation in children anesthetized with sevoflurane⁵⁰. They recommended a bolus of 2 mcg/kg followed by an infusion of 1 mcg/kg/hr for a smooth emergence. Intranasal fentanyl 2 mcg/kg for moderately painful procedures can also decrease agitation^{51,52}.

Dexmedetomidine, a selective α 2-agonist has sedative, analgesic and anxiolytic effects after IV administration⁵³. Isik et al as well as two other studies have shown a reduced incidence of emergence agitation ranging between 4.8% and 17% with no hemodynamic effects after IV administration of 0.3-1 mcg/kg dexmedetomidine after induction of anesthesia^{29,30,54}.

Similarly, because of its sedative and analgesic effects, clonidine 2-3 mcg/kg IV after induction decreases agitation on emergence down to 0%-10% as documented respectively by Bock et al and Kulka et al. ^{28, 55} Bock et al have also noted that the effect of clonidine is independent of the route of administration: intravenous or caudal²⁸. In fact α 2-agonists decrease EA by their analgesic effect as well as by decreasing the anesthetic requirements.

Ketamine, a N-methyl-D-aspartate receptor antagonist, produces both analgesic and opioid sparing effects when used at low doses⁵⁶⁻⁵⁸. Dalens et al showed that administration of 0.25 mg/kg of ketamine at the end of the MRI in children reduced agitation with no delay in discharge⁵⁶. In fact, Lee et al compared ketamine 0.25 mg/kg and 0.5 mg/kg showing similar incidence of EA however less pain score with the higher dose of ketamine⁵⁷.

It has also been shown that tropisetron, a 5HT3 antagonist, decreases emergence agitation compared to placebo (32% vs. 62%) however; the mechanism of action is unclear as described by Lankinen et al. ⁵⁹ In summary; most adjuvants studied have decreased ED postoperatively in painful and nonpainful procedures through their analgesic and sedative effects. A recent meta-analyses by Dahmani et al have shown that propofol, opioids such as fentanyl, pain prevention, ketamine and α_2 -agonists have a preventative effect on ED while midazolam and serotonin inhibitors did not have a prophylactic effect⁴⁴. However, comparison between the different drugs using the same scale remains of benefit for more definitive conclusion.

- Nonpharmacologic tools including child life and preoperative preparation programs:

Holding the child and physical restraint may be necessary sometimes to protect the child. A very effective method is reuniting with the parent/caregiver during awakening. It is also important to maintain a quiet environment for the child.

Demirbilek et al was not able to decrease the incidence of EA further by the use of fentanyl if the parents and the child's anxiety were controlled²³.

Relationship between EA and long-term postoperative maladaptive behaviors:

Long-term maladaptive behavior can occur following anesthesia in children. It has been hypothesized to be related to the hypothic depth, the duration of the anesthetic, type of anesthetic as well as the incidence of emergence agitation. Kain et al suggests that patients with emergence delirium are seven times more likely to have new onset postoperative maladaptive behavioral changes including eating problems, sleep disturbances, separation anxiety and apathy^{18,60}. This is in contrast to Sikich and Lerman who were unable to find a statistically significant relation between emergence agitation and negative postoperative behavioral changes¹⁰.

Recently, Faulk et al revealed no correlation between the length of time under deep hypnosis defined as BIS<45 and the incidence of EA or negative postoperative behavioral changes⁶¹.

In addition, there is no conclusive relationship between the type of anesthetic and the maladaptive behaviors. In fact, Keany et al have shown no relationship between sevoflurane and maladaptive behavior and Kain et al found no difference in behavior between children exposed to sevoflurane or halothane^{62,63}.

Kain et al have reported that 54% of all children undergoing general anesthesia exhibit negative behavioral responses 2 weeks after the surgery and continues up to 6 month in 20% and up to one year in 7%¹⁶. He reports in a later study the benefit of premedication with midazolam 0.5 mg/kg on decreasing this incidence during the first week as decreasing preoperative anxiety decreases the incidence of emergence agitation⁶⁰. In view of these results, we cannot establish a theory associating emergence agitation and long-term maladaptive behavior or type of anesthetic and behavioral changes.

