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ABSTRACT Stressful life events experienced by pregnant women may lead to adverse obstetric outcomes. This study in Benghazi compared the rates of preterm, low-birth-weight and caesarean-section births at Al-Jamhouria hospital in the months before and during the armed conflict in Libya in 2011. Data were collected on all women admitted to the delivery ward during February to May 2011 (the months of the most active fighting in the city) (n = 7096), and October to December 2010 (the months immediately before the war) (n = 5935). Compared with the preceding months there was a significant rise during the conflict in the rate of deliveries involving preterm (3.6% versus 2.5%) and low-birth-weight (10.1% versus 8.5%) infants and caesarean sections (26.9% versus 25.3%). Psychosocial stress may have been a factor (among others) in an increase in negative pregnancy outcomes, and obstetric hospitals should be aware of these issues in times of war.

Issues des grossesses à Benghazi (Libye) avant et pendant le conflit armé de 2011

RÉSUMÉ Les femmes enceintes qui vivent des événements stressants peuvent être plus à risque d’une issue obstétricale défavorable. L’étude menée à Benghazi a comparé les taux de prématurité, de faible poids de naissance et de césarienne à l’hôpital Al-Jamhouria dans les mois précédant et pendant le conflit armé en Libye en 2011. Les données recueillies auprès de toutes les femmes admises en salle d’accouchement entre février et mai 2011 (au plus fort des combats dans la ville) (n = 7096), et entre octobre et décembre 2010 (les mois précédant la guerre) (n = 5935). Par rapport aux mois précédents, une augmentation importante du taux d’accouchements impliquant une prématurité (3.6 % contre 2.5 %) et un faible poids de naissance (10.1 % contre 8.5 %) ainsi que des césariennes (26.9 % contre 25.3 %) a été observée pendant le conflit. Le stress psychosocial peut avoir été un facteur (entre autres) dans l’augmentation des issues négatives de la grossesse, et les établissements obstétriques devraient être informés de ces problèmes en temps de guerre.
**Introduction**

Pregnant women have been shown to be highly vulnerable to stress [1]. Stress from major life events and other forms of emotional stress have been implicated in increasing the risk of preterm births [2–4] and low-birthweight (LBW) infants [1]. It is believed that armed conflicts aggravate social factors that increase maternal psychosocial stressors [5], and this has been significantly associated with preterm delivery [2,6–9]. Increases in adverse pregnancy outcomes have been recorded in many conflict and post-conflict settings such as the Za'patista armed conflict in Mexico [10], the 11 September 2003 attacks in the United States of America [11,12] and the political/social civil unrest in Chile [13], as well as the war in Bosnia and Herzegovina [14]. Even natural disasters can increase maternal stress and increase the rate of preterm births and LBW infants [15]. Whether the adverse outcomes are related to the exposure itself (and as a corollary, the duration of exposure) [2,3,15] or rather from the psychological pathologies that may have subsequently arisen [16] is not clear.

In light of the events taking place in Libya and elsewhere in the Middle East and North Africa, with major political changes accompanied by civil instability and armed conflicts, research is needed to gauge the short- and long-term health effects that these incidents induce. This study in Benghazi recorded the rates of preterm births, LBW infants and caesarean section deliveries during the period of active conflict in Libya, which ran from February to October 2011, and compared them with the months before the conflict. Data were obtained from Al-Jamhouria hospital, which admits the great majority of deliveries in this region of Libya.

**Methods**

**Sample**

A total sample consisting of 13,031 deliveries were recorded for specific months before and after the conflict. Of 7096 deliveries were collected prospectively for the 4-month period 1 February to 31 May 2011, i.e. the peak of the conflict within Benghazi. For the period immediately before the conflict, we used retrospective data on 5935 deliveries in the 3-month period 1 October to 31 December 2010. All data were collected from the labour room registry and patient records at Al-Jamhouria hospital.

Ethical approval for the study was granted by the ethics committees at Al-Jamhouria Hospital and the Libyan International Medical University.

**Data collection**

Data were collected on a variety of variables: maternal age, nationality, method of delivery (to measure the caesarean section rate), gestational age (to determine prematurity), birth weight (for classification purposes), and any complications (such as meconium). A preterm birth was defined as being < 37 weeks gestation. LBW was defined as < 2.5 kg. Although the World Health Organization definition of LBW is < 2.5 kg [17], in developing countries, being over 500 g and hence almost half the infants recorded as 2.5 kg should be under that value [18].

