Original Article

Systolic Longitudinal Function of the Left Ventricle Assessed by Speckle Tracking in Heart Failure Patients with Preserved Ejection Fraction

Mehrnoush Toufan, MD¹, Saeed Mohammadzadeh Gharebaghi, MD^{1*}, Leili Pourafkari, MD¹, Elham Delir Abdolahinia, MD²

¹Cardiovascular Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran. ²Bone Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran.

Received 17 August 2014; Accepted 10 June 2015

Abstract

Background: Echocardiographic evaluations of the longitudinal axis of the left ventricular (LV) function have been used in the diagnosis and assessment of heart failure with normal ejection fraction (HFNEF). The evaluation of the global and segmental peak systolic longitudinal strains (PSLSs) by two-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography (STE) may correlate with conventional echocardiography findings. We aimed to use STE to evaluate the longitudinal function of the LV in patients with HFNEF.

Methods: In this study, 126 patients with HFNEF and diastolic dysfunction and 60 normal subjects on conventional echocardiography underwent STE evaluations, including LV end-diastolic and end-systolic dimensions; interventricular septal thickness; posterior wall thickness; LV volume; LV ejection fraction; left atrial volume index; early diastolic peak flow velocity (E); late diastolic peak flow velocity (A); E/A ratio; deceleration time of E; early diastolic myocardial velocity (e'); late diastolic myocardial velocity (A'); systolic myocardial velocity (S); and global, basal, mid, and apical PSLSs. The correlations between these methods were assessed.

Results: The mean age was 57.50 ± 10.07 years in the HFNEF patients and 54.90 ± 7.17 years in the control group. The HFNEF group comprised 69.8% males and 30.2% females, and the normal group consisted of 70% males and 30% females. The global, basal, mid, and apical PSLSs were significantly lower in the HFNEF group (p value < 0.001 for all). There was a significant positive correlation between the global PSLS and the septal e' (p value < 0.001). There was a negative correlation between the global PSLS and the septal e' (p value < 0.001). There was a negative correlation between the global PSLS (p value = 0.001). There was a significant negative correlation between the E/e' ratio and the mid PSLS (p value = 0.002) and the basal PSLS (p value = 0.001). There was a weak positive correlation between the isovolumic relaxation time and the global PSLS (p value = 0.022) and the mid PSLS (p value = 0.018) and also between the New York Heart Association functional class and the mid PSLS (p value = 0.0041) and the basal PSLS (p value = 0.009).

Conclusion: Our HFNEF patients on conventional echocardiography had different STE findings compared to our normal subjects, which is indicative of diastolic dysfunction. The longitudinal systolic function of the LV, which was measured by STE, was reduced in all the segments, denoting some degree of subclinical systolic dysfunction in these patients.

J Teh Univ Heart Ctr 2015;10(4):194-200

This paper should be cited as: Toufan M, Gharebaghi SM, Pourafkari L, Delir Abdolahinia E. Systolic Longitudinal Function of the Left Ventricle Assessed by Speckle Tracking in Heart Failure Patients with Preserved Ejection Fraction. J Teh Univ Heart Ctr 2015;10(4):194-200.

Keywords: Echo Cardiography • Heart failure • Heart venticles

*Corresponding Author: Saeed Mohammadzadeh Gharebaghi, Cardiovascular Research Center, Golbad Ave., Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran. 5166618573. Tel: +98 411 3363846. Fax: +98 411 3363846. E-mail: smg_irm@yahoo.com.

194

Introduction

Patients with signs and symptoms of heart failure (HF) with a normal left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) are considered as diastolic heart failure with normal ejection fraction (HFNEF). This entity represents almost half of the HF population, especially among females and older subjects,¹⁻⁴ and is reported to have similar prognosis and mortality compared to heart failure patients with reduced ejection fraction (HFREF).⁵ Dyspnea is the common clinical manifestation in outpatient HFNEF cases.⁶⁻⁸ Patients with HFNEF, compared to patients with HFREF, are more frequently female, old, obese, diabetic, and hypertensive.⁸ These risk factors and lead to inadequate treatment.⁹

