### Journal of Epidemiology and Global Health 7 (2017) 249-254

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



贈 Epidemiology 1 Jan 192 (S



Journal of Epidemiology and Global Health

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jegh

# Establishing a cohort in a developing country: Experiences of the diabetes-tuberculosis treatment outcome cohort study



## Fatima Mukhtar<sup>a,b,\*</sup>. Zahid A. Butt<sup>c,d</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Health Services Academy, Islamabad, Pakistan

<sup>b</sup> Department of Community Medicine, Lahore Medical & Dental College, Lahore, Pakistan

<sup>c</sup> Department of Epidemiology & Biostatistics, Health Services Academy, Islamabad, Pakistan

<sup>d</sup> School of Population and Public Health, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, British Columbia, Canada

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 12 December 2016 Received in revised form 8 August 2017 Accepted 12 August 2017 Available online 24 August 2017

Keywords: Cohort study Pakistan Diabetes Tuberculosis

## ABSTRACT

Background: Prospective cohort studies are instrumental in generating valid scientific evidence based on identifying temporal associations between cause and effect. Researchers in a developing country like Pakistan seldom undertake cohort studies hence little is known about the challenges encountered while conducting them. We describe the retention rates among tuberculosis patients with and without diabetes, look at factors associated with loss to follow up among the cohort and assess operational factors that contributed to retention of cohort.

Methods: A prospective cohort study was initiated in October 2013 at the Gulab Devi Chest Hospital, Lahore, Pakistan. We recruited 614 new adult cases of pulmonary tuberculosis, whose diabetic status was ascertained by conducting random and fasting blood glucose tests. The cohort was followed up at the 2nd, 5th and 6th month while on anti-tuberculosis therapy (ATT) and 6 months after ATT completion to determine treatment outcomes among the two groups i.e. patients with diabetes and patients without diabetes.

*Results:* The overall retention rate was 81.9% (n = 503), with 82.3% (93/113) among patients with diabetes and 81.8% (410/501) among patients without diabetes (p = 0.91). Age (p = 0.001), area of residence (p = 0.029), marital status (p = 0.001), educational qualification (p = <0.001) and smoking (p = 0.026) were significantly associated with loss to follow up. Respondents were lost to follow up due to inability of research team to contact them as either contact numbers provided were incorrect or switched off (44/111, 39.6%).

Conclusion: We were able to retain 81.9% of PTB patients in the diabetes tuberculosis treatment outcome (DITTO) study for 12 months. Retention rates among people with and without diabetes were similar. Older age, rural residence, illiteracy and smoking were associated with loss to follow up. The study employed gender matched data collectors, had a 24-h helpline for patients and sent follow up reminders through telephone calls rather than short messaging service, which might have contributed to retention of cohort.

© 2017 Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia. Published by Elsevier Ltd. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

## 1. Introduction

Cohort studies can be considered the "gold standard" among the observational epidemiological study designs, as they fulfill Hill's sine qua non criterion of causality by establishing a temporal relationship between cause and effect. But the cohort study design is criticized for long periods of follow up, expense associated with them, large number of study subjects required and issues of

Peer review under responsibility of Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia.

attrition [1]. All these issues can be extremely challenging to manage in a developing country like Pakistan, which is already struggling with a myriad of problems. To name a few; allocation of budget for health sector is minimal, health indicators are low in comparison to other Asian countries, the country belongs to the low human development category where 45.6% of the population is multi-dimensionally poor. Approximately half the population is illiterate especially females who enjoy a low status in society with restricted movement affecting their access to health care services [2,3].

The cornerstone of a prospective cohort study is effective follow up of cohort participants, and retaining them for the entire

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jegh.2017.08.003

2210-6006/© 2017 Ministry of Health, Saudi Arabia. Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Corresponding author at: 7 Aziz Bhatti Road, Lahore, Cantt., Lahore, Pakistan, E-mail address: fatimamukhtar@doctor.com (F. Mukhtar).

This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

duration of the study, on which relies the success of such studies [4]. However, most epidemiological studies experience issues of loss to follow up which leads to reduced power of the study and a source of bias if it is differential i.e. attrition is related to either the predictor or outcome under study. It is imperative to be aware of factors, which lead to attrition so that appropriate strategies may be adopted to increase retention of cohort participants [5,6] Being less advantaged and having poor health are factors responsible for respondents' non-participation or loss to follow up [7]. The Danish youth cohort study found drinking and tobacco use to be associated with loss to follow up [8]. A cohort study conducted in Karachi, Pakistan to estimate the incidence rate of HIV among people who inject drugs identified younger age, being homeless, lack of formal education and being incarcerated by law enforcement agencies as predictors of loss to follow up [9].

