

Burden and associated factors for caregivers of the elderly in a developing country

S. Sabzwari,¹ A. Munir Badini,² Z. Fatmi,² T. Jamali,² S. Shah³

العبء الواقع على مقدمي الرعاية للمسنين، وما يرتبط به من عوامل في بلد نام
سنية سبزواري، عبيدة منير باديني، ظفر فاطمي، تنزيل جمالي، سبين شاه

الخلاصة: إن عدد السكان المسنين في جنوب آسيا أخذ في الارتفاع. كذلك فإن مقدمي الرعاية المدربين في باكستان نادرون وغير مقبولين من الناحية الثقافية. وقد قيّمت هذه الدراسة مستوى الضغط النفسي التي يعاني منه مقدمو الرعاية للمسنين، وحددت ارتباط عبء تقديم الرعاية بالخصائص المختلفة للمسنين. فأجريت دراسة مقطعية مبنية على الاستبيان، باستخدام عينة قصديّة غير احتمالية، شملت جميع الموافقين على الاشتراك في الدراسة ممن بلغوا 60 سنة فما فوق ويحتاجون إلى مساعدة في نشاط واحد على الأقل من نشاطات الحياة اليومية أو في نشاطين يعتمدان على الأدوات من نشاطات الحياة اليومية. أجري تقييم لـ 350 مشاركاً لتقدير العبء المتصور الواقع على مقدمي الرعاية. كان معظم مقدمي الرعاية من الإناث (68.9%). وأحرز نصفهم (50.3%) درجات إيجابية على مقياس عبء الرعاية المتصورة. وكان للتأثير المالي ارتباط قوي (0.79) بعبء الرعاية المتصورة. وإن مستويات الاعتماد الأعلى - ذات الطابع الفيزيائي والمعرفي - شكلت عبئاً أكبر على مقدمي الرعاية. وكانت القضايا السلوكية للمسنين - مثل الإساءة اللفظية وصعوبة النوم - منبئات عن وجود عبء أعلى على مقدمي الرعاية. يعتبر العبء الواقع على مقدمي الرعاية قضية هامة بالنسبة لمن يقومون برعاية أفراد الأسرة المسنين في كراتشي بباكستان.

ABSTRACT The elderly population in South Asia is growing. In Pakistan trained caregivers are scarce and culturally not acceptable. This study assessed the level of stress experienced by caregivers of the elderly and determined the association of care giving burden with different characteristics of the elderly. A cross-sectional, questionnaire based study was conducted using nonprobability purposive sampling. All consenting participants aged 60 years and above needing help with at least one activity of daily living or two instrumental activities of daily living were included. 350 participants were assessed for perceived care giver burden. Care providers were mostly female (68.9%). Half (50.3%) of the caregivers had a positive score on a perceived care burden scale. Financial impact had a strong correlation (0.79) with perceived caregiver burden. Higher dependency levels of a physical and cognitive nature posed greater burden on caregivers. Behavioural issues of the elderly such as verbal abuse and difficulty sleeping were predictors of a higher caregiver burden. Caregiver burden is a significant issue for those caring for elderly family members in Karachi, Pakistan.

Fardeau et facteurs associés pour les aidants de personnes âgées dans un pays en développement

RÉSUMÉ La population âgée d'Asie du Sud-Est connaît une augmentation. Au Pakistan, les personnels soignants formés sont rares et non acceptés d'un point de vue culturel. La présente étude avait pour objectif d'évaluer le niveau de stress des aidants de personnes âgées et de déterminer l'association entre le fardeau de soins et différentes caractéristiques propres aux personnes âgées. Une étude transversale, reposant sur un questionnaire, a été conduite en recourant à un échantillonnage non probabiliste par choix raisonné. Toutes les personnes consentantes âgées de 60 ans et plus requérant une aide pour au moins une activité de la vie quotidienne ou deux activités instrumentales de la vie quotidienne ont été incluses. Le fardeau perçu par les aidants a été évalué chez 350 participants. Les dispensateurs de soins étaient majoritairement des femmes (68,9 %). La moitié (50,3 %) des aidants obtenaient des scores élevés sur l'échelle d'évaluation du fardeau de soins. L'impact financier avait une forte corrélation (0,79) avec la perception du fardeau de soins. Des niveaux de dépendance physique ou cognitive plus élevés rajoutaient au fardeau supporté par les aidants. Les problèmes comportementaux des personnes âgées tels que des insultes verbales ou des troubles du sommeil constituaient d'importants indicateurs d'un fardeau plus lourd. Le fardeau des aidants est un problème de taille pour les personnes qui prennent soin de membres âgés de leurs familles à Karachi, au Pakistan.