HFNEF patients have few differences with HFREF patients in terms of clinical signs and symptoms and radiographic findings, and echocardiography is the only accurate method for differentiating these patients.⁹ The systolic function of the left ventricle (LV) is usually measured using conventional echocardiography along with tissue Doppler. Twodimensional (2D) speckle tracking echocardiography (STE) could be beneficial in the evaluation of the LV diastolic and systolic functions. Tissue Doppler is affected by different factors such as angle dependency, preload, and afterload and, as such, may have some limitations in the accurate assessment of the LV function.10-15 STE has overcome some of these shortcomings, and previous studies have assessed its accuracy and clinical utility. STE uses 2D standard images and affords an offline analysis of the previously recorded data. Considering these factors, STE has emerged as an acceptable method in echocardiographic evaluations.¹⁶⁻¹⁹

The echocardiographic evaluation of the longitudinal function of the LV has been helpful in the understanding of HFNEF.^{10, 14, 20} It has been reported that HFNEF patients have reduced LV systolic longitudinal function, which is indicative of the subclinical impairment of the systolic function.²¹⁻²⁴ The use of STE seems to be useful in understanding HFNEF and making early diagnosis. In this study, we aimed to assess the utility of STE in the evaluation of the systolic longitudinal function of the LV in HFNEF patients.

Methods

In this cross-sectional study, 126 patients with confirmed HFNEF and 60 normal subjects were enrolled. The two groups were matched for age and sex. Patients were considered to have HFNEF if they had HF symptoms and LV diastolic dysfunction with a preserved LVEF (LVEF \geq 50%) diagnosed by conventional echocardiography according to the guidelines of the American Society of Echocardiography (ASE) and the European Association of Echocardiography (EAE).²⁵⁻²⁷ Patients with non-sinus

rhythm (including atrial fibrillation); extensive regional wall motion abnormality at rest; LVEF < 50%; unstable vital signs; moderate to severe valvular heart disease; primary or secondary increase in pulmonary pressure not related to HFNEF or diastolic dysfunction; severe systemic disease, including pulmonary, renal, and hepatic disease; pericardial disease; cardiomyopathy; or congenital heart disease were excluded. Normal subjects without symptoms or history of cardiovascular disease, high blood pressure, and diabetes mellitus as well as normal electrocardiography and echocardiography were included as the control group. The study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, and all the subjects gave written informed consent.

All the subjects underwent conventional echocardiography and then STE (2D strain) using the Automated Function Imaging (AFI) software on a commercially available machine (GE Vivid 7 Dimension, Norway). All the images were obtained from the standard parasternal and apical positions using 2D, M-mode, and Doppler echocardiographic techniques. The LV end-diastolic and end-systolic dimensions as well as the interventricular septal thickness (IVST) and the posterior wall thicknesses (PWT) were obtained via M-mode echocardiography.²⁵ The LV volumes and the LVEF were measured using the modified biplane Simpson method as recommended by the ASE and the EAE.^{26, 27} The left atrial volume index (LAVI) values were calculated using the area length method.²⁸

The pulse Doppler sample volume was placed at the tips of the mitral valve leaflets in the apical four-chamber view to record the LV inflow velocity. From the LV inflow velocity, the early diastolic peak flow velocity (E), late diastolic peak flow velocity (A), E/A ratio, and deceleration time of the E wave velocity were measured. Additionally, the early diastolic myocardial velocity (e'), late diastolic myocardial velocity (A'), and systolic myocardial velocity (S) were obtained using STE as the average of the septal lateral corner of the mitral valve. The isovolumic relaxation times were also calculated using STE.²⁷

The STE images of the LV were acquired in the apical fourchamber, two-chamber, and apical three-chamber views with the same ultrasound machine (Figure 1). Three consecutive cardiac cycle loops were recorded at end expiration. The frame rate was kept between 70 Hz and 100 Hz. The longitudinal strains were quantified in a 16-segment model using a novel speckle tracking system. All the measurements were stored as digital storing system for offline analysis. The global peak systolic longitudinal strain (PSLS) was defined as an average value of the 16 PSLSs of an LV. Additionally, the basal, mid, and apical PSLSs were defined as an average value of the PSLS of each of the corresponding 6 segments (4 segments for the apex). All the examinations were performed by an experienced echocardiologist.

The Journal of Tehran University Heart Center 195



C

Figure 1. Bull's eye and longitudinal strain curves in the four-chamber, two-chamber, and apical long-axis views in two-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography.

A) Bull's eye and longitudinal strain curves in the four-chamber (4CH), two-chamber (2CH), and apical long-axis (APLAX) views in two-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography

Strain values are decreased in the mid anteroseptal portion, as is seen in both Bull's eye and its related curve.