Prevention and treatment:

As previously described in details, numerous medications have been studied to prevent or reduce emergence agitation in children. No one effective method has been shown to be highly superior. It is very difficult to compare studies as each uses different assessment tools, type of surgical procedure, or even anesthetic techniques.

However, it is no doubt that a young anxious preschool child undergoing a painful surgical procedure without adequate pain control will most likely suffer from emergence delirium. As studies are ongoing trying to discover the underlying causes or trying to treat and prevent the occurrence of emergence agitation, it is the role of the anesthesiologist to recognize patients at risk, involve the parents preoperatively as well as postoperatively and use adjuvants drugs as deemed necessary.

References:

- !. ECKENHOFF JE, KNEALE DH, DRIPPS RD: The incidence and etiology of postanesthetic excitement. Anesthesiology; 1961, 22: 667-73.
- 2. LAPIN SL, AUDEN SM, GOLDSMITH LJ, REYNOLDS AM: Effects of sevoflurane anaesthesia on recovery in children: a comparison with halothane. *Pediatr Anesth*; 1999, 9:299-304.
- 3. VOEPEL-LEWIS T, MALVIYA S, TAIT AR: A prospective cohort study of emergence agitation in the pediatric postanesthesia care unit. *Anesth Analg*; 2003, 96:1625-1630.
- 4. CRAVERO J, SURGENOR S, WHALEN K: Emergence agitation in pediatric patients after sevoflurane anaesthesia and no surgery: a comparison with halothane. *Pediatr Anaesth*; 2000, 10:419-24.
- WELBORN LG, HANNALLAH RS, NORDEN JM, RUTTIMANN UE, CALLAN CM: Comparison of emergence and recovery characteristics of sevoflurane, desflurane, and halothane in pediatric ambulatory patients. *Anesth Analg*; 1996, 83:917-920.
- 6. OLYMPIO MA: Postanesthesia delirium: historical perspectives. J Clin Anesth; 1991, 3:60-3.
- 7. WELLS LT, RASH DK: Emergence "delirium" after sevoflurane anesthesia: a paranoid delusion? Anesth Analg; 1999, 88:1308-10.
- 8. AOUAD MT, NASR VG: Emergence agitation in children: an update. Curr Opin Anaesthesiol; 18:614-619.
- PRZYBYLO HJ, MARTINI DR, MAZUREK AJ, BRACEY E, JOHNSEN L, COTE CJ: Assessing behavior in children emerging from anaesthesia: can we apply psychiatric diagnostic techniques? *Paediatr Anaesth*; 2003, 13:609-16.
- 10. SIKICH N, LERMAN J: Development and psychometric evaluation of the Pediatric Anesthesia Emergence Delirium Scale. *Anesthesiology*; 2004, 100:1138-1145.
- AOUAD MT, YAZBECK-KARAM VG, NASR VG, EL-KHATIB MF, KANAZI GE, BLEIK JH: A single dose of propofol at the end of surgery for the prevention of emergence agitation in children undergoing strabismus surgery during sevoflurane anesthesia. Anesthesiology; 2007, 107:733-8.
- 12. PIETERS BJ, PENN E, NICKLAUS P, BRUEGGER D, MEHTA B, WEATHERLY R: Emergence delirium and postoperative pain in children undergoing adenotonsillectomy: a comparison of propofol vs. sevoflurane anesthesia. *Paediatr Anaesth*; 2010 Oct, 20(10):944-50.
- 13. BAJWA SA, COSTI D, CYNA AM: A comparison of emergence delirium scales following general anesthesia in children. *Pediatric Anesthesia*; 2010, 20:704-711.