**Data analysis**

Data were summarized as percentages and mean and standard deviation (SD). Chi-squared tests were used to compare proportions between the 2 groups. Student t-tests were used to compare continuous variables between the 2 periods. All statistical analyses were done using SPSS, version 18.

**Results**

Table 1 shows a basic comparison between the periods before and during the conflict for some key parameters. The mean age of mothers delivering in the conflict period was 30.1 (SD 5.8) years, whereas the average pre-conflict age was 30.4 (SD 5.8) years (P < 0.001). The proportion of foreign nationals admitted to the delivery room fell significantly from 3.2% of deliveries in pre-conflict to 1.7% during the conflict (χ^2 = 68.46, P < 0.001).

From February to May 2011, there were 258 preterm births (3.6%) out of the total 7096 births. From October to December 2010, only 150 (2.5%) were preterm out of 5935 births. This 1% increases rate was found to be significant (χ^2 = 38.02, P < 0.001). There was no statistically significant difference between the 2 periods in the age of mothers who had preterm deliveries (t = −0.590, P = 0.558).

Overall, the mean weight of newborns (regardless of gestational age) was significantly higher in the conflict months [3.26 (SD 0.62) kg] compared with the pre-conflict months [3.31 (SD 0.60) kg] (P < 0.001). When only preterm births were compared, there was no significant difference in terms of birth weight between the 2 periods (t = 0.987, P = 0.325). The rate of LBW babies was also significantly higher (10.1%) during the conflict period compared with 8.5% pre-conflict (χ^2 = 23.55, P < 0.001).

In terms of mode of delivery, during the conflict months it was found that 2012 (26.9%) of deliveries were caesarean sections, compared with 1501 deliveries (25.3%) in 2010. There
was a significant difference between the 2 time periods ($\chi^2 = 9.4, P = 0.002$). During the conflict, episiotomies were carried out in 2949 deliveries (41.6%), significantly more than in 2010 (2355, 39.7%) ($\chi^2 = 10.32, P = 0.006$).

When the daily number of premature births was plotted for the study month, there were found to be main 3 peaks, around 10 February, 16 March and 11 May 2011 (Figure 1).

### Discussion

Stress during each trimester of pregnancy has been implicated in adverse outcomes. Several studies suggested that stress during the third trimester is the most harmful [3,9,19], while others have implicated stress in the first [20] and second trimesters [4,8] in the risk of preterm birth and LBW. Interestingly, there are a number of contradictory studies on this topic and it is clearly a point of contention despite being studied for over 3 decades [9,21].

In this study in Benghazi, the rate of preterm births and LBW infants as a proportion of total deliveries were significantly higher in the period during the armed conflict compared with the year before it started. Preterm births are a concern, as of the 15 million babies worldwide born premature each year, about 1 million will die due to complications [22]. Birth weight is commonly used as a measure of infant health [20], and LBW too may have long-term sequelae. Furthermore, a history of a LBW child puts the mother at a greater risk of preterm birth in subsequent pregnancies [23].

The recorded rate of preterm births in Al-Jamhouria hospital appears to be low when compared with international standards [24] (such as 12%–13% in the United States of America [25]), which is likely due to the fact that menstrual dates (rather than ultrasound) was used to establish gestational age, which fails to capture a significant proportion of preterm births [26].

While armed conflict could be a cause of this increase in adverse outcomes between the 2 study periods, confounding and selection bias could not be ruled out. For example, on average mothers during the period of the conflict were slightly, but significantly, younger than their counterparts in the pre-conflict period, which may be explained by an influx of people from neighbouring rural areas (where the average age of marriage is lower). Additionally, the fact that there were more preterm births during the conflict will automatically make the average age lower (although only by a few weeks).

