Managements of Patients with Malignant Gastric Outlet Obstruction: Prospective Study

Laith. R. Alhadad*, Ali Hussein Jasim**, Wail Sabah Al-Saffar. ***

ABSTRACT :

BACKGROUND:

Gastric outlet obstruction is the clinical and pathophysiological consequence of any disease process that produces a mechanical impediment to gastric emptying. It classified into benign and malignant group. Distal gastric cancer form 35% of cases with malignant gastric outlet obstruction. **OBJECTIVE** :

To study the causes of malignant gastric obstruction and how to treat them, in addition the application of gastric outlet obstruction score in our patient pre- and post-treatment.

PATIENT AND METHODS:

Between January-2012 to January-2014, a prospective study involves all patients with malignant gastric outlet obstruction in the surgical department in the gastrointestinal and Hepatology teaching hospital.

RESULTS:

A total of 51 cases of malignant gastric outlet obstruction were enrolled in the research, with mean age 53.21 ± 14.6 years. There were 30 male patients (58.8%) with male to female ratio = 1.4:1. Non-bilious vomiting was present in (100%) of cases. antropyloric region was found in (47.1%) patients. Regarding GOOS, there were improvement in all patients (p value =0.048). Distal gastrectomy done in 19.6% of patients and gastrojujenostomy performed in 80.3% of patients. Gastric adenocarcinoma found in 15.7%, in 47.05% of patients was found to have metastatic adenocarcinoma.

CONCLUSION:

Gastric outlet obstruction poses diagnostic and therapeutic challenges to general surgeons. In recent years malignant cause become more. Gastric outlet obstruction score has a role in management of patients. Distal gastrectomy is the treatment of choice in resectable cases, while gastrojujenostomy can be used in advanced cases.

KEYWORDS:malignant gastric outlet obstruction, gastric outlet obstruction scoring system, gastrojujenostomy.

INTRODUCTION:

Gastric outlet obstruction (GOO, also known as pyloric obstruction) is the clinical and pathophysiological consequence of any disease process that produces a mechanical impediment to gastric emptying ⁽¹⁾.GOO is categorized into benign and malignant ⁽²⁾. The incidence of gastric outlet obstruction has been reported to be less than 5% in patients with peptic ulcer disease, while distal gastric cancer accounting for up to 35 % and peripancreatic malignancy, has been reported as 15-

*Consultant Surgeon. Head of Surgical Department of Gastrointestinal and Hepatology Teaching Hospital 20 $\%^{(3,4,5)}$. Other causes are gastric lymphoma, neoplasm of the duodenum and ampulla, locally carcinoma gallbladder advanced or cholangiocarcinoma, gastric carcinoid (6,7). Nausea and non-billions vomiting are the cardinal symptoms of gastric outlet obstruction. Weight loss can be seen in patients with malignant causes ⁽⁸⁾.A succession splash should be elicited if GOO is suspected and a left supraclavicular lymph node or periumbilical lymph node may be seen in metastatic gastric cancer⁽⁹⁾. The diagnosis is established by Radiologic testing and endoscopic ⁽¹⁰⁾. Hypokalemia hypochloremic evaluation metabolic alkalosis is the main metabolic changes

^{**}General Surgeon in GIT Hospital.

^{***}Genral Surgeon GIT Hospital.

occurs ⁽¹¹⁾. Additional evaluation includes endoscopic biopsies, endoscopic ultrasound and nuclear gastric emptying studies ⁽¹²⁾.A Gastric

Outlet Obstruction Scoring System (GOOSS) was constructed to objectively assess patients' level of oral intake, Table (1).

