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Abstract

The prevalence of hepatitis C virus (HCV) infection varies across the world, with the highest number of infections reported in Egypt. Monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1) is a potent chemokine, and its hepatic expression is up-regulated during chronic HCV infection. Fifty naive patients with chronic hepatitis C in National Hepatology & Tropical Medicine Research Institute and 20 healthy volunteers as controls were enrolled in a prospective study designed with strict inclusion criteria to nullify the effect of confounding variables and further minimize selection bias. Fifty naïve patients were treated with PEG-IFN-a2b, at a dose of 180lg/kg subcutaneously every week plus ribavirin at a dose of 1000–1200 mg/day, according to the patient's body weight, for 48 weeks. Quantification of HCV-RNA by real-time PCR and MCP-1 by ELISA were performed for every patient and controls. There was a statistically significant difference between patients and control group as regards the quantity of MCP-1 (P <0.05) (Mann–Whitney test) (P =0.004). There was a significant difference between responders and nonresponses regarding MCP-1 (P < 0.05), responders showed a higher percentage of cases with initial MCP-1< 306 (P < 0.05). We conclude the importance of the detection of MCP-1 expression at the start of therapy as a factor for assessing the likelihood of HCV genotype 4 patients to achieving a sustained virological response to treatment with IFN-a2 in combination with ribavirin.
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Introduction

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) related liver disease is a common and clinically significant condition (Batts et al, 1995). It is considered to be one of the most common chronic viral infections worldwide (Shaker et al, 2010). The prevalence of HCV infection varies across the world, with the highest number of infections reported in Egypt (Frank et al, 2000; Kamel et al, 2014). HCV infection affects 2-3% of the population, approximately 170 million people worldwide, causing chronic HCV-related hepatitis with subsequent liver cirrhosis, hepatic failure, hepatocellular cancer, and liver-related mortality in a large number of patients (Hunyady et al, 2011). Interferon α (IFN-α) was the only agent available for the treatment of CHC patients. Addition of ribavarin (RBV) to IFN-α increased the SVR rate to about 50% (Fried et al, 2002). Pegylated IFN-α inert polyethylene glycol (Peg) attached to conventional IFN-α, was introduced into clinical practice, and based on the longer half-life of this drug form. Peg-IFNα markedly improved SVR rates and offered the further advantage of weekly rather than thrice a week administration. Interestingly, the SVR rates obtained with Peg-IFNα plus RBV were significantly higher in patients infected with HCV gt-2 or gt-3 (76-82%) than in those infected with gt-1 or gt-4, 42-46% (Poynard et al, 1998). Over the last decade, the standard of care for chronic hepatitis C treatment is the combination of pegylated-interferon-alfa (PEG-IFN) & ribavirin which resulted in sustained virological response (SVR) rates of 75%-85% in patients with genotypes 2 or 3 but only of
40%-50% in patients with the genotype 1 (Alexopoulou et al, 2012). Peginterferon-
alpha-2a (40 kD) is a new 'pegylated' subcutaneous formulation of interferon-alpha-2a
that has been developed to improve on the pharmacokinetic profile and therapeutic ef-
cicacy of interferon-alpha-2a. Peginterferon-
alpha-2a (40 kD) is produced by covalent attachment of recombinant interferon-alpha-
2a to a branched mobile 40 kD polyethylene glycol moiety, which shields the interferon-
alpha-2a molecule from enzymatic degradation, reduces systemic clearance and enables
once-weekly administration (Perry et al, 2001). The host immune response plays an
important role in viral clearance in patients who are chronically infected with hepatitis
C virus (HCV) and are treated with interferon and ribavirin. Activation of the immune
system involves the release of pro and anti-inflammatory molecules measured in plasma
samples (Moura et al, 2011). Wald et al. (2007) mark chemokines and their receptors
as key players in leukocyte recirculation via inflamed liver. Monocyte chemomatriactant
protein-1 (MCP-1/CCL2) is one of the key chemokines that regulate migration and infil-
tration of monocytes /macrophages. Both CCL2 and its receptor CCR2 demonstrated
to be induced and involved in many diseases. Migration of monocytes from blood stream
cross vascular endothelium is required for the routine immunological surveillance of
tissues and in response to inflammation (Deshmane et al, 2009). The monocyte
chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1) is a potent chemokine, and its hepatic expression is up-
regulated during chronic HCV infection mainly in activated hepatic stellate cells
(HSC) (Mühlbauer et al, 2003). Studies reported that MCP-1 concentration may be a
prognostic marker of efficacy of IFN+RBV therapy in chronic hepatitis C patients. In
chronic hepatitis C before and during treatment, serum levels of MCP-1 in responders
were similar to healthy subjects. In non-
responders (NR), MCP-1 increased in the course of IFNalpha+RBV treatment, differ-
ces were significant as compared to res-
ponders. MCP-1 correlated statistically with periportal inflammation activity but without
liver fibrosis staging (Panasiuk et al, 2004).
Pretreatment MCP-1 levels in patients with sustained virological response (SVR) were
significantly lower than in non-responders
(Non-SVR) & MCP-1 significantly decrease in
patients with SVR but not in Non-SVR 48
weeks post-treatment of (Gu et al, 2014).