¹Department of Family Medicine, ²Department of Community Health Sciences, Aga Khan University, Karachi, Pakistan (correspondence to Saniya Sabzwari: Saniya.sabzwari@aku.edu). ³End TB Project, Interactive Research and Development, Shahrah-e-Faisal, Karachi, Pakistan

Received: 21/09/15; accepted: 06/06/16

Introduction

The elderly population of South Asia is seeing an unprecedented rise. According to the 2013 WHO data repository (1), 6.5% of the Pakistani population is over the age of 60. By 2050, the elderly are expected to constitute 15% of the total population (2). Longer life spans are, however, frequently associated with illnesses that cause disability and dependence.

According to the World Health Organization, older people residing in low-income countries have higher disability rates, and women are at greater risk than men (3). Data on disability from Pakistan are scarce and do not include common impairments seen in the elderly, such as dementia and osteoarthritis.

In Pakistan, traditional family values, including revering elders, are still held, and providing care and support to the elderly is considered a social norm and a moral duty for family members. In the past few decades, however, joint family systems have shifted rapidly to nuclear families (i.e. parents and their dependent children) (4), so that elderly family members are often cared for by one caregiver rather than multiple relatives in an extended family (5). Moreover, in contrast to the western world, where professional caregiving is the norm, trained caregivers are scarce, expensive and at times unacceptable. A handful of nursing homes exists, which is not a culturally acceptable solution for caring for the elderly (5). This changing socio-cultural system is bound to make the burden on caregivers an issue that will require attention at all levels, as the physical and psychosocial effects of caregiving are well documented (6,7).

We conducted a literature review of studies on caregivers and determined the factors responsible for their burden. Most studies identified the family as the primary caregivers for elderly family members. One regional study indicated that spouses were most adversely

affected by a cognitive decline in a care receiver (8). A study of caregivers for patients with Alzheimer disease revealed that caregivers of male patients experienced the greatest burden. The burden of caregivers in joint families did not differ from those in nuclear families (9).

Limited national data were available on the characteristics of caregivers and their burden. Anecdotal evidence suggests that most caregiving is provided by families and mostly by women. We assessed the burden experienced by carers of the elderly in our setting and determined the factors associated with the burden of care provision.

Methods

Study design

We conducted a cross-sectional study of a convenience sample in 2013, in which research associates visited the homes of a local community in Karachi to collect data.

Sample size

The sample size was calculated with WHO software (version 2.0) (10). As no local data were available on the burden of caregivers in Pakistan, a proportion of 0.50 was used to achieve maximum variance with an error bound of 6% and a 5% significance level. The final sample size was calculated to be 267. After inflation by 10% for non-responders, the sample size was estimated to be approximately 300.

Inclusion criteria

All consenting people aged 60 years and above who required help with at least one or more of the activities of daily living (ADLs) or two or more of the instrumental activities of daily living (IADLs) were included. They are referred to below as "care recipients".

Exclusion criteria

Paid caregivers and elderly patients residing in nursing homes were excluded from the study, as these are not typical solutions for caregiving in Pakistan.

Definitions

A "caregiver" was defined as an adult 18 years of age or older, either a family member or a voluntary worker, who provides help with ADLs, health care and financial and social matters to care recipients.

"Caregiver burden" was defined as physical, psychological, social and financial problems that might be experienced by family members caring for impaired older adults (8).

ADLs include dressing, eating, walking, toileting and showering, and IADLs include shopping, managing money, using a telephone, arranging transport, housekeeping, preparing meals and taking medication.

Ethical approval

Ethical approval was obtained from the university ethics committee. Detailed, explicit consent was obtained from all participants. All questionnaires were coded, and data were entered with these codes to ensure participant confidentiality.