Table 1: Gastric outlet obstruction scoring system

Level of oral intake	score
No oral intake	0
Liquids only	1
Soft solids	2
Low-residue or full diet	3

Initial management GOO should be made nothing per os, receive adequate fluid and electrolyte replacement, and have a nasogastric tube placed for gastric decompression ⁽¹³⁾. Definitive treatment surgical bypass include through а gastrojejunostomy or placement of an endoscopic enteral stent ⁽¹⁴⁾. Metallic stetting can be done simultaneously for both pyloric or duodenal, and biliary obstruction at the same time ⁽¹⁵⁾. Other nonsurgical modalities, such as balloon dilation, laser ablation, radiotherapy, and feeding tubes, produced only a transient improvement in obstructive symptoms (16).

PATIENT AND METHODS:

Between January-2012 to January-2014, а prospective study involves all patients with malignant gastric outlet obstruction in the surgical department of the gastrointestinal and Hepatology teaching hospital, Baghdad medical city.The diagnosis of gastric outlet obstruction was based on clinical presentation, an upper gastrointestinal barium study, and/or an inability during upper endoscopy to intubate the duodenum and on histology results. The patients with benign causes are excluded from the study depending on biopsy. Any patient who had distal gastric mass, duodenal mass, biliary and pancreatic mass without any endoscopic or radiological evidence of obstruction were excluded from the study. Preoperatively, all the patients had intravenous fluids administration; nasogastric suction; urethral catheterization and broad-spectrum antibiotic coverage. Relevant preoperative laboratory investigations were done. Imaging includes plain abdominal x-rays, barium studies, abdominal ultrasound and abdominal computerized tomography scan and (MRI & MRCP MRCP= Magnetic resonance cholangiopancreatography) in some cases. A preoperative GOO score was calculated to each patient. Surgery done under general anesthesia and patients subjected to exploratory laparotomy. The diagnosis confirmed intraoperatively. The type of surgical procedure was done accordingly. Biopsy was taken from obstructing lesion or from the metastatic lesion by (fine needle aspiration cytology FNAC) or tissue biopsy, or sometimes specimens after resection, for histological examination. The postoperative outcome was monitored. Calculation of the postoperative GOO scores to each patient was done at 7th postoperative day. The patients were followed up in the short postoperative period until discharge, and then followed subsequently during their visit to the outpatient clinic in our hospital. We define minor postoperative complication as that does not require hospital admission, and major complication those require invasive procedures and hospital admission ⁽³⁶⁾. The statistical analysis was performed using statistical package for social sciences (SPSS) version 21.0 for Windows. The level of significance was considered as P < 0.05. The values for the patients' baseline characteristics are expressed as the mean± standard deviation.

RESULTS:

Patients Characteristics

During the study period (January-2012 to January-2014), a total of 51 cases of malignant gastric outlet obstruction were enrolled in the research. The age of the patients at presentation ranged from 14 - 75years, mean age 53.21 ± 14.6 years. 9 (17.6%) of our cases in the (41-50) age rang had obstruction at the antropyloric region, which was the most frequent group Table (2). There were 30 male patients (58.8%) and 21 female patients (41.2%), with male to female ratio = 1.4:1, . Most of our patients are from rural areas 30 patients (58.8%). 23 patients (45%) had governmental or private sector job, the rest 28 (41.17%) were either retired or have no work. All 51 patients had plain abdominal x-ray, the gastric air fluid level, seen only in (47%) patients. Barium meal done in (52.9%) patients, and dilated stomach and pylorodudenal stenosis was demonstrated in (92%). Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy was performed in

all our patients, endoscopic biopsy done in all patients and was conclusive in (58.8%).

The duration of symptoms ranging from 3 weeks to 7 months, with mean about 16weeks. The most common presentation in our patients was nonbilious vomiting, followed by epigastric pain, weight loss. Weight loss was assessed by history and clinical examination, and weighing of the patients. Past surgical history was present in 13 patients (25.5%), and in 45 patients (88.2%) there was positive past medical history.