Pakistan has a high burden of TB, with an estimated incidence of 270 cases per 100.000 population in addition to its expanding population of diabetics, with a national prevalence of 6.9% [10,11]. Diabetes mellitus has detrimental effect on TB treatment outcomes such as: increased chances of relapse, failure, default and death among co-infected patients [12,13]. Hence the diabetestuberculosis treatment outcome (DITTO) study; a prospective cohort study was undertaken in Pakistan to assess tuberculosis treatment outcome among diabetic patients. We are aware that the results of a cohort study are a big threat to its validity if the loss to follow up is more than 20%. The participants who are lost to follow up are often seen to have a different prognosis than those who remain a part of the cohort [14]. Researchers in a developing country like Pakistan seldom undertake cohort studies, which explains the dearth of information on retention and tracking strategies employed, and challenges encountered in such studies. It has been established that diabetes is a determinant of loss to follow up among patients undergoing treatment for tuberculosis [15]. This may be attributed to financial constraints and high pill burden, which hinders the co-infected patients arrival at health facility for follow up visits [16]. Our primary objective was to describe the retention rates among tuberculosis patients with and without diabetes and secondary objectives were to look at factors associated with loss to follow up among the cohort and assess operational factors that contributed to retention of cohort.

## 2. Materials & methods

Details of this prospective longitudinal study have been previously published [17]. In brief, a prospective cohort study was undertaken at the Gulab Devi Chest Hospital (GDH), a tertiary care hospital in Lahore, Pakistan. GDH is one of the oldest cardiothoracic hospitals in South Asia, which was established in 1934. It is a 1500 bed hospital, which provides free diagnostic and treatment services to TB patients of all socioeconomic backgrounds, from all over the country.

The cohort of 614 PTB patients was recruited between October 2013 and March 2014 from the outpatient department of GDH. PTB patients who were diagnosed, registered and obtained treatment at GDH were enrolled in the study if they fulfilled the inclusion criteria and were willing to participate. The inclusion criteria comprised of: a new adult ( $\geq$ 15 years of age) pulmonary tuberculosis (PTB) patient, both sputum smear positive and negative who had never taken TB drugs in the past or had taken them for less than 4 weeks, was registered with GDH for his/her anti-tuberculosis treatment, consented to participate and could provide his/her contact details. Patients were requested to provide home addresses and two telephone numbers (landline or mobile) belonging either to them, a family member or neighbour to facilitate the follow up process. The diagnosis of PTB, both sputum smear positive and sputum

smear negative was made according to the definition given by the National Tuberculosis Control Program (NTP) [18]. According to NTP a new case was a PTB patient who had never taken ATT in the past or had taken it for less than 4 weeks and had not registered with NTP. In order to establish a new case, patients' selfreport regarding past history of tuberculosis was initiated.

The diabetic status of patients was ascertained. People with diabetes gave a self-history of the disease, which was verified by inquiring about the hypoglycaemic drugs that they were consuming. They were included in the study as patients with known diabetes. All others were tested for diabetes through random blood glucose (RBG) test at the time of enrollment. Patients with RBG value >110 mg/dl were tested through the fasting blood glucose (FBG) test at the first follow up visit. Patients having a FBG >126 mg/dl were labeled as patients with diabetes. Based on the result of FBG test patients were divided into two groups, those exposed i.e. patients with diabetes and those unexposed i.e. patients without diabetes. Both groups were followed up prospectively at 2nd, 5th and 6th month while on ATT and 6 months after completion of ATT in order to compare the treatment outcomes in the exposed and the unexposed groups. Follow up was completed in March 2015. The treatment outcome definitions provided by the NTP and WHO were adhered to in the study [18,19]. Treatment outcomes observed included: cured, treatment completed, treatment failure, defaulted, transferred out, patients who died during the ATT, and those who relapsed. Two full time data collectors, both male and female were hired for data collection. The sources of data included a pretested patient questionnaire which comprised of questions on patients socio-demographics, lifestyle and behavioural characteristics, history of co-morbidity, clinical presentation of tuberculosis, sputum smear microscopy for assessment of treatment outcomes, blood tests for estimation of glycosylated hemoglobin of patients with diabetes and anthropometric examination for assessment of body mass index. The known and newly diagnosed diabetes patients were referred to a specialist for free management of their diabetes.