Data collection tools

The demographics of care recipients and caregivers, the characteristics of care receivers (ADLs, IADLs, co-morbid conditions, behavioural problems) and the duration and type of caregiving were obtained from answers to a questionnaire, which was translated into Urdu and then back-translated into English. A pilot study was conducted on 10% of the total sample size, and the results were used to modify the questionnaire.

Caregiver burden was measured on a five-point Likert scale of scores on a validated 12-item "perceived caregiver burden scale" used in regional studies (11). This three-part scale assesses the

impact on finances, abandonment by the extended family and sense of entrapment as experienced by the caregiver. (See Annex 1. Permission to use this scale was obtained from the author). The Cronbach's alpha for each subscale was greater than 0.77.

Data collection procedure

Respondents were approached during home assessments conducted in local communities in Karachi. Households with elderly residents were identified from community volunteers, and research associates visited the identified homes to administer the questionnaire to each of the caregivers in the household. The participating families were given feedback on caregiver stress.

Statistical analysis

All data were entered into Epidata 3.1 and analysed with SPSS version 19. Proportions were generated for the categorical variables gender, number of care recipients, behaviour, ADLs, IADLs and presence of co-morbid conditions. Mean and standard deviation

were reported for continuous variables such as the age of the caregiver and recipient and the duration of caregiving. The outcome variable was the caregiver burden. The mean scores of the answers to questions on the burden of caregivers were calculated, and the scores were then split from the median to form a binary variable (burdened/not burdened). Chi-squared tests were used to assess the associations between ADLs, IADLs and the burden on caregivers, with a significance level of 0.05.

Results

The socio-demographic characteristics of caregivers and care recipients, ADLs and IADLs and co-morbid illnesses are listed in Table 1. The mean age of caregivers was 47.23 ± 15.5 years. Most of the caregivers were female (241; 68.9%), married (311; 88.9%), daughters-in-law (119; 34%) and spouses (94; 26.8%). More than one third had secondary education (139; 39.7%). The mean age of the care recipients was

71.1 ± 10.1 years, and most were female (208; 59.4%). Caregivers provided assistance for ADLs like dressing (57; 16.3%), bathing (60; 17.2%) and walking (160; 45.7%). Assistance for IADLs was mainly for shopping (279; 79.9%), preparing meals (226; 64.6%) and using a telephone (163; 46.6%). One third of care recipients (35.4%) required help in managing money, and 33.3% were helped in taking medication. Only 37.2% were fully mobile, while 45.7% required assistance from the caregiver; 13.7% had an assisted device, and 3.4% were bedridden.

The co-morbid illnesses of care recipients included osteoarthritis (220; 63%), hypertension (169; 48.4%) and diabetes (105; 30.1%). Memory loss was reported in 131 (37.4%). Agitation was the commonest behavioural problem (183; 52.3%). Approximately half the caregivers had a positive score for perceived care burden.

The association between ADLs and caregiver burden is shown in Table 2. Caregivers who provided help in

Annex 1. Perceived caregiver burden

Subscale 1: Impact on finances		1	2	3	4	5
1	My financial resources are adequate to pay for things that are required for caregiving					
2	It is difficult to pay for the elderly person's health needs and services					
3	Caring for the elderly person has put a financial strain on the family					
4	If I could afford it, I would find some other way to care for the elderly person					
Subscale 2: Abandonment by extended family						
5	Since caring for the elderly person, I feel that my family has abandoned me					
6	It is very difficult to get help from my family in taking care of the elderly person					
7	Others have left caring for the elderly person to me					
Subscale 3: Sense of entrapment						
8	Since caring for the elderly person, it seems like I am tired all the time					
9	I feel overwhelmed by the problems I have caring for the elderly person					
10	I get very discouraged with caring for the elderly person					
11	Since caring for the elderly person, sometimes I hate the way life has turned out					
12	At this time in my life, I don't think I should be caring for the elderly person					

1- strongly disagree, 2- disagree, 3- agree, 4- strongly agree, 5- I don't know

Table 1. Distribution of socio-demographic characteristics of caregivers and receivers and activities of daily living, instrumental activities of daily living and co-morbid illnesses of care recipients (n=350)