B) Bull's-eye view, displaying the strain values of all the individual segments and a value for the left ventricular longitudinal global strain

C) Longitudinal strain curves obtained by speckle tracking echocardiography in the four-chamber view

D) Impaired longitudinal strain curve obtained in the apical long-axis view

4CH, Four-chamber; 2CH, Two-chamber; APLAX, Apical long-axis; ANT_SEPT, Anteroseptal; ANT, Anterior; LAT, Lateral; INF, Inferior; SEPT, Septal

The data were analyzed using Statistical Package for Social Sciences, version 17.0 (SPSS, Chicago, Illinois). The baseline data are reported as mean ± standard deviation (continuous data) or percentages (categorical data), depending on the data level. In order to analyze the differences between the groups in the quantitative variables, the Student t-test was used in those with normal distribution and the Mann-Whitney U test if the distribution was not normal. The association between the qualitative variables was studied using the chi-square test or the Fisher exact test. The correlation between the STE and conventional variables was evaluated using the Pearson correlation. A p value ≤ 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

In this study, 126 patients with HFNEF and 60 normal subjects were evaluated. The demographic findings of the groups are demonstrated in Table 1. The HFNEF group had significantly higher body mass index and systolic and diastolic blood pressures.

The conventional echocardiography findings are depicted in Table 2. The HFNEF group had significantly higher IVST, PWT, LAVI, isovolumic relaxation time (IVRT), E/e' ratio, and deceleration time and lower peak E, E/A ratio, and septal e' than the normal subjects. Diastolic dysfunction in the HFNEF group was grade I in 120 patients and grade II in 6 patients.

According to the STE findings, the HFNEF group also had significantly lower global, apical, mid, and basal PSLSs than the normal subjects (Table 3).

Table 1. Patients characteristics*

	HFNEF Group	Normal group	P Value
Age (y)	57.50±10.07	54.90±7.17	0.074
Gender			0.925
Male	38 (30.2)	18 (30.0)	
Female	88 (69.8)	42 (70.0)	
Diabetes Mellitus	2 (15.9)	0	< 0.001
Hypertension	116 (92.1)	0	< 0.001
Hyperlipidemia	30 (23.8)	0	< 0.001
Ischemic Heart Disease	10 (7.9)	0	< 0.001
NYHA Class			
Ι	88 (69.8)	0	< 0.001
П	38 (30.2)	0	< 0.001
Normal	0	60 (100)	< 0.001
BMI (kg/m ²)	26.53±3.72	25.39±2.63	0.033
Heart Rate (beat/minute)	81.61±15.31	84.70±13.26	0.186
Systolic Blood Pressure (mm Hg)	173.03±164.33	117.90±9.14	0.014
Diastolic Blood Pressure (mm Hg)	87.96±10.69	67.25±5.66	< 0.001

*Data are presented as mean±SD or n (%).

HFNEF, Heart failure with normal ejection fraction; NYHA, New York Heart Association; BMI, Body mass index

Table 2. Comparison of conventional echocardiography findings between the groups*

	HFNEF Group	Normal Group	P Value
Left Ventricular Ejection Fraction (%)	62.14±8.02	62.30±6.89	0.890
Interventricular Septal Thickness (mm)	11.79±2.12	8.70±1.01	< 0.001
Posterior Wall Thickness (mm)	10.69±1.76	8.50±1.12	< 0.001
Left Ventricular End-Diastolic Volume (ml)	94.41±20.46	91.25±22.40	0.342
Left Ventricular End-Systolic Volume (ml)	37.19±12.17	36.55±14.03	0.755
Left Ventricular End-Diastolic Diameter (mm)	45.49±4.38	44.50±4.74	0.163
Left Ventricular End-Systolic Diameter (mm)	30.17±4.61	29.50±3.88	0.324
Left Atrial Volume Index (ml/m ²)	44.00±10.37	24.85±3.52	< 0.001
Isovolumic Relaxation Time (msec)	94.16±11.04	81.05±7.52	< 0.001
Peak E (m/sec)	62.26±14.66	84.95±12.03	< 0.001
E/A Ratio	0.76±0.15	1.31±0.09	< 0.001
Septal e' (m/sec)	6.17±1.82	12.90±1.45	< 0.001
E/e' Ratio	10.27±2.69	6.57±0.82	< 0.001
Deceleration Time (msec)	225.69±61.81	190.00±13.09	< 0.001

*Data are presented as mean±SD.