Efforts were made to minimize loss to follow up. Reminder telephone calls were made a week before scheduled appointment. Approximately 10–20 telephone calls were made till contact with participant was established. At each visit, participants contact details were reviewed and participants were given the date of their subsequent appointment, on their Patient Identification Card. Additionally, participants were provided with Rs.100 (US \$0.95) as compensation for the time provided to the study. The PTB patients who were lost to follow up were contacted through telephone calls to ascertain the reasons for their loss from the cohort.

The data thus collected were entered and analyzed using the statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 16. We undertook a descriptive analysis whereby we calculated: 1) the number and proportion of persons with selected demographic and social characteristics at baseline; 2) the number and proportion of persons lost to follow up at each of the follow up visits according to their diabetic status and 3) the number and proportion of factors identified by respondents for loss to follow up. We then determined the association between age group, gender, area of residence, educational qualification, marital status, history of smoking and diabetic status with loss to follow up using Chisquare statistics significant at a p-value of  $\leq 0.05$ .

Patients were explained the purpose of the study and schedule of follow-up visits. Written informed consent was obtained from eligible patients volunteering to participate in the study. The illiterate patients requested the data collectors to give them a verbal overview of the consent form. Ethical approval was sought from the Institutional Ethical Review Committee (IERC) of Health Services Academy, Islamabad before initiating the study, which was duly granted. Permission was also taken from the administration of the Gulab Devi Chest Hospital, Lahore where data collection was undertaken.

## 3. Results

We identified 980 new cases of adult PTB, of which 661 (661/980, 67%) fulfilled the eligibility criteria of the study, 614 (614/661, 92.8%) were recruited in the cohort and 503 PTB patients completed follow up (503/614, 81.9%). (Fig. 1). Of the 319 ineligible



Fig. 1. Diabetes tuberculosis treatment outcome study's flow chart of recruitment and follow up.

#### Table 1

Socio-demographic profile of 614 new pulmonary tuberculosis patients recruited at Gulab Devi Chest Hospital, Lahore between October 2013 and March 2014 (n = 614).

Characteristic	Number	Percentage
Age Group (in years)		
15–19	135	22.0
20-24	142	23.1
25–29	67	10.9
30–39	90	14.7
40-49	67	10.9
>50	113	18.4
Gender		
Male	312	50.8
Female	302	49.2
Residence		
Urban	424	69.1
Rural	190	30.9
Educational qualification		
Illiterate	323	52.6
Primary	84	13.7
Matriculation	146	23.8
Intermediate	30	4.9
Bachelors	18	2.9
Masters and above	13	2.1
Income Category (Rupees)		
Nil <sup>‡</sup>	384	62.5
<5000	43	7.0
5100-8000	67	10.9
8100-11000	54	8.8
11100-14000	26	4.2
14100-17000	21	3.4
>17100	19	3.1
Marital status		
Married	344	56.0
Single	267	43.5
Divorced	1	0.2
Widowed	2	0.3
Total	614	100

<sup>‡</sup> Income in the form of loans/help from relatives/extended family.

patients, 115 (36%) were unable to recall their own/relatives'/neigh bours' telephone numbers, 33 (10%) were unable to provide complete address (home/relatives/neighbourhood), 25 (8%) did not possess a mobile telephone neither had access to a landline, 124 (39%) did not get registered at Gulab Devi Chest Hospital for treatment and 22 (7%) patients were unsure of their previous ATT intake.

The socio-demographic profile of 614 recruited members of the cohort is displayed in Table 1. The mean age of the cohort members in this study was found to be  $32 \pm 15$  years. The assessment of respondents' diabetic status revealed that 113(18.4%) had diabetes. Of the patients with diabetes in the PTB cohort, 85(75%) were patients with known diabetes and 28(25%) were patients with newly diagnosed diabetes. The overall follow up completion rate of the 614 PTB patients in the DITTO study was 81.9% (503), with an approximately equal proportion among diabetics 82.3% (93/113) and non-diabetics 81.8% (410/501). (p = 0.91)

The loss to follow up rates among PTB patients with diabetes and PTB patients without diabetes at each follow up are displayed in Table 2. The total loss to follow up among PTB patients with diabetes and PTB patients without diabetes was 18% (20/113) and 18% (91/501) respectively (p = 0.907).

Age (p = 0.001), area of residence (p = 0.029), being a smoker (p = 0.026) and marital status of the respondent (p = 0.001) were significantly associated with loss to follow up. (Table 3)

As is highlighted in table 4, the major reason for loss to follow up among the respondents were unknown because of inability to contact them due to incorrect contact numbers provided to the research team and contact numbers being switched off (44/111, 39.6%). Three patients reported terminating ATT and initiating medicines for psychiatric illness (3/111, 2.7%) or switching to homeopathic medicines (4/111, 3.6%).