**Subjects and Methods**

In this prospective study, sample size was
70 subjects divided into two groups. GI:
consists of 20 healthy volunteers with cross-
matched age and sex, men-to-women ratio
was 12/8, with age ranged from 18 to 58
years. GII: consists of 50 naïve patients to be
treated with PEG-IFN-a2b, at a dose of
180lg/kg subcutaneously every week plus
ribavirin at a dose of 1000-1200 mg/day,
according to patient's body weight, for 48
weeks, with men-to-women ratio of 34/16;
their ages ranged from 20 to 54 years. Strict
inclusion criteria were set to nullify the ef-
cfect of confounding variables and minimize
selection bias. Inclusion criteria were adult
men or women (18-60 years) with proven
chronic hepatitis C genotype 4, elevation of
aspartate aminotransferase and alanine ami-
notransferase levels, positive serum HCV-
RNA by quantitative PCR, chronic hepatitis
evidence in liver biopsy, Hb ≥13 gm/dl in
males and 12gm/dl in females, albumin> 3.5
gm, naive patients (not previously treated
with any antiviral drugs including IFN, riba-
virin, thymosin and lamivudine). Exclusion
criteria were decompensated liver disease,
histological evidence of hepatic cirrhosis
proved by hepatic histopathology, pregnant
or nursing female, concomitant the hepatic
schistosomiasis (excluded by rectal snip and
pathologically), alcohol intake, other etiolo-
gies of chronic hepatitis (e.g. autoimmune,
HB virus infection and drug-induced liver
injury) and presence of any chronic systemic
illness, pre-existing ischemic cardiovascular
disease, antiviral or the immunosuppressive
therapy within last 6 months. Severe pre-
existing psychiatric conditions, Patients with thyroid disease or if patient had an abnormal baseline TSH level, Uncontrolled D.M, Abnormal fundus examination, anemia (Hb<13gm/dl in males & 12gm/dl in females), Chronic renal disease, all subjects included were subjected to the following:

Medical History: Full history was taken with special reference to risk factors for liver diseases such as previous HCV exposure in surgical wards, blood transfusions, dental therapy, and needle stick injury, history of HCV in the spouse and i.v. injection.

Physical examination: Complete medical examination with particular focus upon the manifestations of hepatitis such as jaundice, hepatomegaly, and tenderness in the right hypochondrium. BMI was calculated as body weight in kgm divided by square of height in meters (kg/m²). Abdominal ultrasonography was performed for all patients.

Laboratory investigations: Morning venous blood samples were taken after 12-h overnight fasting. Plasma HbA1c, complete blood count (WBC's, Hb), Platelet count (Plt), Prothrombin Time (PT) measurement (normal PT was 12 seconds). Serum alanine aminotransferase (ALT), aspartate aminotransferase (AST), alkaline phosphatase (ALP), albumin (Alb), direct and total bilirubin levels (Bil), glucose and Creatinine were measured by standard clinical laboratory methods by Beckman CX4. TSH and a-fetoprotein levels were estimated by serologic techniques for all patients.

Viral markers: ELISA assays: Sera of patients and control were tested for HBsAg, TSH and a-fetoprotein before treatment by using ELISA. All patients were tested for MCP-1 before and after treatment by ELISA.

Quantitation of HCV-RNA in serum: HCV-RNA was quantitated in all patients’ serum samples using Real Time PCR (RT-PCR). Low viremia was defined as viral load less than 100 x10^3 IU/L, moderate viremia as viral load 100-1000 x10^3 IU/ L, and high viremia when viral load >1000 x10^3 IU/ L.

Statistical analysis: All data were revised for completeness and accuracy, pre coded data was entered on the computer using the statistical package of social science software program, version 15(SPSS) to be statistically analyzed, data was summarized using (Mean and SD for quantitative variables- Number and percent for qualitative variable), comparison between quantitative variables were done using independent T test for quantitative variable which where normally distributed and nonparametric Mann-Whitney tests for quantitative variables which where not normally distributed and Wilcoxon test used for comparison of two related quantitative variables, ROC (receiver operator characteristic curve) was used to find out the best cut off and validity of certain variable, P-value less than 0.05 was considered significant.