Characteristic	Frequency
Caregivers	
Gender	
Male	109 (31.1%)
Female	241 (68.9%)
Marital status	
Married	311 (88.9%)
Single	34 (9.7%)
Widowed or divorced	5 (1.4%)
Relationship	
Son	64 (18.3%)
Daughter	48 (13.7%)
Daughter-in law	119 (34%)
Sibling	1 (0.3%)
Other	118 (33.7%)
Educational status	
Illiterate	29 (8.3%)
Primary grades 1-5	41 (11.7%)
Secondary grades 6-10	139 (39.7%)
Higher secondary (grade 12)	67 (19.1%)
Graduate (grade 14 and above)	72 (20.6%)
Madrasah	1 (0.3%)
Other	1 (0.3%)
Age (years)	47.23 ± 15.53 (SD)
Care recipient	
Male	141 (40.3%)
Female	209 (59.7%)
Age (years)	71.1 ± 10.1 (SD)
Care provided	
Duration (years)	14.86 ± 13.52 (SD)
Other caregivers	
Yes	162 (46.3%)
No	188 (53.7%)
Other participants aged ≥ 60 years receiving care (information missing for one participant)	
Yes	82 (23.4%)
No	267 (76.3%)
Other responsibilities	
None	13 (3.7%)
Caring for children	23 (6.6%)
Household chores	215 (61.4%)
Caring for another dependent	12 (3.4%)
Other	87 (24.9%)
Activities of daily living	
Help in changing clothes (information missing for two participants)	
Yes	57 (16.3%)
No	291 (83.1%)
Help in walking	
Yes	61 (17.4%)
No	289 (82.6%)

Table 1. Distribution of socio-demographic characteristics of caregivers and receivers and activities of daily living, instrumental activities of daily living and co-morbid illnesses of care recipients (n=350) (continued)

Characteristic	Frequency
Help in eating	
Yes	31 (8.9%)
No	319 (91.1%)
Help in toileting	
Yes	47 (13.4%)
No	303 (86.6%)
Help in bathing (information missing for one participant)	
Yes	60 (17.1%)
No	289 (82.6%)
Instrumental activities of daily living	
Help in shopping	
Yes	279 (79.7%)
No	71 (20.3%)
Help in taking medicines	
Yes	118 (33.7%)
No	232 (66.3%)
Help in using telephone	
Yes	163 (46.6%)
No	187 (53.4%)
Help in managing money	
Yes	124 (35.4%)
No	226 (64.6%)
Help in preparing meals	
Yes	226 (64.6%)
No	124 (35.4%)
Help in hygiene (combing hair, cutting nails)	
Yes	69 (19.7%)
No	281 (80.3%)
Co-morbid illnesses of care recipients	
Diabetes (information missing for one participant)	
Yes	105 (30.1%)
No	244 (69.9%)
High blood pressure (information missing for one participant)	
Yes	169 (48.4%)
No	180 (51.6%)
Cerebrovascular accident (information missing for one participant)	
Yes	51 (14.6%)
No	298 (85.4%)
Osteoarthritis (information missing for one participant)	
Yes	220 (62.9%)
No	129 (37.1%)
Osteoporosis (information missing for one participant)	
Yes	114 (32.7%)
No	235 (67.3%)
Leakage of urine (information missing for one participant)	
Yes	73 (20.9%)
No	276 (79.1%)
Leakage of stools (information missing for one participant)	
Yes	28 (8.0%)
No	321 (92.0%)

Table 1. Distribution of socio-demographic characteristics of caregivers and receivers and activities of daily living, instrumental activities of daily living and co-morbid illnesses of care recipients (n=350) (concluded)

Characteristic	Frequency
Depression	
Yes	128 (36.6%)
No	222 (63.4%)
Memory loss	
Yes	131 (37.4%)
No	219 (62.6%)
Other chronic illness (information missing for two participants)	
Yes	48 (13.7%)
No	300 (85.7%)
Ambulatory status	
Fully mobile	130 (37.1%)
Mobile with help of caregiver	160 (45.7%)
Mobile with an assisted device	48 (13.7%)
Bedbound	12 (3.4%)
Behavioural problems of care recipients	
Agitation (irritability)	
Yes	183 (52.3%)
No	167 (47.7%)
Aggression (shouting, hitting)	
Yes	121 (34.6%)
No	229 (65.4%)
Verbal abuse	
Yes	71 (20.3%)
No	279 (79.7%)
Low mood (sadness, crying)	
Yes	138 (39.4%)
No	212 (60.6%)
Forgetfulness (information missing for one participant)	
Yes	123 (35.2%)
No	226 (64.8%)
Social withdrawal	
Yes	29 (8.3%)
No	321 (91.7%)
Difficulty in sleeping	
Yes	126 (36%)
No	224 (64%)
Wandering (leaving home without informing caregiver)	
Yes	8 (2.3%)
No	342 (97.7%)
Other behavioural problems	
Yes	20 (5.7%)
No	330 (94.3%)
Perceived care burden	
Yes	176 (50.3%)
No	174 (49.7%)