## 4. Discussion

The follow up completion rate of the study was 81.9%, which cannot be compared to similar studies in the country for lack of such a venture undertaken in the past i.e. a prospective cohort study to look at treatment outcome among tuberculosis patients with and without diabetes. However, a prospective cohort study undertaken in Karachi to identify predictors of gestational diabetes among 750 pregnant women reported 18.5% respondents were lost to follow up; results compatible to our study [20]. A higher loss to follow up rate of 25.5% was reported by another cohort study undertaken in Karachi on 636 people who inject drugs (PWID). The threshold for follow up has been recommended to be 60–80% in cohort studies [21].

The DITTO study identified factors associated with loss to follow up among the PTB cohort. We found residing in rural area, age above 50 years, being married, smoking and illiteracy to be significantly associated with loss to follow up. Lack of formal education and smoking has been reported as predictors of loss to follow up by other studies [8,9] Elderly cohort members have a greater probability of being lost from the cohort due to social and health issues [22]. Elderly patients may also be lost to follow up as they may have died. The majority of patients were lost to follow up due to inability of research team to contact them as either contact numbers provided were incorrect or switched off. Non-contact and refusal to participate in a study are established factors of attrition from a longitudinal study. [23]. One of the mainstays of a cohort study is follow up of cohort members to determine the development of outcome. To ensure a robust follow up it is imperative to have detailed contact information of the recruits [24]. Therefore, the DITTO study refreshed the contact information of members at each follow-up visit. We ensured that patients registered at

#### Table 2

Distribution of pulmonary tuberculosis patients that were lost to follow up between four follow ups according to diabetic status from October 2013 to March 2015 at Gulab Devi Chest Hospital, Lahore.

	Known Diabetics	Diabetic status to be confirmed <sup>#</sup>	Non- diabetic <sup>¶</sup>
1st Follow Up			
Number of PTB patients in cohort	85	113	416
Number of PTB patients experienced TO <sup>*</sup>	7	3	6
Number of PTB patients lost to follow up	8	7	25
Loss to follow up (%)	8/85, 9%	7/113, 6%	25/416, 6%
	Diabetic	Non-diabetic	
2nd Follow Up			
Number of PTB patients in cohort	$98^{\dagger}$	460	
Number of PTB patients experienced TO <sup>*</sup>	7	24	
Number of PTB patients lost to follow up	4	33	
Loss to follow up (%)	4/98, 4%	33/460, 7%	
3rd Follow Up			
Number of PTB patients in cohort	87	403	
Number of PTB patients experienced TO <sup>*</sup>	0	7	
Number of PTB patients lost to follow up	8	26	
Loss to follow up (%)	8/87, 9%	26/403, 6%	
4th Follow Up			
Number of PTB patients in cohort	79	370	
Number of PTB patients experienced TO*	9	6	
Number of PTB patients lost to follow up	0	0	
Loss to follow up (%)	0	0	
Total Loss to follow up (%)	20/113, 18%	91/501, 18%	

\* Treatment outcome includes: cured, treatment completed, treatment failure, defaulted, transferred out, patients who died during the ATT, and those who relapsed. <sup>#</sup> Patients having a RBG >110 mg/dl, who underwent FBG test at 2nd follow up.
<sup>§</sup> Patients having a RBG ≤110 mg/dl.
<sup>†</sup> 70 Known diabetics + 28 newly diagnosed diabetics.

Table 3	Ta	ble	3
---------	----	-----	---

-

Factors associated with loss to follow up among new pulmonary tuberculosis patients followed up at 12 months for treatment outcome at Gulab Devi Chest Hospital, Lahore.