HFNEF: Heart failure with normal ejection fraction; Peak E, Peak of early diastolic mitral flow velocity; A, Late diastolic peak flow velocity; Septal e', Early diastolic septal annular velocity

The Journal of Tehran University Heart Center 197

	HFNEF Group	Normal Group	P Value
Global PSLS (%)	-17.31±3.54	-20.63±1.79	< 0.001
Apical PSLS (%)	-17.66±7.09	-22.00±3.56	< 0.001
Mid PSLS (%)	-16.04±4.88	-20.13±1.64	< 0.001
Basal PSLS (%)	-14.75±5.71	-19.20±0.52	< 0.001

Table 3. Comparison of two-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography findings between the groups*

*Data are presented as mean±SD.

HFNEF, Heart failure with normal ejection fraction; PSLS, Peak systolic longitudinal strain

The correlation between the STE and conventional echocardiography findings was evaluated in the HFNEF group. There was a significant positive correlation between the global PSLS and the septal e' (r = 0.36; p value < 0.001) and a negative correlation between the global PSLS and the E/e' ratio (r = -0.30; p value = 0.001). There were also significant negative correlations between the mid PSLS and the E/e' ratio (r = -0.26; p value = 0.002) and between the basal PSLS and the E/e' ratio (r = -0.26; p value = 0.002) and between the basal PSLS and the E/e' ratio (r = -0.26; p value = 0.001). There were weak positive correlations between the septal e' and the mid PSLS (r = 0.12; p value = 0.001) and the basal PSLS (r = 0.29; p value < 0.001).

Our results also revealed negative correlations between the IVRT and the global PSLS (r = -0.19; p value = 0.029) and the mid PSLS (r = -0.21; p value = 0.017). The New York Heart Association (NYHA) functional class also had a weak negative correlation with the mid PSLS (r = -0.18; p value = 0.042) and the basal PSLS (r = -0.23; p value = 0.009).

The correlation analysis was performed in the control group, but no significant correlation was observed.

Discussion

Diastolic dysfunction with no signs and symptoms is usually present in 40%-60% of patients with coronary artery disease, hypertension, valvular heart disease, diabetes mellitus, hypertrophic cardiomyopathy, or cardiac amyloidosis and can progress to symptomatic HF.^{6,7} The longitudinal function of the LV is a sensitive index in detecting early changes in the LV function with pathologic processes or aging. Recent studies have recommended the global PSLS as an early marker of the subclinical dysfunction of the LV.²⁹⁻³¹

Diastolic dysfunction is a known predictor of the clinical outcome in many situations such as HFNEF and HFREF.^{32, 33} In the present study, we evaluated systolic function by STE in HFNEF patients with diastolic dysfunction. Diastolic dysfunction was grade I in 120 patients and grade II in 6 patients. We observed that the E/e' ratio and the deceleration time, as the indicators of diastolic dysfunction, were significantly higher in the HFNEF patients with diastolic dysfunction than the normal subjects without diastolic dysfunction. Previous studies have demonstrated that some degree of systolic dysfunction is present in HFNEF patients

with diastolic dysfunction.³⁴⁻³⁹ Similar results were observed in the present study.

Our results demonstrated that most of the HFNEF patients had a high LAVI with grade I diastolic dysfunction: this is considered as grade Ia diastolic dysfunction. In most patients with diastolic dysfunction grade I, the diastolic filling pressure is not increased and the E/e' ratio is 8 or higher. However, in a subgroup of patients, the E/e' ratio is > 15, with an E/A ratio < 1. This pattern has been designated grade Ia diastolic dysfunction to emphasize the fact that the filling pressure is increased, while there is a typical grade 1 mitral inflow velocity pattern. Also in this pattern, the LAVI is elevated.⁴⁰

Morris et al.³⁶ observed that their HFNEF patients with impaired systolic and diastolic functions of the LV myocardium had significantly increased LV filling pressures and decreased cardiac outputs. Similarly, we found significant differences in the LV filling pressure between the HFNEF patients and the normal subjects.

We observed that the HFNEF patients had significantly lower global, apical, mid, and basal PSLSs than the normal subjects. Likewise, Saha et al.⁴¹ reported that the global longitudinal strain findings were significantly lower in the HFNEF group than in the normal group. Kraigher-Krainer et al.³⁴ also noted lower longitudinal strain values for the HFNEF patients compared to the normal subjects in their investigation. In another study, Choi et al.³⁷ observed that the mid and basal PSLSs in the patients with diastolic dysfunction grade I and II were significantly lower than those of the normal subjects.