			Age Range					Total	
		10-20	21-30	31-40	41-50	51-60	61-70	71-80	Total
	Antropyloric(gastric) mass	0	4	1	9	2	5	3	24
	Duodenal mass	0	0	1	1	3	3	1	9
Cause Of Obstruction	Pancreatic mass	1	0	0	2	3	0	0	6
	Ampullary mass	0	0	0	0	3	2	0	5
Obstruction	Recurrent Gastric mass	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	3
	Duodenal & colonic mass	0	0	0	1	0	2	0	3
	Gall Bladder mass	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
Total		1	4	3	14	12	12	5	51

Table 2: Show the relation between Age and Cause of obstruction.

The most common site was mass at the antropyloric region in 24 (47.1%) patients. There were 3 (5.9%) patients had transvers colon tumor invading the pylorus and duodenum or the revers because histology did not confirm tissue of origin, Table (3). Preoperative Gastric outlet obstruction (GOOS) score was calculated to all our patients, we defined

clinical success as increase in the score 1 point and/or improvement in symptoms 7 days after surgery. Before surgery (39.2%) of patients had grade 0 score and (60.8%) had grade 1 score. Postoperatively (27.5%) of patients had score 2, and (72.5%) had score 3 (p value =0.048) which was significant. As shown in tables (4, 5).

Site of Obstruction	Frequency	Percent
Antropyloric (gastric) mass	24	47.1%
Duodenal mass	9	17.6%
Pancreatic mass	6	11.8%
Ampullary mass	5	9.8%
Recurrent Gastric mass	3	5.9%
Duodenal & Colic mass	3	5.9%
Gall bladder mass	1	2.0%
Total	51	100.0%

Table 3: Shows the frequency of causes of obstruction.

Table 4: Shows the gastric outlet obstruction score preoperatively.

Gastric outlet Obstruction score	Frequency	%
0	20	39.2%
1	31	60.8%
2	0	0%
3	0	0%

THE IRAQI POSTGRADUATE MEDICAL JOURNAL

Gastric outlet Obstruction score	Frequency	%
0	0%	0%
1	0%	0%
2	14	27.5%
3	37	72.5%

Table 5: Shows the gastric outlet obstruction score postoperatively.

<u>Treatment modality</u>: (19.6%) patients were amenable to surgical resection, and (80.3%) patients found to have unresectable tumors. These results are shown in Table (6). Loop Gastrojejunostomy alone was the most common surgical procedure (37.2%) of patients. A (3.9%) of patients had ovarian solid masses, and treated by gastrojujenostomy and bilateral oophorectomy, Table (7).

Resectability	No.	%	
Resectable		10	19.6%
Unresectable	Locally Advanced	15	29.4%
	Metast. Peritoneal	5	9.8%
	Metast. Omental	2	3.9%
	Metast. Liver	17	33.3%
	Metast. Ovary	2	3.9%
	Total	51	100.0%

Table 6: Shows tumor resectability status.

Table 7: Shows type of surgery performed.

Type of surgery	No. of patients	Percent
Gastrojujenostomy	19	37.2%
Gastric &Biliary Bypass	14	27.5%
Distal Gastrectomy	10	19.6%
Re- Gastrojujenostomy	3	5.9%
Gastrojejunostomy& ileocolic Anastomosis	3	5.9%
GJ & Oophorectomy	2	3.9%
Total	51	100.0%

The overall length of hospital stay was ranging from 7 days to 23 days, with mean about 12.13 ± 3.96 days. There was no in hospital mortality recorded in our patients. (47.05%) patients found to have metastatic adenocarcinoma, Table (8). (33.3%) of our patients developed minor surgical

complications and major surgical complications developed in (58.8%) of patients, . Follow up period was ranging from 2-4 months. (70.5%) of our patients lost during the follow up period, and only (29.5%) had regular follow up to our hospital. No mortality was recorded in our patients during the follow up period.