Plotting the daily rate of preterm births showed 3 prominent peaks. The first 2 peaks (10 February and 16 March 2011) coincided with periods when armed forces from both sides fought directly in the city of Benghazi. The third

---

### Table 1: Comparison of key variables of mother and baby for deliveries at Al-Jamhouria hospital in the period before the armed conflict (1 October to 31 December 2010) and during the peak of the conflict within Benghazi (1 February to 31 May 2011)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Pre-conflict ($n = 5935$ births)</th>
<th>During conflict ($n = 7096$ births)</th>
<th>$P$-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age of mother [mean (SD) years]</td>
<td>30.4 (5.8)</td>
<td>30.1 (5.7)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of baby [mean (SD) kg]</td>
<td>3.31 (0.60)</td>
<td>3.26 (0.62)</td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. %</td>
<td>6976 (98.3)</td>
<td>120 (1.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nationality of mother</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Libyan</td>
<td>5730 (96.5)</td>
<td>6976 (98.3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foreign national</td>
<td>205 (3.5)</td>
<td>120 (1.7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maturity of baby at birth</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Full term ($\geq$ 37 weeks)</td>
<td>5785 (97.5)</td>
<td>6838 (96.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preterm (&lt; 37 weeks)</td>
<td>150 (2.5)</td>
<td>258 (3.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mode of delivery</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caesarean section</td>
<td>1501 (25.3)</td>
<td>1912 (26.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vaginal</td>
<td>4434 (74.7)</td>
<td>5184 (73.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perineum</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.006*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Episiotomy</td>
<td>2355 (39.7)</td>
<td>2949 (41.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intact</td>
<td>1910 (32.2)</td>
<td>2205 (31.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1670 (28.1)</td>
<td>1942 (27.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal (&gt; 2.5 kg)</td>
<td>5431 (91.5)</td>
<td>6379 (89.9)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low ($\leq$ 2.5 kg)</td>
<td>504 (8.5)</td>
<td>717 (10.1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Episiotomy versus intact + other.*
Figure 1 Number of preterm births per day at Al-Jamhouria hospital, Beghanzi, from 1 October 2010 to 31 May 2011. The shaded area of the table is the period before the conflict while the dark shaded area is after the conflict. The first peak was on 10 February, the second peak on 16 March and the third peak on 11 May 2011. Note that there is a gap of 1 month (i.e. January) between December and February on the chart.
peak (11 May 2011) was around the time when there was a large influx of internally displaced people from the war-afflicted cities. The sudden onset of the conflict around 17 February 2011 must have been especially stressful to Libyan mothers who had never experienced war or armed conflict during their lifetime, although there had been some unrest in Benghazi from the beginning of February 2011 and fear that the Tunisian and Egyptian revolutions (which had started from early to mid-January) could spread to Libya.

The proportion of caesarean deliveries also increased significantly during this period, from levels that were already high by international standards (25.3% of all deliveries), highlighting the problem faced internationally and possibly related to either a greater number of complicated cases or less experienced staff [27]. Another potential explanation could be that in times of conflict, physicians are more likely to deliver via caesarean section in order to shorten the duration of the pregnancy or labour.

The episiotomy rates also increased in the conflict months, which even prior to the conflict were extremely high compared with rates mentioned in the literature from other countries [28–31]. Such high rates may not necessarily reflect any physiological or biological differences, but rather poor training of obstetric teams. There was a massive loss of nursing personnel as well as midwives as a result of the conflict. Their place was taken by less experienced staff, who may well have preferred a more “convenient” episiotomy to managing difficult or prolonged deliveries. Research has shown that an important factor in deciding to do an episiotomy was physician preference and not any medical need [32].

Our study thus lends more evidence to previous reports on the effect of (stress in) armed conflict on pregnancy outcomes. As the study is an interrupted time-series rather than a randomized clinical trial certain caveats seem to be appropriate. For example, data were recorded in different calendar months of 2010 and 2011 (due to data availability) and also data were not initially recorded in the files with a scientific approach. In particular the method of determining the prematurity of the newborn in our hospital is still largely based on estimation of gestational age. Furthermore, conflict was used as an indirect measure of stress and no direct measures of stress were obtained.

The societal impact of conflict may be more far-reaching and long-lasting than previously thought. Pregnant women should be prioritized for protection from the effects of armed conflict, regardless of which trimester they are in. Policy-makers should be aware that conflict may result in increased negative maternal outcomes. Therefore, at times of war or civil unrest, more thought should be given to controlling the effects of LBW and prematurity in newborns. In addition more efforts should be put into place to promote feasible, cost-effective care during times of conflict. Training front-line health-care workers to provide simple, evidence-based interventions will significantly reduce the effects from negative pregnancy outcomes [27].
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