- AONO J, UEDA W, MAMIYA K, TAKIMOTO E, MANABE M: Greater incidence of delirium during recovery from sevoflurane anesthesia in preschool boys. *Anesthesiology*; 1997, 87:1298-1300.
- 15. MARTINI DR: Commentary: the diagnosis of delirium in pediatric patients. J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry; 2005, 44:395-8.
- KAIN ZV, MAYES LC, O'CONNOR TZ, CICCHETTI DV: Preoperative anxiety in children: predictors and outcomes. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med; 1996, 150:1238-45.
- AONO J, MAMIYA K, MANABE M: Preoperative anxiety is associated with a high incidence of problematic behavior on emergence after halothane anesthesia in boys. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand; 1999, 43:542-4.
- KAIN Z, CALDWELL-ANDREWS A, MARANETS I, MCCLAIN B, GAAL D, MAYES LC, FENG R, ZHANG H: Preoperative anxiety and emergence delirium and postoperative maladaptive behaviors. *Anesth Analg*; 2004, 99:1648-1654.
- 19. FORTIER MA, DEL ROSARIO A, MARTIN S, KAIN ZN: Perioperative anxiety in children. Pediatr Anesth; 2010, 20:318-322.
- 20. KAIN ZN, MAYES LC, CALDWELL-ANDREWS AA, KARAS D, MCCLAIN BC: Preoperative anxiety, postoperative pain, and behavioral recovery in young children undergoing surgery. *Pediatrics*; 2006, 118:651-658.
- 21. ROTHBART MK, AHADI SA, EVANS DE: Temperament and personality: origins and outcomes. J Pers Soc Psychol; 2000, 78:122-35.
- 22. WELDON BC, BELL M, CRADDOCK T: The effect of caudal analgesia on emergence agitation in children after sevoflurane versus halothane anesthesia. *Anesth Analg*; 2004, 98:321-326.
- 23. DEMIRBILEK S, TOGAL T, CICEK M, ASLAN U, SIZANLI E, ERSOY MO: Effects of fentanyl on the incidence of emergence agitation in children receiving desflurane vs sevoflurane anesthesia. *Eur. J. Anaesthesiol;* 2004, 21:538-542.
- 24. AOUAD MT, KANAZI GE, SIDDIK-SAYYID SM, GERGES FJ, RIZK LB, BARAKA AS: Preoperative caudal block prevents emergence agitation in children following sevoflurane anesthesia. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand; 2005, 49:300-304.
- 25. DAVIS PJ, GREENBERG JA, GENDELMAN M, FERTAL K: Recovery characteristics of sevoflurane and halothane in preschool-aged children undergoing bilateral myringotomy and pressure equalization tube insertion. *Anesth Analg*; 1999, 88:34-8.
- TESORO S, MEZZETII D, MARCHESINI L, PEDUTO VA: Clonidine treatment for agitation in children after sevoflurane anesthesia. Anesth Analg; 2005, 101:1619-22.
- 27. TAZEROUALTI N, DE GROOTE F, DE HERT S, DE VILLE A, DIERICK A, VAN DER LINDEN P: Oral clonidine vs midazolam in the prevention of sevoflurane-induced agitation in children. A prospective, randomized, controlled trial. Br J Anaesth; 2007, 98:667-71.
- 28. BOCK M, KUNZ P, SCHRECKENBERGER R, GRAF BM, MARTIN E, MOTSCH J: Comparison of caudal and intravenous clonidine in the prevention of agitation after sevoflurane in children. *Br J Anaesth*; 2002, 88:790-796.
- 29.GULER G, AKIN A, TOSUN Z, ORS S, ESMAOGLU A, BOYACI A: Single dose dexmedetomidine reduces agitation and provides smooth extubation after pediatric adenotonsillectomy. *Pediatr Anesth*; 2005, 15:762-766.