Table 2. Associations between activities of daily living and instrumental activities of daily living and the burden on caregivers (n=350)

	Burdened	Not burdened	P
	n (%)	n (%)	
Activities of daily living			
<i>Help in changing clothes (information missing for two participants)</i>			
Yes	23 (40.4)	34 (59.6)	0.10
No	152 (52.2)	139 (47.8)	
<i>Help in walking</i>			
Yes	23 (37.7)	38 (62.3)	0.03
No	153 (52.9)	136 (47.1)	
<i>Help in eating</i>			
Yes	16 (51.6)	15 (48.4)	0.87
No	160 (50.2)	159 (49.8)	
<i>Help in toileting</i>			
Yes	19 (40.4)	28 (59.6)	0.14
No	157 (51.8)	146 (48.2)	
<i>Help in bathing (information missing for one participant)</i>			
Yes	22 (36.7)	38 (63.3)	0.01
No	154 (53.3)	135 (46.7)	
Instrumental activities of daily living			
<i>Help in shopping</i>			
Yes	156 (55.9)	123 (44.1)	0.00
No	20 (28.2)	51 (71.8)	
<i>Help in taking medicines</i>			
Yes	63 (53.4)	55 (46.6)	0.40
No	113 (48.7)	119 (51.3)	
<i>Help in using telephone</i>			
Yes	87 (53.4)	76 (46.6)	0.28
No	89 (47.6)	98 (52.4)	
<i>Help in managing money</i>			
Yes	86 (69.4)	38 (30.6)	0.00
No	90 (39.8)	136 (60.2)	
<i>Help in preparing meals</i>			
Yes	135 (59.7)	91 (40.3)	0.00
No	41 (33.1)	83 (66.9)	
<i>Help in hygiene</i>			
Yes	25 (36.2)	44 (63.8)	0.00
No	151 (53.7)	130 (46.3)	

walking and bathing had a significantly higher burden than those who did not ($P < 0.05$). The IADLs with which caregivers were significantly burdened were help with shopping, managing money, preparing meals and ensuring hygiene ($P < 0.05$).

Table 3 shows the correlations between behavioural problems, IADLs, financial impact and total co-morbidity

and the caregivers' perception of their burden, for all caregivers and for the 176 who perceived that they were burdened. Behavioural problems were moderately correlated (0.30), overall dependence for IADLs was weakly correlated (0.21), and total co-morbidity was poorly correlated (0.07) (Table 3).

The financial impact of caregiving was strongly correlated (0.79) with

caregivers' burden ($P < 0.005$); thus, 28 of the 36 caregivers (77.8%) who said that their role had a financial impact also considered themselves burdened. Of the 314 caregivers who found no financial impact, 148 (47.1%) considered themselves burdened.

Binary logistic regression analysis with adjustment for caregiver's age, education, marital status and length of

Table 3. Correlation between total score of perceived caregiver burden and scores for behavioural problems, IADLs, financial impact and co-morbidity for all caregivers (n = 350) and for those who perceived a burden (n = 176)

Characteristic	All caregivers		Caregivers who perceived a burden	
	Pearson coefficient	P		
Behaviour (sum of behavioural problems)	0.30	0.00	0.25	0.03
IADLs (sum of IADLs)	0.21	0.00	0.29	0.00
Financial impact (sum of subscale items of perceived caregiver burden scale)	0.79	0.00	0.60	0.00
Total co-morbidity (sum of co-morbid conditions score)	0.07	0.08	0.26	0.00

care showed that male caregivers were more likely to perceive themselves as burdened (adjusted odds ratio [OR], 1.86; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.03;3.35). Looking after care recipients with sleep disturbances and receiving verbal abuse were also associated with caregiver burden (adjusted OR, 1.84; 95% CI, 0.96;3.51 and 2.43; 1.05;5.62, respectively). Stroke was also significantly associated with perceived caregiver burden (adjusted OR, 3.03; 95% CI, 1.34;6.86) (Table 4).