In the current study, a comparison of the correlation between the PSLSs and the markers of diastolic dysfunction demonstrated significant positive correlations between the global, mid, and basal PSLSs and the septal e' and negative correlations with the E/e' ratio. There were also negative correlations between the IVRT and the global and mid PSLSs and negative correlations between the NYHA functional class and the basal and mid PSLSs. It should be noted that most of the patients in our study had grade I diastolic dysfunction, which could be an important cause for the negative correlation observed between the STE findings.

In contrast to our findings, Kraigher-Krainer et al.³⁴ found no correlation between the longitudinal strain and the echocardiographic findings of diastolic function (e' or E/e').

In another study, Choi et al.³⁷ observed that the mid and basal PSLSs had a significant correlation with the early diastolic mitral annular velocity, LAVI, e', and IVST, which had a close correlation with the diastolic function of the LV.

The important finding in all these studies is that strain imaging using STE can determine some degree of systolic dysfunction despite a preserved LVEF detected by conventional echocardiography in HFNEF cases.

The present study has some limitations. The study population is small and the percentage of the patients with higher grades of diastolic dysfunction is limited, with most cases having grade I diastolic dysfunction. Another weak point is that the patients' drug history was not taken into consideration, which may have had some influence on the observed findings since many drug classes exert a significant impact on the systolic and diastolic functions of the LV, especially in ischemic and hypertensive patients. Enrolling more subjects with higher grades of diastolic dysfunction would be helpful.

Conclusion

The results of the present study showed that the HFNEF patients on conventional echocardiography had different STE findings compared to the normal subjects. The longitudinal systolic function of the LV, which was measured by STE, was reduced in all the segments, which was indicative of some degree of subclinical systolic dysfunction in these patients, although the global LVEF was preserved.

Acknowledgments

This research was financially supported by the Vice Chancellorship for Research, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Iran.

References

- Senni M, Tribouilloy CM, Rodeheffer RJ, Jacobsen SJ, Evans JM, Bailey KR, Redfield MM. Congestive heart failure in the community: a study of all incident cases in Olmsted County, Minnesota, in 1991. Circulation 1998;98:2282-2289.
- Grossman W. Defining diastolic dysfunction. Circulation 2000;101:2020-2021.
- Vasan RS, Benjamin EJ, Levy D. Prevalence, clinical features and prognosis of diastolic heart failure: an epidemiologic perspective. J Am Coll Cardiol 1995;26:1565-1574.
- Senni M, Redfield MM. Heart failure with preserved systolic function. A different natural history? J Am Coll Cardiol 2001;38:1277-1282.
- Sanderson JE, Yip GW. Heart failure with a normal ejection fraction. BMJ 2009;338:b52.
- Badano LP, Albanese MC, De Biaggio P, Rozbowsky P, Miani D, Fresco C, Fioretti PM. Prevalence, clinical characteristics, quality of life, and prognosis of patients with congestive heart failure and isolated left ventricular diastolic dysfunction. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2004;17:253-261.