Histology	No. of Patients	Percent

48

	Metastatic Adenocarcinoma	24	47.05%	
Table 8: Shows the	Gastric Adenocarcinoma	8	15.7%	Histopathology
results of our	Pancreatic Adenocarcinoma	7	13.7%	patient.
	Duodenal Adenocarcinoma	6	11.7%	
	Gastric lymphoma (NHL)	2	3.9%	
	Ampullary Adenocarcinoma	3	5.8%	
	Gallbladder Adenocarcinoma	1	2.0%	
	Total	51	100.0	

	No. of patients	Mean Days to resume oral intake	P value
S.M. Jeurnink et al ⁽²⁵⁾	42 patients	6 days	P = 0.38
Suzanne M. et al ⁽²⁶⁾	18 patients	5days	P = .01
Our study	51 patients	5 days	P = .048

Table 9: The mean time to resume oral intake.

DISCUSSION:

This study was conducted in our environment to describe our own experiences in the management of this challenging disease; the problem that not previously studied at our center. When the tumor was localized best treatment is surgical resection and if it was locally advanced or has peritoneal or systemic metastasis, bypass is the best option followed by adjuvant treatments ⁽³⁾. When GOO associated with obstructive jaundice; the treated was gastrojujenostomy and choledochojujenostomy. In our review, we found that 29 (56.8%) of our patients is above 50 years, and the incidence was high in the age range (41-50). This high incidence of malignancy in old age group also reported in other literatures (17, 18, 19). Although, we have one case of 14 year old female diagnosed with pancreatic adenocarcinoma that was found invading the major vessels and multiple peritoneal deposits. Most of our patients were male patients (58.8%) with 1.4:1 is the male to female ratio. This is consistent with reported in other literatures ^(18, 19). We found most of patients (58.8%) from rural areas and had low socioeconomic level. This observation has an implication on accessibility to health care facilities and awareness of the disease, which can explain why our patients had delayed presentation ^(17, 18, 20). This also associated with the time interval between presentation and

diagnosis, which was ranging from few weeks to several months, with mean about 16 weeks. This is may be due to delayed diagnosis or remote medical services in rural areas this was consistent in other literatures by Hyasinta et al ^(18, 19). Kotisso R et al report duration of presentation ranging from few months to 25 years, this because he include benign

causes in his study. Non-bilious vomiting being common presentation in all our patients (100%), followed by epigastric pain (70%), weight loss (68.6%) of patients. This feature was observed in other studies (10,18,19). In agreement with other studies ^(17,18,19), the diagnosis of gastric outlet obstruction in this study was based on clinical presentation, an upper gastrointestinal barium study, and/or an inability during upper endoscopy to intubate the duodenum (upper gastrointestinal endoscopy) and confirmed by histology and intraoperative findings..The majority of our patients in this study had obstruction at gastroduodenal region (Antropyloric 47%, Duodenal 17.1%); this finding is consistent with what mentioned in other studies ^(10,18,19). The predominant causes of gastric outlet obstruction have changed substantively with the

eradication of Helicobacter pylori and the use of proton pump inhibitors. Until the late 1970s, benign disease was responsible for the majority of cases of gastric outlet obstruction in adults, while malignancy accounted for only 10 to 39 % of cases. By contrast, in recent decades, 50 to 80 % cases The patients that found to have unresectable mass during exploration, due to locally advance disease

have been attributable to malignancy ^(8, 16, 22). One of the outcomes of our study was the improvement of food intake after surgery. It was measured by the gastric outlet obstruction scoring system (GOOS) score, with 0=no oral intake, 1=liquid diet, 2 =soft diet, and 3=regular diet ^(23, 24). Based on these data, we define clinical success as relief of obstructive symptoms and/ or improvement of GOO by one point. In our study we have seen improvement in at least one point in all our patients with significant p value=0.048. The mean time to resume oral intake was 5 days. This was notified in other studies ^(25, 26). As shown in table (9)