Discussion

This study fulfilled its objectives by identifying common health problems in elderly people residing in a local community in Pakistan and their association with the burden experienced by their caregivers. The most commonly reported co-morbid conditions were diabetes, hypertension and osteoarthritis. Pakistan is considered to have high rates of diabetes and hypertension (12), and both are risk factors for dementia in the elderly (13,14), possibly accounting for the high rates of memory loss found in our study. Depression was also commonly reported. Depression is linked to dementia in a complex relationship via risk factors, symptoms and functional decline (15).

Most of the elderly in this study did not require help with ADLs, despite a high burden of noncommunicable illness. Their low level of dependence may reflect co-morbid conditions with

few complications or of lesser severity. A previous study also found low rates of dependence in elderly people living in the community (7).

The study shows that most care providers are women, consistent with our culture, in which housewives normally assume the role of caregiver, while male members are responsible for earning money outside the home to provide for their families. The situation is similar in other parts of the world (16). A study of 48 low- and middle-income countries also found that women more often assume caregiver roles than men (17).

The relationship between the caregiver and the care receiver was predominantly a spouse or child, as found in previous studies (18–20). Daughters-in-law were the caregivers in a significant number of households in our study, as found in studies in India and the Republic of Korea (21–23). Even among immigrants of Indian and Pakistani descent in the USA, daughters-in-law were the primary care givers, adhering to the cultural norms of their country of origin (24). Family frameworks and structures differ among countries. Daughters almost always leave home after marriage to take over responsibilities in their new home; they therefore are more likely to care for their in-laws and less likely to be the primary caregivers for their own parents. This study did not show whether the burden of caregivers is different when they care for in-laws rather than parents.

Half the caregivers considered that they were burdened. Similar

percentages have been found in other studies in the Region (19,25–27). In studies that indicated a higher caregiver burden, the care receivers were more dependent or had more debilitating illnesses. As in previous studies, (18, 21,26,28), caregivers for elderly people who were dependent for ADLs perceived a greater burden. In contrast, another study reported that caregiver burden correlated more closely with the needs of the caregiver rather than the functional impairment of the care recipient (27).

As expected, stroke was associated with a higher caregiver burden, probably due to greater physical and cognitive dependence. Problematic behaviour of care recipients, such as verbal abuse and difficulty in sleeping, were also predictors of a greater burden, possibly by creating physical and emotional difficulties for the caregiver. This finding is supported by those of other studies (24,28–30).

The strongest correlation with caregiver burden in our study was financial constraints due to caregiving. In our country, the majority of the population lives below the poverty level, and there is no subsidized health care or discounted prescriptions; therefore, families often bear the cost of health care for the elderly, resulting in financial strain. This factor has also been cited by other authors (31).

Surprisingly, in contrast to other studies in which women considered themselves more heavily burdened, we found that men perceived themselves

Table 4. Associations between demographic characteristics of care receivers and caregivers, behavioural problems and co-morbid illnesses of care receivers and level of perceived caregiver burden (n=350)

Characteristic	Unadjusted odds ratio	95% CI	Adjusted odds ratio ¹	95% CI
Age of care receiver	0.97	0.95;0.99	0.97	0.94;1.00
Sex of care receiver				
Female	1		1	
Male	1.27	0.83;1.95	1.38	0.82;2.38
Sex of caregiver				
Female	1		1	
Male	2.16	1.35;3.43	1.90*	1.08;3.36
Behavioural problems				
Agitation	1.37	0.90;2.09	0.86	0.45;1.65
Aggression	1.59	1.02;2.48	1.36	0.63;2.95
Verbal abuse	2.27	1.32;3.92	2.45*	1.06;5.65
Depression	1.37	0.89;2.11	1.12	0.59;2.12
Memory loss	1.83	1.17;2.86	0.84	0.34;2.03
Social withdrawal	1.44	0.66;3.12	2.00	0.67;6.01
Difficulty in sleeping	1.88	1.21;2.93	2.00*	1.06;3.77
Wandering	0.32	0.06;1.61	2.05	0.29;14.41
Others behavioural problems	0.31	0.11;0.87	0.08*	0.02;0.39
Co-morbid conditions				
Diabetes	1.32	0.83;2.10	1.51	0.83;2.75
Hypertension	0.87	0.57;1.32	0.89	0.51;1.56
Stroke	1.24	0.68;2.25	2.67*	1.20;5.98
Osteoarthritis	1.12	0.72;1.73	1.55	0.87;2.78
Osteoporosis	0.23	0.14;0.38	0.13*	0.06;0.26
Urine leaking	1.09	0.65;1.83	1.85	0.83;4.07
Stool leaking	0.44	0.19;1.00	0.20*	0.05;0.69
Depression	1.61	1.03;2.50	1.61	0.82;3.16
Memory loss	1.72	1.11;2.67	1.85	0.82;4.17
Chronic illness	0.66	0.36;1.23	0.67	0.31;1.44