- Bhatia RS, Tu JV, Lee DS, Austin PC, Fang J, Haouzi A, Gong Y, Liu PP. Outcome of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction in a population-based study. N Engl J Med 2006;355:260-269.
- Owan TE, Hodge DO, Herges RM, Jacobsen SJ, Roger VL, Redfield MM. Trends in prevalence and outcome of heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. N Engl J Med 2006;355:251-259.
- 9. Hunt SA, Abraham WT, Chin MH, Feldman AM, Francis GS, Ganiats TG, Jessup M, Konstam MA, Mancini DM, Michl K, Oates JA, Rahko PS, Silver MA, Stevenson LW, Yancy CW. 2009 focused update incorporated into the ACC/AHA 2005 Guidelines for the Diagnosis and Management of Heart Failure in Adults: a report of the American College of Cardiology Foundation/ American Heart Association Task Force on Practice Guidelines: developed in collaboration with the International Society for Heart and Lung Transplantation. Circulation 2009;119:e391-479.
- 10. Yip G, Wang M, Zhang Y, Fung JW, Ho PY, Sanderson JE. Left ventricular long axis function in diastolic heart failure is reduced in both diastole and systole: time for a redefinition. Heart 2002;87:121-125.
- Yu CM, Lin H, Yang H, Kong SL, Zhang Q, Lee SW. Progression of systolic abnormalities in patients with "isolated" diastolic heart failure and diastolic dysfunction. Circulation 2002;105:1195-1201.
- Petrie MC, Caruana L, Berry C, McMurray JJ. "Diastolic heart failure" or heart failure caused by subtle left ventricular systolic dysfunction? Heart 2002;87:29-31.
- Bruch C, Gradaus R, Gunia S, Breithardt G, Wichter T. Doppler tissue analysis of mitral annular velocities: evidence for systolic abnormalities in patients with diastolic heart failure. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2003;16:1031-1036.
- Vinereanu D, Nicolaides E, Tweddel AC, Fraser AG. 'Pure' diastolic dysfunction is associated with long-axis systolic dysfunction. Implications for the diagnosis and classification of heart failure. Eur J Heart Fail 2005;7:820-828.
- Aurigemma GP, Zile MR, Gaasch WH. Contractile behavior of the left ventricle in diastolic heart failure: with emphasis on regional systolic function. Circulation 2006;113:296-304.
- Cho GY, Chan J, Leano R, Strudwick M, Marwick TH. Comparison of two dimensional speckle and tissue velocity based strain and validation with harmonic phase magnetic resonance imaging. Am J Cardiol 2006;97:1661-1666.
- Langeland S, D'hooge J, Wouters PF, Leather HA, Claus P, Bijnens B, Sutherland GR. Experimental validation of a new ultrasound method for the simultaneous assessment of radial and longitudinal myocardial deformation independent of insonation angle. Circulation 2005;112:2157-2162.
- Reisner SA, Lysyansky P, Agmon Y, Mutlak D, Lessick J, Friedman Z. Global longitudinal strain: a novel index of left ventricular systolic function. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2004;17:630-633.
- Wang J, Khoury DS, Yue Y, Torre-Amione G, Nagueh SF. Preserved left ventricular twist and circumferential deformation, but depressed longitudinal and radial deformation in patients with diastolic heart failure. Eur Heart J 2008;29:1283-1289.
- Ha JW, Oh JK. The pathophysiology and diagnostic approaches for diastolic left ventricular dysfunction: a clinical perspective. Korean Circ J 2005;35:865-876.
- Yip GW, Zhang Q, Xie JM, Liang YJ, Liu YM, Yan B, Lam YY, Yu CM. Resting global and regional left ventricular contractility in patients with heart failure and normal ejection fraction: insights from speckle-tracking echocardiography. Heart 2011;97:287-294.
- Liu YW, Tsai WC, Su CT, Lin CC, Chen JH. Evidence of left ventricular systolic dysfunction detected by automated function imaging in patients with heart failure and preserved left ventricular ejection fraction. J Card Fail 2009;15:782-789.
- 23. Carluccio E, Biagioli P, Alunni G, Murrone A, Leonelli V, Pantano P, Biscottini E, Paulus WJ, Ambrosio G. Advantages of deformation indices over systolic velocities in assessment of longitudinal systolic function in patients with heart failure and normal ejection fraction. Eur J Heart Fail 2011;13:292-302.