The initial treatment of patients with GOO is to correct dehydration and electrolyte imbalance. After that the patient is prepared for definitive treatment. This includes endoscopic approach (endoscopic metallic stent insertion) or surgical approach, which can done either laproscopicaly or open method. The decision depends on patient condition and availability of expertise (21, 22). In current study gastrojujenostomy was considered the standard operative approach in palliation of patients with unresectable tumor in about (80%) of patients, as only procedure or combined with biliary bypass or colonic bypass. As shown in table $(14)^{(10,17,18,13)}$. A. Mittal et al report 38% rate of gastrojujenostomy with the advantages, for ES (Endoscopic stenting) compared with OGJ (Open gastrojujenostomy) and LGJ(Laparoscopic gastrojujenostomy) in the palliation of malignant pyloroduodenal obstruction ⁽²¹⁾. Endoscopic or laparoscopic approach was not popular in our study due lack of facility to perform such procedures. Other operative procedures like biliary bypass, ileocolic anastomosis, and oophorectomy was done accordingly. Jeurnink et al ⁽²⁵⁾ mention that jaundice caused by biliary obstruction reduces quality of life; therefore, biliary obstruction should be treated as soon as possible. No other study reports combination of gastrojujenostomy and ileocolic anastomosis to bypass colonic invasion, and oophorectomy during the management of malignant GOO.

The overall length of hospital stay was about 12.13 \pm 3.96 days, with range from 7-23 days, in comparison with other studies ^(10,19,27), Hyasinta et al, repot 14 days as overall hospital stay periods which is slightly more than our study ⁽¹⁸⁾. Early discharge occurred in smooth uncomplicated surgery, while prolonged hospital stay occurred in patients who developed postoperative complications ⁽²⁸⁾.

or metastasis, a biopsy taken from these lesions a proved to be adenocarcinoma in (47.05%) **50** patients. We found that gastric adenocarcinoma was a common cause of malignant GOO followed by pancreatic adenocarcinoma. This was consistent with other studies which mention that gastric cancer is most common cause of malignant GOO (18,19,21,29,30). In contrast Jeanin E. van hooft et al ⁽¹⁰⁾ reports pancreatic cancer was the most common cause of malignant GOO in his study.

There is great variation in the prevalence of postoperative complications in literatures, some report wound infection and delayed gastric emptying are complication ^(18, 19). We common report postoperative pyrexia (17.6%) as common minor complication which may be related surgical stress, wound infection or chest infection and delayed gastric emptying as common major complication in our patients (21.6%), this is due nature of disease and duration of symptoms of gastric obstruction, the longer duration the obstruction the slow recovery of gastric function postoperatively (31,32). We also report 3 cases (5.88%) that develop DVT, which is one of the postoperative morbidity in patient with visceral malignancy (32). All patients with DVT was treated conservatively with medical treatment and discharged on warfarin treatment. There is short follow up periods, and similarly only 15 (29.4%) of patients had follow up records. This also reported in other studies ^(18, 19). Poor follow up visits after discharge from hospitals remain a cause for concern. These issues are often the results of poverty, long distance from the hospitals and ignorance, and needs to be addressed (33).

CONCLUSION:

Gastric outlet obstruction poses diagnostic and therapeutic challenges to general surgeons and contributes significantly to high morbidity and mortality. Most our patients in the middle age group with mean age 53.21 \pm 14.6 years, one case of 14 year old female diagnosed with pancreatic adenocarcinoma. Male are more about 58.8%, with male to female ratio = 1.4:1. Antropyloric malignancy is the most common of GOOin47.1%. Most patients are low socio-economic status, and from rural areas about (58.8%). Non-bilious vomiting is present in (100%) of cases, followed by epigastric pain (70%), weight loss (68.6%) of patients. Endoscopy and biopsy is the helpful to confirm diagnosis. Applying Gastric Outlet Obstruction Score (GOOS) is important in pre- and post-operative assessment; we have improvement

in GOOS in all our patients. Most our patients presented late in the course of disease. Distal

gastrectomy is the treatment of choice for resectable tumor, while loop gastrojujenostomy and other types of bypass surgery for unresectable tumor.

