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Abstract

It is well known that diabetes mellitus affects the immune system negatively through various ways. Diabetic patients are also considered as the immunocompromised group of patients. Infections with intestinal parasites are uncommon to cause high morbidity or mortality to man, but they are risky to diabetic patients. The study investigated the prevalence of common intestinal parasites in diabetic patients in Tanta City. Among the patients who were attending gastrointestional department (360 patients), complaining of various abdominal symptoms and discomfort, thirty three (33) patients were known to be diabetic and on current treatment. Fecal samples were collected from diabetic patients and the same number from non-diabetic patients. Samples were examined macroscopically by direct smear and different concentration methods then stained with iodine. The study was carried out through six months from March to August 2015 for common intestinal parasites. In diabetic group E histolytica were detected in 13 patients (39.4%), compared to (43%) among controls, G. lamblia was detected in a patient (3%) compared to (3%) in controls, A. lumbricoides was detected in one patient (3%) compared to (5%) in controls, and E. vermicularis was detected in one patient (3%) compared to (3.8%) in controls. The highest level of parasitosis among diabetic patients was E. histolytica (39%), but without significant difference between controls and patients. There for one can assume that E. histolytica could be considered as a monitor for environmental pollution, low standard hygiene and low standard of living.
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Introduction

Diabetes mellitus (DM) is becoming a major chronic disease burden all-over the world, including Egypt (Looker et al, 2010). The diabetic patients are considered as the immunocompromised group of patients (Kumar et al, 2014). Several aspects of immunity are altered in patients with diabetes (Joshi et al, 1999; Hansas et al, 2011). All these factors increase the risk of invasive microbial s as Staphylococcus aureus in diabetic patients (Hakeem et al, 2013). The intestinal parasites infection among diabetic patients were studied by several authors in many countries as Egypt (Abazza et al, 1995; El Nadi et al, 2015), Turkey (Nazligul et al, 2001), Iran (Akohlagi et al, 2005) and Nigeria (Aknbo et al, 2013). Others investigated intestinal parasites infection in immunocompromised patients (Bayoumy et al, 2010; Antonios et al., 2010; Al Qobati et al, 2012).

The present study intended to investigate the prevalence of the common gastro-intestinal parasites in diabetic patients in Tanta City as it representing the Nile Delta Region.

Subjects, Materials and Methods

Among 360 cases were attending out-patient clinic complained from various abdominal disorders, 29 diabetes mellitus patients with either type I or II and on anti-diabetic treatment were selected. They were 12 males and 21 females with age range from 21-70 years ($\bar{x}$ 50.6±14.4). This study was approved by the Research and Ethics Committee of Al-Azhar University, according to local research governance requirements. All participants approved to share in accordance with the ethical standards. The clinical examination stressed on fever, pallor, jaundice, urticarial skin rash, liver, spleen and gall bladder. Stool samples were collected from diabetic patients as well as from controlled (non-diabetic). The samples examination: Fresh samples were examined by naked eye (macroscopic) for the presence of Enterobius vermicularis, and gravid segments of cestodes (Garcia, 2007). The microscopic examination was done using Kato thick smear method (Katz et al, 1970), by simple flotation and by simple sedimentation.
(Garcia, 2001), then stained by hematoxylin and eosin as well as modified Ziel-Nelsen (MZN) for Cryptosporidium parvum (Garcia and Bruckner, 1997).

Statistical analysis: Data was done using SPSS software (version 15.0, SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA).

**Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th><em>E. histolytica</em></th>
<th><em>G. lamblia</em></th>
<th><em>A. lombricoides</em></th>
<th><em>E. vermicularis</em></th>
<th><em>S. mansoni</em></th>
<th><em>A. duodenale</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diabetics No. 29</td>
<td>13/33</td>
<td>1/33</td>
<td>1/33</td>
<td>1/33</td>
<td>1/33</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 29</td>
<td>13/30</td>
<td>1/30</td>
<td>2/30</td>
<td>1/30</td>
<td>1/30</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. 260</td>
<td>13/30</td>
<td>1/30</td>
<td>2/30</td>
<td>1/30</td>
<td>1/30</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Discussion**

In the present study, stool examination showed that in diabetic group *E. histolytica* were detected in 13 patients (39.4%), compared to (43%) among controls, *G. lamblia* was detected in a patient (3%) compared to (3%) in controls, *A. lombricoides* was detected in one patient (3%) compared to (5%) in controls, and *E. vermicularis* was detected in one patient (3%) compared to (3.8%) in controls.

Generally, the recent precipitous rise in autoimmune diseases is placing an increasing clinical and economic burden on health systems worldwide (Bilbao et al., 2014). Besides, Rydén and Faresjö, 2013) stated that while the mechanisms leading to β-cell destruction and clinical onset of T1D are still unclear, the composition of the immune profile is probably important for the outcome of immune activity. They studied the composition and possible changes of the immunological profile, spontaneously and following stimulation with the auto-antigens GAD65, and HSP60, at the high-risk and T1D onset and further to 8 months post diagnosis. They concluded that changes in immunity in the individuals with high risk of developing T1D points to alterations/actions in the immune system already early in the pre-diabetic phase.