Results

Baseline characteristics: The age of the control group ranged from 18–58 years, patients ranged from 20-54 years. Sex distribution in GI was 8 (40%) females and 12 (60%) males, in GII females were 16 (32%) and number of males was 34(68%). There was no significant difference between the three groups as regards the distribution of age and sex. Correlation between the sex and MCP-1 level showed that there was no significant difference between male and female regarding MCP-1 level (Fig 1). Comparison between patients before and after treatment showed significantly higher mean value of biochemical factors (AST, ALT, HB & WBC) before treatment while after treatment group showed significantly higher PLT count and MCP-1 values, the mean serum MCP-1 level in the patient group was 279.6±86.5 ng/ml before initiation the PEG-INF therapy. After 48 weeks of PEG-IFN therapy, the mean serum level elevated to 343.6 ± 77.2 ng/ml.
Table 1: Baseline characteristics of 50 patients infected with HCV Genotype 4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mean ±SD</th>
<th>Before treatment</th>
<th>After Treatment</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AST</td>
<td>62.6±24.2</td>
<td>46.7±23.9</td>
<td>0.003 (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT</td>
<td>59.2±19.6</td>
<td>36.3±10.5</td>
<td>&lt;0.001(S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.BIL.</td>
<td>1.1±0.4</td>
<td>3.0±8.1</td>
<td>0.101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.BIL.</td>
<td>0.3±0.2</td>
<td>0.3±0.3</td>
<td>0.379</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLU.</td>
<td>99.2±17.3</td>
<td>96.5±30.9</td>
<td>0.606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFP</td>
<td>13.0±12.9</td>
<td>15.1±22.5</td>
<td>0.572</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb</td>
<td>12.0±1.8</td>
<td>10.0±1.7</td>
<td>&lt;0.001(S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSH</td>
<td>3.7±0.8</td>
<td>3.7±1.5</td>
<td>.953 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinin</td>
<td>1.0±0.1</td>
<td>1.1±0.3</td>
<td>.20 (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLT</td>
<td>291080.0±74451.9</td>
<td>98012.4±103871.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001(S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>27.0±9.4</td>
<td>24.4±7.7</td>
<td>.110 (NS)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP1</td>
<td>279.6±86.5</td>
<td>343.6±77.2</td>
<td>&lt;0.001(S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC</td>
<td>8024.0±1395.1</td>
<td>5206.4±1742.9</td>
<td>&lt;0.001(S)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Correlation between responders and non-responders regarding MCP-1 level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Mean± SD of MCP1</th>
<th>median(iqr)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non responders</td>
<td>405.3±82.7</td>
<td>405.0(317.3:426.3)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Responders</td>
<td>308.9±47.1</td>
<td>290.0(281.5:331.3)</td>
<td>(S)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Correlation between responders and non-responders regarding MCP-1 level. There was a significant correlation between MCP-1 level and response to treatment (P<0.001).

Table 3: Comparison between responders and non responders regarding all parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Non responders (N=18)</th>
<th>Responders (N=32)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AST</td>
<td>59.2±11.6</td>
<td>64.5±25.7</td>
<td>.584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALT</td>
<td>61.6±15.7</td>
<td>57.8±21.6</td>
<td>.235</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T.BIL.</td>
<td>1.1±0.5</td>
<td>1.1±0.4</td>
<td>.911</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D.BIL.</td>
<td>0.3±0.2</td>
<td>0.3±0.2</td>
<td>.883</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALb.</td>
<td>3.9±0.4</td>
<td>3.8±0.3</td>
<td>.217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GLU.</td>
<td>95.4±15.5</td>
<td>101.4±18.0</td>
<td>.130</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFP</td>
<td>11.4±7.1</td>
<td>14.0±15.2</td>
<td>.670</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hb</td>
<td>12.7±1.7</td>
<td>11.6±1.8</td>
<td>.043 (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCR</td>
<td>4170x10⁶±17428x10⁶</td>
<td>1250x10⁶±5487x10⁶</td>
<td>.189</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TSH</td>
<td>3.8±0.4</td>
<td>3.6±0.9</td>
<td>.361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine</td>
<td>0.9±0.1</td>
<td>1.0±0.2</td>
<td>.059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLTs</td>
<td>318x10³±82x10³</td>
<td>275x10³±66x10³</td>
<td>.048(S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>26.1±8.6</td>
<td>27.5±10.0</td>
<td>.418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MCP1</td>
<td>318.4±91.4</td>
<td>257.7±76.7</td>
<td>.028 (S)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBC</td>
<td>8044.4±1350.0</td>
<td>8012.5±1441.0</td>
<td>.943</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrosis</td>
<td>3.0±1.1</td>
<td>3.3±0.7</td>
<td>.433</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Comparison between responders and non-responders to laboratory parameters showed that non responders group was significantly higher in mean value of Hb, PLT & MCP-1. The highest sensitivity (88.9%) at MCP-1 level 306 and highest specificity (71.9) for prediction the response to treatment showed (Tab. 4, Fig 3).