EMERGENCE AGITATION IN CHILDREN: A REVIEW

- 30. IBACACHE ME, MUÑOZ HR, BRANDES V, MORALES AL: Single does dexmedetomidine reduces agitation after sevoflurane anesthesia in children. *Anesth Analg*; 2004, 98:60-63.
- 31. COLE J, MURRAY D, MCALLISTER J, HIRSHBERG G: Emergence behavior in children: defining the incidence of excitement and agitation following anesthesia. *Paediatr Anaesth*; 2002, 12:442-447.
- 32. FREYE E, BRUCKNER J, LATASCH L: No Difference in electroencephalographic power spectra or sensory-evoked potentials in patients anesthetized with desfllurane or sevoflurane. *Eur J Anaesthesiol*; 2004, 21:373-8.
- 33. CONSTANT I, DUBOIS MC, PIAT V, MOUTARD ML, MCCUE M, MURAT I: Changes in electroencephalogram and autonomic cardiovascular activity during induction of anesthesia with sevoflurane compared with halothane in children. Anesthesiology; 1999, 91:1604-15.
- 34. KURATANI N, OI Y: Greater incidence of emergence agitation in children after sevoflurane anesthesia as compared with halothane. A metaanalysis of randomized controlled trials. Anesthesiology; 2008, 109:225-32.
- PICARD V, DUMONT L, PELLIGRINI M: Quality of recovery in children: sevoflurane versus propofol. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand; 2000, 44:307-310.
- 36. UEZONO S, GOTO T, TERUI K, ICHINOSE F, ISHGURO Y, NAKATA Y, MORITA S: Emergence agitation after sevoflurane versus propofol in pediatric patients. Anesth Analg; 2000, 91:563-566.
- LOPEZ GIL ML, BRIMACOMBE J, CLAR B: Sevoflurane versus propofol for induction and maintenance of anesthesia with the laryngeal mask airway in children. *Paediatr Anaesth*; 1999, 9:485-90.
- COHEN IT, FINKEL JC, HANNALLAH RS, HUMMER KA, PATEL KM: Rapid emergence does not explain agitation following sevoflurane anaesthesia in infants and children: a comparison with propofol. *Paediatr Anaesth*; 2003, 13:63-67.
- 39. OH A, SEO K, KIM C, KIM H: Delayed emergence process does not result in a lower incidence of emergence agitation after sevoflurane anesthesia in children. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand; 2005, 49:297-299.
- 40. GRUNDMANN U, UTH M, EICHNER A, WILHELM W, LARSEN R: Total intravenous anaesthesia with propofol and remifentanil in paediatric patients: a comparison with desflurane-nitrous oxide inhalation anaesthesia. Acta Anaesthesiol Scand; 1998, 42:845-50.
- 41. COHEN IT, DREWSEN S, HANNALLAH R: Propofol or midazolam do not reduce the incidence of emergence agitation associated with desflurane anesthesia in children undergoing adenotonsillectomy. *Paediatr Anaesth*; 2002, 12:604-609.
- 42. SAMARKANDI A, NAGUIB M, RIAD W, ET AL: Melatonin vs midazolam premedication in children: a double-blind, placebo-controlled study. *Eur J Anaesthesiol*; 2005, 22:189-96.
- 43. MURRAY DJ, COLE JW, SHROCK CD, SNIDER RJ, MARTINI JA: Sevoflurane versus halothane: effect of oxycodone premedication on emergence behavior in children. *Paediatr Anaesth*; 2002, 12:308-312.
- 44. DAHMANI S, STANY I, BRASHER C, LEJEUNE C, BRUNEAU B, WOOD C, NIVOCHE Y, CONSTANT I, MURAT I: Pharmacological prevention of sevoflurane and desflurane related emergence agitation in children: a meta-analysis of published studies. *Br J Anaesth*; 2010, 104:216-23.
- 45. VLAJKOVIC GP, SINDJELIC RP: Emergence delirium in children: many questions, few answers. Anesth Analg; 2007, 104:84-91.
- 46. ABU-SHAHWAN: Effect of propofol on emergence behavior in children after sevoflurane general anesthesia. Pediatr Anesth; 2008, 18:55-59.
- 47. COHEN IT, HANNALLAH RS, HUMMER KA: The incidence of emergence agitation associated with desflurane anesthesia in children is reduced by fentanyl. *Anesth Analg*; 2001, 93:88-91.
- 48. CRAVERO JP, BEACH M, THYR B, WHALEN K: The effect of small dose fentanyl on the emergence characteristics of pediatric patients after sevoflurane anesthesia without surgery. Anesth Analg; 2003, 97:364-7.
- 49. COHEN IT, FINKEL JC, HANNALLAH RS, HUMMER KA, PATEL KM: The effect of fentanyl on the emergence characteristics after desflurane or sevoflurane anesthesia in children. *Anesth Analg*; 2002, 94:1178-81.
- 50. INOMATA T, MAEDA T, SHIMIZU T, SATSUMAE T, TANAKA M: Effects of fentanyl infusion on tracheal intubation and emergence agitation in preschool children anaesthetized with sevoflurane. Br J Anaesth; 2010, 105(3):361-7.
- 51. GALINKIN JL, FAZI LM, CUY RM, CHIAVACCI RM, KURTH CD, SHAH UK, JACOBS IN, WATCHA MF: Use of intranasal fentanyl in children undergoing myringotomy and tube placement during halothane and sevoflurane anesthesia. *Anesthesiology*, 2000, 93:1378-83.
- 52. FINKEL JC, COHEN IT, HANNALLAH RS, ET AL. The effect of intranasal fentanyl on the emergence characteristics after sevoflurane anesthesia in children undergoing surgery for bilateral myringotomy tube placement. *Anesth Analg*; 2001, 92:1164-8.
- SHUKRY M, CLYDE MC, KALARICKAL PL, RAMADHYANI U: Does dexmedetomidine prevent emergence delirium in children after sevofluranebased general anesthesia? *Pediatr Anesth*; 2005, 15:1098-104.
- 54. ISIK B, ARSLAN M, TUNGA AD, KURTIPEK O: Dexmedetomidine decreases emergence agitation in pediatric patients after sevoflurane anesthesia without surgery. *Pediatr Anesth*; 2006, 16:748-753.
- 55. KULKA PJ, BRESSEM M, TRYBA M: Clonidine prevents sevoflurane induced agitation in children. Anesth Analg; 2001, 93:335-8.
- 56. DALENS BJ, PINARD AM, LETOURNEA DR, ALBERT NT, TRUCHON RJY: Prevention of emergence agitation after sevoflurane anesthesia for pediatric cerebral magnetic resonance imaging by small doses of ketamine or nalbuphine administered just before discontinuing anesthesia. *Anesth Analg*; 2006, 102:1056-61.
- 57. LEE YS, KIM WY, CHOI JH, SON JH, KIM JH, PARK YC: The effect of ketamine on the incidence of emergence agitation in children undergoing tonsillectomy and adenoidectomy under sevoflurane general anesthesia. *Korean J Anesthesiol*; 2010, 58 (5):440-445.