The Journal of Tehran University Heart Center 199

- Morris DA, Gailani M, Vaz Pérez A, Blaschke F, Dietz R, Haverkamp W, Ozcelik C. Left atrial systolic and diastolic dysfunction in heart failure with normal left ventricular ejection fraction. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2011;24:651–662.
- 25. Paulus WJ, Tschöpe C, Sanderson JE, Rusconi C, Flachskampf FA, Rademakers FE, Marino P, Smiseth OA, De Keulenaer G, Leite-Moreira AF, Borbély A, Edes I, Handoko ML, Heymans S, Pezzali N, Pieske B, Dickstein K, Fraser AG, Brutsaert DL. How to diagnose diastolic heart failure: a consensus statement on the diagnosis of heart failure with normal left ventricular ejection fraction by the Heart Failure and Echocardiography Associations of the European Society of Cardiology. Eur Heart J 2007;28:2539-2550.
- Nagueh SF, Appleton CP, Gillebert TC, Marino PN, Oh JK, Smiseth OA, Waggoner AD, Flachskampf FA, Pellikka PA, Evangelista A. Recommendations for the evaluation of left ventricular diastolic function by echocardiography. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2009;22:107–133.
- 27. Galderisi M, Henein MY, D'hooge J, Sicari R, Badano LP, Zamorano JL, Roelandt JR; European Association of Echocardiography. Recommendations of the European Association of Echocardiography: how to use echo-Doppler in clinical trials: different modalities for different purposes. Eur J Echocardiogr 2011;12:339-53.
- Pritchett AM, Jacobsen SJ, Mahoney DW, Rodeheffer RJ, Bailey KR, Redfield MM. Left atrial volume as an index of left atrial size: a population-based study. J Am Coll Cardiol 2003;41:1036-1043.
- 29. Kosmala W, Plaksej R, Strotmann JM, Weigel C, Herrmann S, Niemann M, Mende H, Störk S, Angermann CE, Wagner JA, Weidemann F. Progression of left ventricular functional abnormalities in hypertensive patients with heart failure: an ultrasonic two-dimensional speckle tracking study. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2008;21:1309-1317.
- Ballo P, Quatrini I, Giacomin E, Motto A, Mondillo S. Circumferential versus longitudinal systolic function in patients with hypertension: a nonlinear relation. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2007;20:298-306.
- D'hooge J, Heimdal A, Jamal F, Kukulski T, Bijnens B, Rademakers F, Hatle L, Suetens P, Sutherland GR. Regional strain and strain rate measurements by cardiac ultrasound: principles, implementation and limitations. Eur J Echocardiogr 2000;1:154-170.
- 32. Dokainish H, Zoghbi WA, Lakkis NM, Ambriz E, Patel R, Quinones MA, Nagueh SF. Incremental predictive power of B-type natriuretic peptide and tissue Doppler echocardiography in the prognosis of patients with congestive heart failure. J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;45:1223-1226.
- Henein MY, Gibson DG. Long axis function in disease. Heart 1995;81:229–231.
- Kraigher-Krainer E, Shah AM, Gupta DK, Santos A, Claggett B, Pieske B, Zile MR, Voors AA, Lefkowitz MP, Packer M, McMurray JJ, Solomon SD; PARAMOUNT Investigators. Impaired systolic function by strain imaging in heart failure with preserved ejection fraction. J Am Coll Cardiol 2014;63:447-456.
- 35. Morris DA, Vaz Pérez A, Blaschke F, Eichstädt H, Ozcelik C, Haverkamp W. Myocardial systolic and diastolic consequences of left ventricular mechanical dyssynchrony in heart failure with normal left ventricular ejection fraction. Eur Heart J Cardiovasc Imaging 2012;13:556-567.
- 36. Morris DA, Boldt LH, Eichstädt H, Ozcelik C, Haverkamp W. Myocardial systolic and diastolic performance derived by 2-dimensional speckle tracking echocardiography in heart failure with normal left ventricular ejection fraction. Circ Heart Fail 2012;5:610-620.
- Choi JO, Cho SJ, Yang JH, Kim JH, Song BK, Park YW, Choi SM, Lee SY, Lee SC, Park SW. Systolic long axis function of the left ventricle, as assessed by 2-D strain, is reduced in the patients who have diastolic dysfunction and a normal ejection fraction. Korean Circ J 2008;38:250-256.

- Kim H, Shin HW, Son J, Yoon HJ, Park HS, Cho YK, Han CD, Nam CW, Hur SH, Kim YN, Kim KB. Two-dimensional strain or strain rate findings in mild to moderate diastolic dysfunction with preserved ejection fraction. Heart Vessels 2011;26:39-45.
- Gonçalves S, Cortez-Dias N, Nunes A, Belo A, Zimbarra Cabrita I, Sousa C, Pinto FJ. Left ventricular systolic dysfunction detected by speckle tracking in hypertensive patients with preserved ejection fraction. Rev Port Cardiol 2014;33:27-37.
- Munagala VK, Jacobsen SJ, Mahoney DW, Rodeheffer RJ, Bailey KR, Redfield MM. Association of newer diastolic function parameters with age in healthy subjects: A population-based study. J Am Soc Echocardiogr 2003;16:1049-1056.
- 41. Saha SK, Kiotsekoglou A, Toole RS, Moggridge JC, Nichols KJ, Govind S, Gopal AS. Value of two-dimensional speckle tracking and real time three-dimensional echocardiography for the identification of subclinical left ventricular dysfunction in patients referred for routine echocardiography. Echocardiography 2012;29:588-597.