* $P < 0.05$ ¹Adjusted for caregiver's age, education, marital status and length of caregiving

as more burdened when faced with the challenge of caring for the elderly. This finding is supported by that of another study in Pakistan, in which male caregivers were more "stressed" (32); however, a study in India showed no difference in the stress levels of male and female caregivers (22). In Pakistan, caregiving is a "gender incongruent" role for men, who are the breadwinners and primarily fulfil responsibilities away from home. In-home caregiving is thus harder for men employed out of the home.

The factors associated with caregiver burden raise concern that there are no support systems to offer respite, either in the form of day-care centres or alternative care providers. There are no financial subsidies to help families care for their elderly. An important question is whether caregiver burden results in neglect of the elderly or affects the quality of caregiving in our setting.

Limitations

While we were able to identify factors associated with caregiver burden, we

did not examine its effects. Further studies are required on the impact of this burden on caregivers and caregiving. Another limitation is that this study was conducted in suburban Karachi, and the factors associated with caregiver burden may be different in rural communities. Although financial constraints were a significant source of caregiver burden, detailed socio-economic data were not obtained, and the source of the financial burden could not be explored.

As family members continue to be the largest source of caregiving for the

elderly, recognition of their roles and the implications for both the caregiver and the care receiver is essential. Physicians should recognize the needs of caregivers, in addition to providing care to their elderly patients. Both informal and formal support systems should be in place for caregivers. Establishing alternative care services and educational

programmes to create awareness of caregiver burden would help in dealing with this issue of increasing importance.

Conclusion

Caregiver burden is a significant issue for those who care for elderly

family members, financial constraints being a strong factor. In view of the growing number of elderly people and the high caregiver burden found in our study, physicians should recognize and advocate for caregivers in order to ease their responsibilities.

Funding: None.

Competing interests: None declared.