- 58. SUBRAMANIAM K, SUBRAMANIAM B, STEINBROOK RA: Ketamine as adjuvant analgesic to opioids: a quantitative and qualitative systematic review. *Anesth Analg*; 2004, 99:482-95.
- 59. LANKINEN ULLA, AVELA R, TARKKILA P: The prevention of emergence agitation with tropisetron clonidine after sevoflurane anesthesia in small children undergoing adenoidectomy. *Anesth Analg*; 2006, 102:1383-6.
- 60. KAIN ZN, MAYES LC, WANG SM, HOFSTADER MB: Postoperative behavioral outcomes in children. Anesthesiology; 1999, 90 (3):758-65.
- 61. FAULK DJ, TWITE MD, ZUK J, PAN Z, WALLEN B, FRIESEN RH: Hypnotic depth and the incidence of emergence agitation and negative postoperative behavioral changes. *Pediatr Anesth*; 2010, 20:72-81.
- 62. KEANY A, DIVINEY D, HARTE S, LYONS B: Postoperative behavioral changes following anesthesia with sevoflurane. *Pediatr Anesth;* 2004, 14:866-870.
- 63. KAIN Z, CALDWELL-ANDREWS AA, WEINBERG ME, MAYES LC, WANG SM, GAAL D, SAADAT H, MARANETS I: Sevoflurane versus halothane: postoperative maladaptive behavioral changes. *Anesthesiologyl*; 2005, 102:720-726.