n     %     n     %       Age Group (in years)     15-19     119     88     16     12       20-24     128     90     14     10       25-29     50     75     17     25       30-39     72     80     18     20       40-49     53     79     14     21       >50     81     72     32     28       Gender		PTB patients retained in cohort n = 503		PTB patients lost to follow-up n = 111		P-value
Age Group (in years)   0.001 <sup>+</sup> 15-19   119   88   16   12     20-24   128   90   14   10     25-29   50   75   17   25     30-39   72   80   18   20     40-49   53   79   14   21     >50   81   72   32   28     Gender		n	%	n	%	
15-1911988161220-2412890141025-295075172530-3972801820 $40-49$ 53791421 $550$ 81723228Gender3228Gender0Male251806120Female252835017Residence00Urban357846716Rural146774423Educational qualification12881012Illiterate243758025Primary74881012Intermediate2790310Bachelors1810000Masters and above129218Married254778023Single237893011Divoreed110000	Age Group (in years)					0.001*
20-24   128   90   14   10     25-29   50   7   17   25     30-39   72   80   18   20     40-49   53   79   14   21     >50   81   72   32   28     Gender	15–19	119	88	16	12	
25-29   50   75   17   25     30-39   72   80   18   20     40-49   53   79   14   21     >50   81   72   32   28     Gender	20-24	128	90	14	10	
30-3972801820 $40-49$ 53791421 $>50$ 81723228Gender0170Male251806120Female252835017Residence $50$ 170.029°Urban357846716Rural146774423Educational qualification1425 $0.001°$ Illiterate243758025Primary74881012Matriculation1290310Bachelors1810000Masters and above129218Marital status237893011Divorced110000Wirdowerd150150	25–29	50	75	17	25	
40-49   53   79   14   21     >50   81   72   32   28     Gender	30–39	72	80	18	20	
>50   81   72   32   28     Gender	40-49	53	79	14	21	
Gender   251   80   61   20     Female   252   83   50   17     Residence	>50	81	72	32	28	
Male   251   80   61   20     Female   252   83   50   17     Residence	Gender					0.335
Female   252   83   50   17     Residence	Male	251	80	61	20	
Residence   0.029 <sup>*</sup> Urban   357   84   67   16     Rural   146   77   44   23     Educational qualification   146   77   44   23     Illiterate   243   75   80   25     Primary   74   88   10   12     Matriculation   129   88   17   12     Intermediate   27   90   3   10     Bachelors   18   100   0   0     Matriat status   264   77   80   23     Married   264   77   80   23     Single   237   89   30   11     Divorced   1   100   0   0	Female	252	83	50	17	
Urban357846716Rural146774423Educational qualification $$	Residence					0.029*
Rural   146   77   44   23     Educational qualification   243   75   80   25     Illiterate   243   75   80   25     Primary   74   88   10   12     Matriculation   129   88   17   12     Intermediate   27   90   3   10     Bachelors   18   100   0   0     Matricul status   12   92   1   8     Married   264   77   80   23     Single   237   89   30   11     Divorced   1   100   0   0	Urban	357	84	67	16	
Educational qualification    <	Rural	146	77	44	23	
Illiterate 243 75 80 25   Primary 74 88 10 12   Matriculation 129 88 17 12   Intermediate 27 90 3 10   Bachelors 18 100 0 0   Masters and above 12 92 1 8   Married 264 77 80 23   Single 237 89 30 11   Divorced 1 100 0 0	Educational qualification					< 0.001 *
Primary     74     88     10     12       Matriculation     129     88     17     12       Intermediate     27     90     3     10       Bachelors     18     100     0     0       Masters and above     12     92     1     8       Married     264     77     80     23       Single     237     89     30     11       Divorced     1     100     0     0	Illiterate	243	75	80	25	
Matriculation     129     88     17     12       Intermediate     27     90     3     10       Bachelors     18     100     0     0       Masters and above     12     92     1     8       Marital status	Primary	74	88	10	12	
Intermediate     27     90     3     10       Bachelors     18     100     0     0       Masters and above     12     92     1     8       Marital status	Matriculation	129	88	17	12	
Bachelors     18     100     0     0       Masters and above     12     92     1     8       Marital status	Intermediate	27	90	3	10	
Masters and above     12     92     1     8       Marital status	Bachelors	18	100	0	0	
Marital status     0.001°       Married     264     77     80     23       Single     237     89     30     11       Divorced     1     100     0     0	Masters and above	12	92	1	8	
Married264778023Single237893011Divorced110000Widowed150150	Marital status					0.001*
Single     237     89     30     11       Divorced     1     100     0     0       Widowed     1     50     1     50	Married	264	77	80	23	
Divorced     1     100     0     0       Widowed     1     50     1     50	Single	237	89	30	11	
Widowed 1 50 1 50	Divorced	1	100	0	0	
	Widowed	1	50	1	50	
Smoker 0.026 <sup>*</sup>	Smoker					0.026*
Yes 78 74 27 26	Yes	78	74	27	26	
No 425 83.5 84 16.5	No	425	83.5	84	16.5	
Diabetic 0.907	Diabetic					0.907
Yes 93 82 20 18	Yes	93	82	20	18	
No 410 82 91 18	No	410	82	91	18	

#### Table 4

Reasons for loss to follow up among 111 PTB patients comprising diabetes tuberculosis treatment outcome cohort study undertaken at Gulab Devi Chest Hospital, Lahore.