Table 4: Cut off value of MCP-1 for prediction response to treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cut off</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>306.0</td>
<td>88.9</td>
<td>71.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig 1: Correlation between MCP-1 and sex (MCP-1: Monocyte chemotactic Protein 1)

Fig. 2: Correlation between MCP-1 level and response to treatment.

Fig. 3: ROC curve of MCP-1 for prediction of response.
Discussion

Chemokines is a subgroup of small cytokines involved with leukocyte trafficking through a process called haptotaxis where leukocytes move towards higher concentrations of chemokines. This process is important for the regulation of leukocyte migration into sites of infection or into lymph nodes (Ono et al., 2003). Chemo-attraction of CTL to the liver seems essential to HCV eradication. As chemokines regulate the movement of leukocytes throughout the body they seem to play a key role in treatment response (Shields et al., 1999). Monocyte chemotactic protein-1 (MCP-1/CCL2) is a β-chemokine that has been suggested to be responsible for monocyte and lymphocyte T recruitment in acute inflammatory conditions and may be an important mediator in chronic inflammation.

In fact, it has been proposed that CCL2 is responsible for tissue inflammation in autoimmune diseases, as documented with tissue expression in human and experimental autoimmune animal models (Asano et al., 2000). Chronic liver diseases are associated with increased hepatic and monocytic expression of monocyte chemotactic protein 1 (MCP-1). MCP-1 level was higher in hepatic veins than in peripheral blood and occurred in severe cases of liver disease (Fisher et al., 1999).

The hepatic expression of MCP-1 is up-regulated during the chronic HCV infection mainly in activated hepatic stellate cells (HSC). In chronic hepatitis C with advanced fibrosis and inflammation, hepatic MCP-1 mRNA levels were significantly higher (Muhlbauer et al., 2003). Peripheral blood monocytes and activated HSC are the source of MCP-1. Monocyte chemotactic protein-1 recruits monocytes & lymphocytes to damaged area in liver tissue. Profibrinogenic properties of MCP-1 could be reflected by induction of HSC chemotaxis and transformation to myofibroblasts (Marra et al., 1999).

Several independent studies highlighted the importance of chemokine receptor CCR2 and its cognate ligand monocyte-chemoattractant protein 1 (MCP-1/CCL2) for monocyte recruitment during experimental hepatic fibrosis (Imamura et al., 2005). Panasiuk et al. (2004) found that MCP-1 concentration may be a prognostic marker of the efficacy of IFN+RBV therapy in patients with chronic hepatitis C. They showed that MCP-1 concentrations before and during the treatment in non-responders were higher than those in responders and increased during treatment and this agreed with the present results. Moura et al. (2011) found that no association between plasma levels of the CC chemokines evaluated (CCL2) and virological response and this disagree with the present results. The study showed that HCV sustained virological response after PEG-INF therapy was associated with MCP-1 serum level. High MCP-1 levels predispose patients failed to therapy respond. Cut off value of MCP-1 equal 306 above which patient might not respond to treatment. There was significant relation between the MCP-1 level and AST, ALT & PCR of HCV), but without significant relation between MCP-1 level before & after treatment and fibrosis (P>0.01). Florholmen et al. (2010) found that MCP-1 increased at 24 hours treatments in sustained response group and in relapsing one, but not in non-responder group which disagreed with the present data.

The present results proved that MCP-1 was a chemokine reflecting the inflammatory activity in liver and a prognostic factor of treatment efficacy with IFN alpha and RBV. Patients with a persisting long-lasting response to treatment revealed MCP-1 concentrations statistically lower and during treatment it did not undergo significant changes. Patients with negative effect of the therapy showed higher MCP-1 levels and increased significantly during the IFN+RBV treatment. Moreover, the present study showed the possible role for the serum MCP-1 level in predicting the antiviral therapy outcome in the HCV genotype 4 patients.
Conclusion
High level of MCP-1 predicts an unfavorable response to antiviral treatment of HCV. The results highlighted the importance of detection of MCP-1 level at start of therapy as a predictable factor for assessing the likelihood of HCV genotype 4 SVR for IFN-a2 treatment in combination with ribavirin.
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