References

1. Global Health Observatory. Pakistan. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014 (<http://apps.who.int/gho/data/node.country.country-PAK?lang=en>, accessed 20 March 2015).
2. Health of the elderly. Cairo: WHO Regional Office for the Eastern Mediterranean; 2014 (<http://www.emro.who.int/entity/elderly-health/index.html>, accessed 18 February 2015).
3. World report on disability 2011. Geneva: UNICEF; 2011 (http://www.unicef.org/protection/World_report_on_disability_eng.pdf, accessed August 2016).
4. Itrat A, Taqui AM, Qazi F, Qidwai W. Family systems: perceptions of elderly patients and their attendants presenting at a university hospital in Karachi, Pakistan. *J Pak Med Assoc* 2007;57:106.
5. Sabzwari SR, Azhar G. Ageing in Pakistan – a new challenge. *Ageing Int* 2011;36:423–427.
6. Chan A, Malhotra C, Malhotra R, Rush AJ, Østbye T. Health impacts of caregiving for older adults with functional limitations. Results from the Singapore survey on informal caregiving. *J Aging Health* 2013;25:998–1012.
7. Inocian EP, Inocian EP, Ysatam EI. The dependency needs in the activities of daily living performance among Filipino elderly. *Int Res J Med Med Sci* 2014;2:44–50.
8. Thommessen B, Aarsland D, Braekhus A, Oksengaard AR, Engedal K, Laake K. The psychosocial burden on spouses of the elderly with stroke, dementia and Parkinson's disease. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2002;17:78–84.
9. Pattanayak RD, Jena R, Tripathi M, Khandelwal SK. Assessment of burden in caregivers of Alzheimer's disease from India. *Asian J Psychiatry* 2010;3:112–116.
10. Lwanga SK, Lemeshow S. Sample size determination in health studies: a practical manual. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1991.
11. Gupta R. The perceived caregiver burden scale for caregivers of elderly people in India. *J Appl Gerontol* 2007;26:120–138.
12. Ramachandran A, Ma RC, Snehalatha C. Diabetes in Asia. *Lancet* 2010;375:408–418.
13. Gorelick PB. Risk factors for vascular dementia and Alzheimer disease. *Stroke* 2004;35(Suppl 1):2620–2622.
14. Biessels GJ, Staekenborg S, Brunner E, Brayne C, Scheltens P. Risk of dementia in diabetes mellitus: a systematic review. *Lancet Neurol* 2006;5:64–74.
15. Korczyn AD, Halperin I. Depression and dementia. *J Neurol Sci* 2009;283:139–142.
16. Pinquart M, Sörensen S. Gender differences in caregiver stressors, social resources, and health: an updated meta-analysis. *Psychol Sci Soc Sci* 2006;61:P33–P45.
17. Hosseinpoor AR, Bergen N, Chatterji S. Socio-demographic determinants of caregiving in older adults of low- and middle-income countries. *Age Ageing* 2013;42:330–338.
18. Yang X, Hao Y, George SM, Wang L. Factors associated with health-related quality of life among Chinese caregivers of the older adults living in the community: a cross-sectional study. *Health Qual Life Outcomes* 2012;10:1.
19. Abdollahpour I, Noroozian M, Nedjat S, Majdzadeh R. Caregiver burden and its determinants among the family members of patients with dementia in Iran. *Int J Prev Med* 2012;3:544–551.
20. Morimoto T, Schreiner AS, Asano H. Caregiver burden and health related quality of life among Japanese stroke caregivers. *Age Ageing* 2003;32:218–223.
21. Lee M, Yoon E, Kropf NP. Factors affecting burden of South Koreans providing care to disabled older family members. *Int J Aging Human Dev* 2007;64:245–262.
22. Gupta R, Pillai VK, Levy EF. Relationship quality and elder caregiver burden in India. *J Soc Interv Theory Pract* 2012;21:39–62.
23. Prince M. Care arrangements for people with dementia in developing countries. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2004;19:170–177.
24. Gupta R, Pillai VK. Caregiver burden in South Asian families: a systems theory perspective. *J Ethnic Cultur Divers Soc Work* 2000;9:41–53.
25. Limpawattana P, Theeranut A, Chindaprasirt J, Sawanyawisuth K, Pimporm J. Caregivers burden of older adults with chronic illnesses in the community: a cross-sectional study. *J Community Health* 2013;38:40–45.
26. Salama R. Caregiver burden from caring for impaired elderly: a cross-sectional study in rural Lower Egypt. *Ital J Public Health* 2012;9:e8662-10.
27. Garlo K, O'Leary JR, Van Ness PH, Fried TR. Burden in caregivers of older adults with advanced illness. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2010;58:2315–2322.
28. Stolley JM, Reed D, Buckwalter KC. Caregiving appraisal and interventions based on the progressively lowered stress threshold model. *Am J Alzheimers Dis Other Demen* 2002;17:110–120.
29. Arai Y, Kumamoto K, Washio M, Ueda T, Miura H, Kudo K. Factors related to feelings of burden among caregivers looking after impaired elderly in Japan under the long term care insurance system. *Psychiatry Clin Neurosci* 2004;58:396–402.
30. Machnicki G, Allegri RF, Dillon C, Serrano CM, Taragano FE. Cognitive, functional and behavioral factors associated with the burden of caring for geriatric patients with cognitive impairment or depression: evidence from a South American sample. *Int J Geriatr Psychiatry* 2009;24:382–389.
31. Salmon JR, Kwak J, Acquaviva KD, Brandt K, Egan KA. Transformative aspects of caregiving at life's end. *J Pain Symptom Manage* 2005;29:121–129.
32. Ahmad K. Informal caregiving to chronically ill older family members: caregivers' experiences and problems. *S Asian Stud* 2012;27:101.