Unknown44 (39.6)Refusal/denial of phone call recipients to the existence of a TB patient in the household20 (18.0)Patients' movement from a relatives' house in the city where the hospital was located to their own residence in a village13 (11.7)Patients found TB drugs very strong, hence stopped having them10 (9.0)Potients in the city where the hospital was located to their own residence in a village10 (9.0)	Reasons	n (%)
Refusal/denial of phone call recipients to the existence of a TB patient in the household20 (18.0)Patients' movement from a relatives' house in the city where the hospital was located to their own residence in a village13 (11.7)Patients found TB drugs very strong, hence stopped having them10 (9.0)Puttients in the intervention of the city o	Unknown	44 (39.6)
Patients' movement from a relatives' house in the city where the hospital was located to their own residence in a village13 (11.7)Patients found TB drugs very strong, hence stopped having them10 (9.0)Patients in the labeled base of the state of th	Refusal/denial of phone call recipients to the existence of a TB patient in the household	20 (18.0)
Patients found TB drugs very strong, hence stopped having them 10 (9.0)	Patients' movement from a relatives' house in the city where the hospital was located to their own residence in a village	13 (11.7)
	Patients found TB drugs very strong, hence stopped having them	10 (9.0)
Patient had shifted to a private clinic for their treatment 7 (6.3)	Patient had shifted to a private clinic for their treatment	7 (6.3)
Patient considered ATT ineffective, hence discontinued it 5 (4.5)	Patient considered ATT ineffective, hence discontinued it	5 (4.5)
Patients proclaimed they don't have TB, rather are under the influence of evil spell 5 (4.5)	Patients proclaimed they don't have TB, rather are under the influence of evil spell	5 (4.5)
Patients had terminated ATT because they considered homeopathic medicine more effective 4 (3.6)	Patients had terminated ATT because they considered homeopathic medicine more effective	4 (3.6)
Stopped ATT and started medicines for psychiatric illness 3 (2.7)	Stopped ATT and started medicines for psychiatric illness	3 (2.7)

The contact numbers provided at recruitment to the researchers were incorrect/inaccessible and mobile phones were switched off, ATT = anti-tuberculosis therapy.

the Gulab Devi hospital were recruited only: as proximity of health facility from home was one of the criteria, which needed to be fulfilled to be registered, hence facilitating follow up. Another strategy to ensure patient turnout was; thoroughly explaining the follow up schedule to respondents at recruitment and scheduling their visits to coincide with patients' drug collection time from hospital. Additionally, a lot of effort is required to maintain the cohort and maximize patient follow up. One approach is to give incentives for participation [25]. Our study also compensated patient for their time and effort through a nominal amount, which was given to them at the first follow up visit. This may have contributed to an increase in retention of the participants. A cohort study in Karachi gave money and gifts such as hospital monogrammed towels, biscuits and baby products in recognition of time and effort provided to the study [26]. A cohort study in Pomerania provided their study subjects with either travel allowance back and forth from the health facility or some expense allowance in an attempt to increase response rate [27].

The DITTO study experienced various challenges during the study period. At the time of recruitment, it was required to elicit previous ATT intake of patient as poor treatment outcomes have been observed in individuals' having consumed ATT in the past [28]. Many respondents were not sure of their previous history of ATT intake, hence couldn't be recruited as they did not fulfill the inclusion criteria of the study. This lack of awareness regarding their previous disease status may be attributed to their low level of literacy [29]. Additionally, some patients did not participate due to shortage of time. Long waiting times at each visit for the study hindering working women's enrollment has been identified by other researchers as well [30].

The study used a consent form translated in local language, which was read out to those who were unable to read. In another US cohort, during the recruitment phase the consent form was also read out to individuals with a low level of literacy [24]. The study found respondents were not willing to sign the consent forms but were willing to participate in the study after giving verbal consent. The signing of a document threatened them and made them feel insecure and suspicious. Literature has highlighted various reasons for refusal to consent for participation [31]. A study conducted in Qatar found similar results and the researchers were also of the view that written consent maybe substituted with verbal consent in a culture like ours where signing documents is avoided by individuals [32]. Other research has indicated that some Western concepts of informed consent are at variance with those in developing countries [33].