REFERENCES:

- 1. LeneLarssen, Asle W Medhus, and TrulsHauge. Treatment of malignant gastric outlet obstruction with stents: An evaluation of the reported variables for clinical outcome. BMC Gastroenterology2009;9:45.
- 2. Andersson A, Bergdahl L. Carcinoid tumors of the appendix in children. A report of 25 cases. ActaChir Scand. 1997;143:173-75.
- **3.** Johnson CD: Gastric outlet obstructionmalignant until proven otherwise. Am. Gastroenterol 1995;90:1740.
- **4.** Adler DG, Baron TH. Endoscopic palliation of malignant gastric outlet obstruction using self-expanding metal stents: experience in 36 patients. Am J Gastroenterol 2002; 97:72.
- 5. Khullar SK, DiSario JA. Gastric outlet obstruction. *GastrointestEndoscClin N Am*. Jul 1996;6:585-603.
- **6.** Singh B, Kapoor VK, Sikora SS, et al. Malignant gastroparesis and outlet obstruction in carcinoma gall bladder. Trop Gastroenterol 1998;19:37.
- 7. Green ST, Drury JK, McCallion J, Erwin L. Carcinoid tumour presenting as recurrent gastric outlet obstruction: a case of long-term survival. Scott Med J 2001;32:54.
- **8.** Chowdhury A, Dhali GK, Banerjee PK. Etiology of gastric outlet obstruction. Am J Gastroenterol 1996; 91:1679.
- Lau JY, Chung SC, Sung JJ, et al. Throughthe-scope balloon dilation for pyloric stenosis: long-term results. GastrointestEndosc 2007;43:98.
- **10.** Van Hooft JE, Dijkgraaf MGW, Timmer R, Siersema PD, Fockens P. Independent predictors of survival in patients with malignant gastric outlet obstruction: a multicenter prospective observational study. Scand J Gastroenterol 2010;45:1217–22.
- **11.** Hangen D, Maltz GS, Anderson JE, Knauer CM. Marked hypergastrinemia in gastric outlet obstruction. J ClinGastroenterol 2006;11:442.
- **12.** Miner PB, Harri JE, McPhee MS. Intermittent gastric outlet obstruction from a pedunculated gastric polyp. GastrointestEndosc 2004;28:219.

- **13.** Gisbert JP, Pajares JM. Review article: Helicobacter pylori infection and gastric outlet obstruction - prevalence of the infection and role of antimicrobial treatment. Aliment PharmacolTher 2002; 16:1203.
- 14. Lillemoe KD, Cameron JL, Hardacre JM, Sohn TA, Sauter PK, Coleman J, et al. Is prophylactic gastrojejunostomy indicated for unresectable periampullary cancer? A prospective randomized trial. *Ann Surg.* Sep 1999; 230:322-8;328-30.
- **15.** No JH, Kim SW, Lim CH, Kim JS, Cho YK, Park JM, et al. Long-term outcome of palliative therapy for gastric outlet obstruction caused by unresectable gastric cancer in patients with good performance status: endoscopic stenting versus surgery. *GastrointestEndosc*. 2013.
- **16.** Tendler DA, et al. Malignant gastric outlet obstruction: bridging another divide. Am J Gastroenterol 2002; 97:4.
- Shone DN, Nikoomanesh P, Smith-Meek MM, Bender JS. Malignancy is the most common cause of gastric outlet obstruction in the era of H2 blockers. Am J Gastroenterol 1995;90:1769.
- **18.** Hyasinta Jaka, Mabula D Mchembe, Peter F Rambau and Phillipo L Chalya. Gastric outlet obstruction at Bugando Medical Centre in Northwestern Tanzania: a prospective review of 184 cases. BMC Surgery 2013;13:41.
- Berhanu Kotisso R: Gastric outlet obstruction in Northwestern Ethiopia. East CentrAfr J Surg2000;5:25–29
- 20. Deborshi

Sharma, AshishJakhetia, LalitAgarwal, DhirajBa ruah, AnuragRohtagi, Ajay Kumar. Carcinoma Gall Bladder with Bouveret's Syndrome: A Rare Cause of Gastric Outlet Obstruction. Indian J Surg 2010;72:350–51.