As to the diabetes and parasites, long ago, Pricoli et al. (1981) in Portugal examined oral glucose tolerance test performed in 30 patients with intestinal schistosomiasis and 30 controls and found that the mean value of glycemia in the patients was superior, but none of the patients show a diabetic G.T.T. curve. Bessman and Sapico, (1992) stated that a common belief that certain infections occur more frequently in diabetes mellitus patients than in non-diabetics. As in some infections, poor diabetic control is strongly linked. The diabetics comprised 50%-70% of patients who undergo non-traumatic foot or leg amputations, the majority of which were necessitated by infection and necrosis of soft tissue and/or bone. Imputed host defense abnormalities include defective immune responses, peripheral neuropathies, impaired distal arterial supply, and problems in "control" of the diabetic state eventuating in catabolic metabolism. The increased bacterial translocation as a source of the causative bacteria is another potential entry site. Possible virulence factors of the invading organisms include polymicrobial synergism, glycocalyx formation, and inoculum size. Treviño-Pérez et al. (1995) in Mexico reported two diabetic patients with normal TCD4+ cell count with the chronic diarrhea caused by *Cryptosporidium parvum* and they added that this parasite must be considered in diabetic patients with diarrhea. Seyrafian et al. (2006) in Iran reported that indicate that the prevalence rate of *Cryptosporidium* infection was higher in dialysis patients than in the general population. Moreover, dialyzed diabetic patients had the highest rate of infection. As hemodialysis they were candidates for renal transplantation, general preventive measures against acquiring *Cryptosporidium* infection must be considered. Saunders et al. (2007) in the United Kingdom examined whether infection with *Trichinella spiralis* or other helminths were present in diabetic patients.
Heligmosomoides polygyrus could inhibit the development of autoimmune diabetes in NOD mice and mechanisms involved in protection and the role of Th2 responses. They found that protection from diabetes was afforded by helminth infection, appeared to inhibit autoimmune diabetes by disrupting pathways leading to the destruction of beta cells, and was mediated by seemingly independent mechanisms depending on the parasite but which might be related to the capacity of the host to mount a Th2 response.

Yamada et al. (2008) in Japan reported that diabetes mellitus patient with left hemiparesis and disorientation was hospitalized because of atypical non-hypertensive multiple intracerebral hemorrhages and conservatively treated. The patient was readmitted suffering from fever for nearly 2 weeks. Stool examination showed Metagonimus yokogawai eggs and after treatment he became normal and his diabetes mellitus (DM) markedly improved. They concluded that DM is a chronic sign of metagonimiasis in carriers and that the intracerebral hemorrhage might be an acute sign in the aggravated phase of the disease.

Baiomy et al. (2010) in Greater Cairo studied the prevalence of the opportunistic parasites among 100 immunocompromised patients selected from Al Azhar University Hospitals. They were 40 malignancy patients, 30 with DM and 30 with chronic renal failure. Also, 20 cross-matched healthy controls were included. The results showed that the opportunistic parasites were in 30% of patients and in 10% of healthy controls. The highest parasitosis was in the malignancy patients (18%). The patients suffering from chronic renal failure or from diabetes mellitus were equally affected (6% each group). There was significant relation between malignancy patients and the diabetic or chronic renal failure ones, but without significant relation between diabetic and chronic renal failure patients. G. lamblia was the most common parasite found in patients (10%) of which 5% were among those with the malignancy, others were the C. parvum (7%), Cyclospora cayetanensis (3%) and Microsporidia species (2%). The mixed infection with both C. parvum and Cyclospora was detected in two patients. But, in the study neither Isospora belli nor Strongyloides ster-coralis were detected. They added that ELISA showed antibodies against Toxoplasma gondii in six patients but none against Leishmania d. infantum.

El Nadi et al. (2015) in Upper Egypt, stated that intestinal parasites usually create benign diseases, though they may induce complications with high morbidity and mortality to the immunocompromised, including the diabetic patients. They studied the prevalence of intestinal parasitic infections in diabetic patients, comparing to non-diabetic controls and other parameters. They found that in the diabetic patients, G. lamblia was in 22 cases (22%) and 5 (5%) among controls, E. histolytica in 7 cases (7%) and 3 (3%) among controls, Hymenolypis nana in 5 cases (5%) and 3 (3%) among controls, E. coli in 8 patients (8%), E. hartmanni in 3 cases (3%), Dientamoeba fragilis in a case (1%), C. parvum in 5 cases (5%) and microsporidia in 3 cases (3%). On the other hand, they neither found, E. coli, E. hartmanni, D. fragilis and C. parvum nor microsporidia in controls. The rate of G. lamblia in DM patients compared to controls was high significant (P<0.001). Hymenolypis nana was 5% (5 cases) in diabetic patients compared to 3% (3 cases) in controls.

Conclusion

The present outcome data highlights the risk of parasitic infection to the diabetic patients. No doubt, the parasitosis treatment might improve the diabetes levels

Recommendations

1. Diabetes mellitus should be controlled as much as it should be to avoid risk of infection.
2. Gastro-intestinal parasitic infections should be treated promptly in uncontrolled diabetic patients.
3. The improvement of the socio-economic condition.
4. The parasitic infections could differ from one place to another even in the same country affected by environmental and biological factors as well as and human attitude and behaviors.
5. Health education is a must to improve their knowledge about parasites infection and prevention.
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