The data collection was carried out in the outpatient department of the hospital, where patients and their accompanying family members could not be separated. The young female patients in the study, who were either recently married or engaged did not want their TB status disclosed to the accompanying person because of the taboo associated with the disease and fear of divorce/ engagement breaking on discovery of her disease status [34]. In

comparison to most prospective longitudinal studies with long follow up periods and the fear of a high attrition, the DITTO study had a short follow up period of twelve months [35]. But despite the short length of follow up, DITTO study employed various methods to maintain the cohort ensuring low attrition and effective follow up of the patients. Considering the prevailing cultural environment, both a male and a female data collector were hired and trained for gender matched data collection. We ensured negligible data collector turnover, which helped develop a good rapport between the researcher and respondents. Additionally, we provided a 24-h helpline, which was very popular among the patients, was greatly appreciated by them and helped develop sustained relationships with them; thereby enhancing the response rate. The initial protocol of DITTO study mentioned the use of short messaging service (SMS) to remind patients of their follow up visits. However, as we proceeded with the study it was noted that patients did not respond to SMS reminders. They didn't turn up for their follow up visits after being sent a SMS reminder. Therefore, telephone calls were used to remind patients of their time of visit to the health facility. Many telephonic reminders were given to the cohort members, to ensure they turned up at the health facility. A cohort study by Russell et al. also reported making innumerable calls to locate respondents, which although effective was found to be costly and labour-intensive [36]. Our study has a few limitations. Strategies employed here may not prove to be beneficial in all settings. We were not able to quantify if only incentives played an important part in retention of patients. It is likely that the combination of strategies used here contributed to enhance retention. Future studies utilizing experimental design may be able to delineate the individual or combined effect of these interventions on retention of participants in cohorts.

## 5. Conclusion

The DITTO study demonstrated an ability to retain more than 80% of participants for 12 months. Retention rates among people with and without diabetes were similar. Our study identified rural residence, older age, being married, smoking and illiteracy as significant predictors of loss to follow-up. Gender matched data collectors, 24-h helpline for patients and follow up reminders through telephone calls rather than short messaging service, might have contributed to retention of cohort and could be used by other researchers.

## **Conflict of interest**

None.

## Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jegh.2017.08.003.

## References

- [1] Mukhtar F. Why aren't we conducting cohort studies? J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad 2015;27(1):1-2.
- [2] Human Development Reports. Pakistan. United Nations Development Programme. Accessed on: 14th November 2016. Available at: http://hdr. undp.org/en/countries/profiles/PAK.
- [3] Rizvi N, Khan KS, Shaikh BT. Gender: shaping personality, lives and health of women in Pakistan. BMC Womens Health 2014:14:53.
- [4] Hunt JR, White E. Retaining and tracking cohort study members. Epidemiol Rev 1998:20(1):57-70
- [5] Hense Sabrina, Pohlabeln Hermann, Michels Nathalie, et al. Determinants of attrition to follow-up in a multicentre cohort study in children-results from the IDEFICS Study. Epidemiol Res Int 2013;2013:9. doi: http://dx.doi.org/ 10.1155/2013/936365. Article ID: 936365.
- [6] Wolke Dieter, Waylen Andrea, Samara Muthanna, Steer Colin, Goodman Robert, Ford Tamsin, et al. Br J Psychiatry 2009;195(3):249-56. doi: http://dx. doi.org/10.1192/bip.bp.108.053751.
- [7] Howe LD, Tilling K, Galobardes B, Lawlor DA. Loss to follow-up in cohort studies. Epidemiol 2013;24(1):1-9.
- [8] Vinther-Larsen M, Riegels M, Rod MH, Schiøtz M, Curtis T, Grønbæk M. The Danish youth cohort: characteristics of participants and non-participants and determinants of attrition. Scand Public Health 2010:38(6):648-56.
- [9] Samo RN, Agha A, Shah SA, Altaf A, Memon A, Blevins M, et al. Risk factors for loss to follow-up among people who inject drugs in a risk reduction program at Karachi, Pakistan. A Case-Cohort Study. PLoS ONE 2016;11(2):e0147912. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0147912). [10] World Health Organization. Global tuberculosis report 2015. 20th
- ed. France: World Health Organization; 2015.
- [11] IDF Diabetes Atlas. Seventh edition. International Diabetes Federation; 2015.
- [12] Workneh MH, Bjune GA, Yimer SA. Diabetes mellitus is associated with increased mortality during tuberculosis treatment: a prospective cohort study among tuberculosis patients in South-Eastern Amahra Region, Ethiopia, Inf Dis Pov 2016:5:22
- [13] Baker MA, Harries AD, Jeon CY, et al. The impact of diabetes on tuberculosis treatment outcomes: a systematic review. BMC 2011;9:81.
- [14] Dettori JR. Loss to follow-up. Evid Based Spine Care J 2011;2(1):7–10.
- [15] Belchior-Aylana-De S, Mainbourg-Evelyne-Marie T, Ferreira-Gonçalves-Maria J. Loss to follow-up in tuberculosis treatment and its relationship with patients' knowledge of the disease and other associated factors. Rev. salud pública 2016;18(5):714-26 [internet].
- [16] Deshmukh RD, Dhande DJ, Sachdeva KS, Sreenivas A, Kumar AMV, Satyanarayana S, et al. Patient and provider reported reasons for lost to follow up in MDRTB treatment: a qualitative study from a drug resistant TB centre in India. PLoS ONE 2015;10(8):e0135802.
- [17] Mukhtar F, Butt ZA. Cohort profile: the diabetes- tuberculosis treatment outcome (DITTO) study in Pakistan. BMJ Open 2016;6:e012970. doi: http://dx. doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen- 2016- 012970.
- [18] Tuberculosis Control Programme Pakistan. Doctors Training course on community-based TB care-DOTS. Department for International Development (DFID), World Health Organisation (WHO); 2012.
- [19] World Health Organization. Treatment of tuberculosis guidelines 2010. 4th ed. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2010.