- **21.** A. Mittal, J. Windsor, J. Woodfield, P.Casey, and M. Lane. Matched study of three methods for palliation of malignant pyloroduodenal obstruction. British Journal of Surgery2004; 91:205–9.
- **22.** T. A. Alam, M. Baines, M. C. Parker. The management of gastric outlet obstruction secondary to inoperable cancer. SurgEndosc 2003;17:320–23.

THE IRAQI POSTGRADUATE MEDICAL JOURNAL

23. J. van Hooft, M. Mutignani, A. Repici, H. Messmann, H. Neuhaus. First data on the palliative treatment of patients with malignant gastric outlet obstruction using the WallFlex enteral stent: a retrospective multicenter study. Endoscopic treatment of gastrointestinal strictures. 2007; 4:434-39.

- 24. Chin-Lung Lin, Chin-Lin Perng, Yee Chao, et al. Application of stent placement or nasojejunal feeding tube placement in patients with malignant gastric outlet obstruction: A retrospective series of 38 cases, Original Article. Journal of the Chinese Medical Association 2012; 75: 624-29.
- 25. S.M. Jeurnink, E.W. Steyerberg, G. Van'thof, C.H.J. Van eijck, E.J. Kuipers, P.D. Siersema.Gastrojejunostomy versus Stent Placement in Patients with Malignant Gastric Outlet Obstruction: A Comparison in 95 Patients. Journal of Surgical Oncology 2007;96:389–96.
- **26.** Suzanne M. Jeurnink, Ewout W. Steyerberg, Jeanin E. van Hooft, Casper H. J. van Eijck, Matthijs P. Schwartz, Frank P. Vleggaar, Ernst J. Kuipers, Peter D. Siersema, for the Dutch SUSTENT Study Group.Surgical endoscopic gastrojejunostomy or stent placement for the palliation of malignant gastric outlet obstruction (SUSTENT study): a multicenter randomized trial. Gastrointestinal Endoscopy 2010;71.
- 27. Kantsevoy SV, Jagannath SB, Niiyama H, Chung SS, Cotton PB, Gostout CJ, et al. Endoscopic gastrojejunostomy with survival in a porcine model. GastrointestEndosc. Aug 2005; 62:287-92.
- **28.** TasadooqHussain, Bilal Elahi, Penelope McManus, TapanMahapatra, and Peter John Kneeshaw. Gastric obstruction secondary to metastatic breast cancer: a case report and literature review. Journal of Medical Case Reports 2012; 6:232.
- **29.** Volker Kahlke, BeateBestmann, Andreas Schmid, Julius MarekDoniec, Thomas Ku[°]chler, Bernd Kremer. Palliation of Metastatic Gastric Cancer: Impact of Preoperative Symptoms and the Type of Operation on Survival and Quality of Life. World J. Surg. 2004;28:369–75.
- **30.** H. G. Weiss. Treatment of malignant gastric outlet obstruction: endoscopic implantation of

self-expanding metal stents versus gastric bypass surgery. EurSurg 2007; 39/1: 67–68.

- **31.** Jasen Ly, Gregory O'Grady, Anubhav Mittal, Lindsay Plank, John A. Windsor. A systematic review of methods to palliate malignant gastric outlet obstruction. SurgEndosc 2010; 24:290– 97.
- **32.** Enrico Fiori, AntoniettaLamazza, PatriziaVolpino, Antonio Burza, Claudia Paparelli, Giuseppe Cavallaro, Alberto Schillaci and Vincenzo Cangemi. Palliative Management of Malignant Antro-pyloric Strictures. Gastroenterostomy vs. Endoscopic Stenting. A Randomized Prospective Trial.Anticancer research 2004; 24: 269-72.
- **33.** H. G. Weiss. Treatment of malignant gastric outlet obstruction: endoscopic implantation of self-expanding metal stents versus gastric bypass surgery. EurSurg 2007;39/1: 67–68.