- [20] Igbal R. Rafigue G. Badruddin S. Oureshi R. Cue R. Grav-Donald K. Increased body fat percentage and physical inactivity are independent predictors of gestational diabetes mellitus in South Asian women. European J Clin Nutri 2007.61.736-42
- [21] Kristman V, Manno M, Cote P. Loss to follow-up in cohort studies: how much is too much? Eur J Epidemiol 2004;19(8):751-60.
- [22] Lacey RJ, Jordan KP, Croft PR. Does Attrition during Follow-Up of a Population Cohort Study Inevitably Lead to Biased Estimates of Health Status? PLoS ONE 2013;8(12):e83948. doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0083948
- [23] Lamers F, Hoogendoorn AW, Smit JH, van DR, Zitman FG, Nolen WA, et al. Sociodemographic and psychiatric determinants of attrition in the Netherlands study of depression and anxiety (NESDA). Compr Psychiatry 2012;53(1):63-70.
- [24] Signorello LB, Hargreaves MK, Steinwandel MD, et al. Southern Community Cohort Study: Establishing a cohort to investigate health disparities. J Nat Med Assoc 2005;97(7):972-9.
- [25] Boys A, Marsden J, Stillwell G, et al. Minimizing respondent attrition in longitudinal research: practical implications from a cohort study of adolescent drinking. J Adolesc 2003;26(3):363-73.
- [26] Khan FS, Lotia-Farrukh I, Khan AJ, et al. The burden of non-communicable disease in transition communities in an Asian megacity: baseline findings from a cohort study in Karachi, Pakistan. PLoS ONE 2013;8(2):e56008.
- [27] Volzke H, Alte D, Schmidt CO, et al. Cohort profile: the study of health in Pomerania. Int J Epidemiol 2009:1-14.
- [28] Reis-Santos B, Gomes T, Locatelli R, et al. Treatment outcomes in tuberculosis patients with diabetes: a polytomous analysis using Brazilian Surveillance System. PLoS ONE 2014;9(7):e100082.
- [29] Veghari G, Sedaghat M, Moghsodlo S, et al. Impact of literacy on the prevalence, awareness, treatment and control of hypertension in adults in Golestan Province (northern Iran). Caspian J Intern Med 2013;4(1):580-4.
- [30] Eskenazi B, Gladstone EA, Berkowitz GS, et al. Methodologic and logistic issues in conducting longitudinal birth cohort studies: Lessons learned from the centers for children's environmental health and disease prevention research. Environ Health Perspect 2005;113(10):1419-29.
- [31] Creed- Kanashiro H, Ore B, Scurrah M, et al. Countries: Experiences of the informed consent process from community studies in Peru. J Nutr 2005;135 (4):925-8.
- [32] Killawi A, Khidir A, Elnashar M, et al. Procedures of recruiting, obtaining informed consent, and compensating research participants in Qatar: findings from a qualitative investigation. BMC Med Ethics 2014;15:9.
- [33] Upvall M, Hashwani S. Negotiating the informed-consent process in developing countries: a comparison of Swaziland and Pakistan. Int Nurs Rev 2001;48(3):188-92.
- [34] Booker CL, Harding S, Benzeval M. A systematic review of the effect of retention methods in population-based cohort studies. BMC Public Health 2011;11:249.
- [35] Tansey CM, Matte AL, Needham D, et al. Review of retention strategies in longitudinal studies and application to follow-up of ICU survivors. Intensive Care Med 2007;33(12):2051-7.
- [36] Russell C, Palmer JR, Adams-Campbell LL, et al. Follow-up of a large cohort of black women. Am J Epedimiol 2001;154(9):845-53.